

### 9.1. Finding Least-Squares Estimates if $r(\mathbf{X}) < p$

If  $\mathbf{X}_{n \times p}$  has rank  $r < p$ , there is not a unique solution  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  to the normal equations. We have 3 ways to find *a* solution  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  and *the* orthogonal projection  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ :

1. *Use a generalized inverse  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^-$ .*
2. *Reduce the model to one of full rank.*
3. *Impose identifiability constraints.*

9.2. METHOD 1: Use a generalized inverse  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^-$ .

Definition: For  $\mathbf{A}_{m \times n}$ , a *generalized inverse* (*g-inv*) of  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $n \times m$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}^-$  satisfying

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}.$$

Definition:  $\mathbf{A}^-$  is a *reflexive g-inv* if

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$$

and, in addition,

$$\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^- = \mathbf{A}^-.$$

Lemma 9.2.1a:  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^-\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}$  is a solution to the normal equations  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

*Proof:* Rewrite the normal equations as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ &= \overbrace{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}}^{=\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ &= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\underbrace{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}_{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}} \\ &= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} \quad (\text{from 1st line}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^-\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}$  is a solution to the normal equations.

**Lemma 9.2.1b:** The orthogonal projection  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$  of  $\mathbf{Y}$  onto  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})$  is given by  $\mathbf{PY}$ , where

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}'$$

for any generalized inverse  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}$ .

*Proof:* We need to build up to establishing this result.

**Lemma 9.2.2:** If  $\mathbf{PX}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{QX}'\mathbf{X}$  then  $\mathbf{PX}' = \mathbf{QX}'$ .

*Proof:* For any matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , if  $\mathbf{AA}' = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$  (easy to check).

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{PX}' - \mathbf{QX}')(\mathbf{PX}' - \mathbf{QX}')' &= (\mathbf{PX}' - \mathbf{QX}')\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{Q})' \\ &= (\mathbf{PX}'\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{QX}'\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{Q})' = \mathbf{0}(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{Q})' = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

And so  $\mathbf{PX}' - \mathbf{QX}' = \mathbf{0}$ .

Theorem (Searle 7.1, 1987) If  $\mathbf{G}$  is a g-inv of  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ , then

1.  $\mathbf{G}'$  is also a *g-inv* of  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})' = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$$

Now take the transpose of both sides.

2.  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'$  is a symmetric reflexive g-inv of  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$

Symmetry is clear. To show  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'$  is a g-inv of  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ :

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}')\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$$

which equals  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$  using (1.) above.

To show  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$  is a g-inv of  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'$ :

$$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}' =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})\mathbf{G}' \\ & = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})\mathbf{G}' = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})\mathbf{G}' \end{aligned}$$

which equals  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'$  using (1.) above again.

3. (a)  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}'$  and (b)  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$

$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ , so  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{I}\mathbf{X}'$  by Lemma 9.2.2, proving (a). To prove (b), combine (a) and (1.) above:  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}'$ , now take transposes.

4.  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{X}'$  for any other  $g$ -inv  $\mathbf{H}$  (KEY RESULT!)

By (3.),  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ . Applying Lemma 9.2.2 gives the result.

5.  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'$  is symmetric

$(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}')' = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{X}'$ . From (1.),  $\mathbf{G}'$  is also a  $g$ -inv. Therefore, by (4.),  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'$ .

### 9.3. Computing a generalized inverse of $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$ , where  $\mathbf{X}_1$  consists of  $r$  linearly independent columns from  $\mathbf{X}$ . Then a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$  is

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^- = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This result is a special case of the following Lemma.

**Lemma 9.3.1:** Let the matrix  $\mathbf{W}_{p \times p}$  have rank  $r$  and be partitioned as

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  has full rank  $r$ . Then a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{W}$  is

$$\mathbf{W}^- = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^-\mathbf{W} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{CA}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{CA}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

This equals  $\mathbf{W}$  if  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{CA}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ . Why does this have to be true? Since  $\mathbf{A}$  has rank  $r$  (the same rank as  $\mathbf{W}$ ), this means that any column of  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}$  can be written as a linear combination of the columns of  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{C} \end{pmatrix}$ . I.E.,  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{C} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}$ , for some matrix  $\mathbf{F}$ . But then  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{AF}$ , so  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ . Since  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{CF}$ ,  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{CA}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ .

Also note that for the projection matrix onto  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})$ , we can always use

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}\mathbf{X}'_1.$$

We know that such a  $\mathbf{P}$  is a projection onto  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}_1)$ , but  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}_1) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})$ .



**Example:** One-way ANOVA with 2 groups

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{1n_1} \\ Y_{21} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{2n_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{1n_1} \\ \varepsilon_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{2n_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

(What is the rank of  $\mathbf{X}$ ? 2)

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} n & n_1 & n_2 \\ n_1 & n_1 & 0 \\ n_2 & 0 & n_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{X}_1$  be the first two columns of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} n & n_1 \\ n_1 & n_1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{nn_1 - n_1^2} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & -n_1 \\ -n_1 & n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n_2} & \frac{-1}{n_2} \\ \frac{-1}{n_2} & \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$  is

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^- = \begin{pmatrix} n_2^{-1} & -n_2^{-1} & 0 \\ -n_2^{-1} & n_1^{-1} + n_2^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

What is the solution  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  to the normal equations that corresponds to this generalized inverse?

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \begin{pmatrix} n_2^{-1} & -n_2^{-1} & 0 \\ -n_2^{-1} & n_1^{-1} + n_2^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_j Y_{1j} + \sum_j Y_{2j} \\ \sum_j Y_{1j} \\ \sum_j Y_{2j} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y}_2 \\ \bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Compute  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$  as  $\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y}_2 \\ \bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y}_{1\cdot} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{Y}_{1\cdot} \\ \bar{Y}_{2\cdot} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{Y}_{2\cdot} \end{pmatrix}$$

### 9.4. Properties of $\mathbf{P}$

*Lemma 9.5.1:* Let  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = r < p$  and  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}'$  where  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}$  is a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ . Then

- (i)  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$  are projection matrices.
- (ii)  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = n - r$ .
- (iii)  $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$ .

Note that by the Theorem part (4.), all generalized inverses give the same  $\mathbf{P}$ .

*Proof:*

- (i)  $\mathbf{P}$  is symmetric by the Theorem part (5.).

$\mathbf{P}$  is idempotent: Let  $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}$  so that  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'$ . Then  $\mathbf{P}^2 = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}')(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}') = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G})(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}') = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'$  by the Theorem part (3a.).

So  $\mathbf{P}$  is symmetric and idempotent and thus a projection. It then follows easily that  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$  is as well.

- (ii) We know  $\text{rank} = \text{trace}$  for projection matrices.
- (iii)  $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$  by the Theorem part (3b.).

### 9.5. METHOD 2: Reduce the model to one of full rank.

Let  $\mathbf{X}_1$  consist of  $r$  linearly independent columns from  $\mathbf{X}$  and let  $\mathbf{X}_2$  consist of the remaining columns. Then  $\mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{F}$  for some  $\mathbf{F}$  because the columns of  $\mathbf{X}_1$  span the column space of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Write

$$\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) = (\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{I}_{r \times r}, \mathbf{F}),$$

This is a special case of the factorization

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{KL},$$

where  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{K}_{n \times r}) = r$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{L}_{r \times p}) = r$ . Using this general notation,

$$E[\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{KL}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

Therefore, we have reparametrized the linear model into one of full rank.

Because  $\mathbf{K}$  has full rank, the least squares estimate of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (\mathbf{K}'\mathbf{K})^{-1}\mathbf{K}'\mathbf{Y}$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{K}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{K}'\mathbf{K})^{-1}\mathbf{K}'\mathbf{Y}.$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{K}'\mathbf{K})^{-1}\mathbf{K}'$ . If  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{X}_1$ , this gives us our previous expression  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1'$ .

**In-Class Exercise:** One-way ANOVA with 2 groups – revisited

Let  $\mathbf{X}_1$  consist of the first 2 columns of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Apply the method on the previous page. What is  $\mathbf{F}$ ? What is  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ? What is  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ ? What is  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ ?

See the end of this document for the solution.

### 9.6. METHOD 3: Impose identifiability constraints

Impose  $s \equiv p - r$  linear constraints on  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  of the form  $\mathbf{H}_{s \times p} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$  to make  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  uniquely determined (“identifiable”), i.e.,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  is unique: for any  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})$ , there is a unique  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  satisfying

$$\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \hat{\mathbf{Y}} \text{ and } \mathbf{H}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Let’s drop the “hats” for aesthetics. We can re-write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} \equiv \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Under what conditions have we accomplished our goal? When is there a unique solution to  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ?

*Lemma 9.6.1:* A unique solution exists if and only if  $\mathbf{G}$  has rank  $p$  and the rows of  $\mathbf{H}$  are linearly independent of the rows of  $\mathbf{X}$ .

*Proof:* A solution  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  will exist for all  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})$  if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}),$$

if and only if, for all  $\mathbf{u} \in \Re^{n+s}$ ,

$$\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} \implies \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}' \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\left( \text{since } \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{GF}, (\mathbf{GF})'\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F}'\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F}'\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \right).$$

This is equivalent to

$$(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{H}') \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_X \\ \mathbf{u}_H \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \implies (\mathbf{Y}', \mathbf{0}') \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_X \\ \mathbf{u}_H \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{u}_X + \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{u}_H = \mathbf{0} &\implies \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{u}_X = 0, \text{ for all } \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \\ &\implies (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{a})'\mathbf{u}_X = 0, \text{ for all } \mathbf{a} \\ &\implies \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{u}_X = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

if and only if

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{u}_X + \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{u}_H = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{u}_X = \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{u}_H = \mathbf{0},$$

This just says that the rows of  $\mathbf{X}$  are linearly independent of the rows of  $\mathbf{H}$ .

The solution will be unique if and only if the columns of  $\mathbf{G}$  are linearly independent, i.e.,  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{G}) = p$ .

*Corollary:* A unique solution exists if and only if  $\mathbf{G}$  has rank  $p$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  has rank  $s = p - r$ .

To use this method to estimate  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , we solve  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  and  $\mathbf{H}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e., we solve the augmented normal equations:

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} \text{ and } \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{0}$$

These equalities together give:

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H})\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{G})\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y},$$

The solution is given by:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{G})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y},$$

and  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{Y}$ , where  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{G})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$ .



**In Class Exercise:** One-way ANOVA with 2 groups – revisited: Apply Method 3 using the constraint  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ . To make life easier, assume  $n_1 = n_2 = \frac{1}{2}n$ . What is  $\mathbf{H}$ ? What is  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ ? What is  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ ?

You “might” find the following result useful:

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & \frac{n}{2} & \frac{n}{2} \\ \frac{n}{2} & \frac{n}{2} + 1 & 1 \\ \frac{n}{2} & 1 & \frac{n}{2} + 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n+4}{4n} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{n+4}{4n} & \frac{n-4}{4n} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{n-4}{4n} & \frac{n+4}{4n} \end{pmatrix}$$

See the end of this document for the solution.

9.6. METHOD  $3\frac{1}{2}$ : Impose identifiability constraints, implemented differently.

Consider the example of one-way ANOVA with, say, 5 groups. Suppose we use the constraint  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 0$ . We can use this constraint to formulate a full-rank model, which we illustrate by this example. (Thus the method might be considered “in between” methods 2 and 3.)

Consider one “replication” – five observations from each of the five groups. The design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  will have five columns (not six), for  $\mu, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ , (but not  $\alpha_5$ ).

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The row of  $\mathbf{X}$  for the observation from group 5 is gotten from using  $\alpha_5 = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4$ .

## Solution to Exercise for Method 3

$$\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\beta} \equiv (0, 1, 1) \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} n & n/2 & n/2 \\ n/2 & n/2 + 1 & 1 \\ n/2 & 1 & n/2 + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{G})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n+4}{4n} & \frac{-1}{4} & \frac{-1}{4} \\ \frac{-1}{4} & \frac{n+4}{4n} & \frac{n-4}{4n} \\ \frac{-1}{4} & \frac{n-4}{4n} & \frac{n+4}{4n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{G})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y}_{..} \\ \frac{1}{2}(\bar{Y}_{1.} - \bar{Y}_{2.}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\bar{Y}_{2.} - \bar{Y}_{1.}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  satisfies the constraint  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ . Again, we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y}_{1.} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{Y}_{1.} \\ \bar{Y}_{2.} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{Y}_{2.} \end{pmatrix}.$$

### Solution to Exercise for Method 2

The third column of  $\mathbf{X}$  is the first column minus the second column. Therefore,  $\mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{F}) = \underbrace{\mathbf{X}_1}_{\mathbf{K}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{L}}$$

$E(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  where  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\beta}$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu + \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} &= (\mathbf{K}'\mathbf{K})^{-1}\mathbf{K}'\mathbf{Y} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} n & n_1 \\ n_1 & n_1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_j Y_{1j} + \sum_j Y_{2j} \\ \sum_j Y_{1j} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} n_2^{-1} & -n_2^{-1} \\ -n_2^{-1} & n_1^{-1} + n_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_j Y_{1j} + \sum_j Y_{2j} \\ \sum_j Y_{1j} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y}_2 \\ \bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{X}_1\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y}_{1\cdot} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{Y}_{1\cdot} \\ \bar{Y}_{2\cdot} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{Y}_{2\cdot} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ as before}$$