Exercises

1.1 [20] Make a chronology of major events in the development of industrial robots over the past 30 years. See References.

1.2 [20] Make a chart showing the major applications of industrial robots (e.g., spot welding, assembly, etc.) and the percentage of installed robots in use in each application area. Your figure should be similar to Fig. 1.2, but be based on the most recent data you can find. See References.

1.3 [20] Make a chart of the major industrial robot vendors and their market share, either in the U.S. or worldwide. See references section.

1.4 [10] In a sentence or two, define: kinematics, workspace, trajectory.

1.5 [10] In a sentence or two, define: frame, degree of freedom, position control.

1.6 [10] In a sentence or two, define: force control, robot programming language.

1.7 [10] In a sentence or two, define: structural stiffness, nonlinear control, and off-line programming.

1.8 [20] Make a chart indicating how labor costs have risen over the past 20 years.

1.9 [20] Make a chart indicating how the computer performance/price ratio has increased over the past 20 years.

1.10 [20] Make a chart showing the major users of industrial robots (e.g., aerospace, automotive, etc.) and the percentage of installed robots in use in each industry. Your figure should be similar to figure 1.3 but be based on the most recent data you can find. See references section.

Programming Exercise (Part 1)

Familiarize yourself with the computer you will use to do the programming exercises at the end of each chapter. Make sure you can create and edit files, and compile and execute programs.

2

SPATIAL DESCRIPTIONS AND TRANSFORMATIONS

2.1 Introduction

Robotic manipulation, by definition, implies that parts and tools will be moved around in space by some sort of mechanism. This naturally leads to the need of representing positions and orientations of the parts, tools, and of the mechanism itself. To define and manipulate mathematical quantities which represent position and orientation we must define coordinate systems and develop conventions for representation. Many of the ideas developed here in the context of position and orientation will form a basis for our later consideration of linear and rotational velocities as well as forces and torques.

We adopt the philosophy that somewhere there is a universe coordinate system to which everything we discuss can be referenced.
We will describe all positions and orientations with respect to the universe coordinate system or with respect to other Cartesian coordinate systems which are (or could be) defined relative to the universe system.

2.2 Descriptions: positions, orientations, and frames

A description is used to specify attributes of various objects with which a manipulation system deals. These objects are parts, tools, or perhaps the manipulator itself. In this section we discuss the description of positions, orientations, and an entity which contains both of these descriptions, frames.

Description of a position

Once a coordinate system is established we can locate any point in the universe with a $3 \times 1$ position vector. Because we will often define many coordinate systems in addition to the universe coordinate system, vectors must be tagged with information identifying which coordinate system they are defined within. In this book vectors are written with a leading superscript indicating the coordinate system to which they are referenced (unless it is clear from context), for example, $^A p$. This means that the components of $^A p$ have numerical values which indicate distances along the axes of $\{A\}$. Each of these distances along an axis can be thought of as the result of projecting the vector onto the corresponding axis.

![Figure 2.1 Vector relative to frame example.](image)

Figure 2.1 pictorially represents a coordinate system, $\{A\}$, with three mutually orthogonal unit vectors with solid heads. A point $^A p$ is represented with a vector and can equivalently be thought of as a position in space, or simply as an ordered set of three numbers. Individual elements of a vector are given subscripts $x$, $y$, and $z$:

$$^A p = \begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \\ p_z \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hfill (2.1)

In summary, we will describe the position of a point in space with a position vector. Other 3-tuple descriptions of the position of points, such as spherical or cylindrical coordinate representations are discussed in the exercises at the end of the chapter.

Description of an orientation

Often we will find it necessary not only to represent a point in space but also to describe the orientation of a body in space. For example, if vector $^A p$ in Fig. 2.2 locates the point directly between the fingertips of a manipulator's hand, the complete location of the hand is still not specified until its orientation is also given. Assuming that the manipulator has a sufficient number of joints the hand could be oriented arbitrarily while keeping the fingertips at the same position in space. In order to describe the orientation of a body we will attach a coordinate system to the body and then give a description of this coordinate system relative to the reference system. In Fig. 2.2, coordinate system $\{B\}$ has been attached to the body in a known way. A description of $\{B\}$ relative to $\{A\}$ now suffices to give the orientation of the body.

Thus, positions of points are described with vectors and orientations of bodies are described with an attached coordinate system. One way to describe the body-attached coordinate system, $\{B\}$, is to write the unit vectors of its three principal axes in terms of the coordinate system $\{A\}$.

We denote the unit vectors, giving the principal directions of coordinate system $\{B\}$ as $^B \mathbf{X}_B$, $^B \mathbf{Y}_B$, and $^B \mathbf{Z}_B$. When written in terms of coordinate system $\{A\}$ they are called $^A \mathbf{X}_B$, $^A \mathbf{Y}_B$, and $^A \mathbf{Z}_B$. It will be convenient if we stack these three unit vectors together as the columns of a $3 \times 3$ matrix, in the order $^A \mathbf{X}_B$, $^A \mathbf{Y}_B$, $^A \mathbf{Z}_B$. We will call this matrix a rotation matrix, and because this particular rotation matrix describes $\{B\}$ relative to $\{A\}$, we name it with the notation $^A B R$. The choice of leading sub- and superscripts in the definition of rotation matrices will

* How many are "sufficient" will be discussed in Chapters 3 and 4.

† It is often convenient to use three, although any two would suffice since the third can always be recovered by taking the cross product of the two given.
Since the dot product of two unit vectors yields the cosine of the angle between them, it is clear why the components of rotation matrices are often referred to as direction cosines.

Further inspection of (2.3) shows that the rows of the matrix are the unit vectors of \( \{A\} \) expressed in \( \{B\} \); that is,

\[
\mathbf{R}_B^A = \begin{bmatrix} A \hat{x}_B & A \hat{y}_B & A \hat{z}_B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B \hat{x}_B^T \\ B \hat{y}_B^T \\ B \hat{z}_A^T \end{bmatrix}.
\] (2.4)

Hence, \( \mathbf{R}_B^A \), the description of frame \( \{A\} \) relative to \( \{B\} \) is given by the transpose of (2.3); that is,

\[
\mathbf{R}_B^A = \mathbf{R}_B^A R^T.
\] (2.5)

This suggests that the inverse of a rotation matrix is equal to its transpose, a fact which can be easily verified as

\[
\mathbf{R}_B^A R^T \mathbf{R}_B^A = \begin{bmatrix} A \hat{x}_B^T \\ A \hat{y}_B^T \\ A \hat{z}_A^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \hat{x}_B \\ A \hat{y}_B \\ A \hat{z}_B \end{bmatrix} = I_3,
\] (2.6)

where \( I_3 \) is the \( 3 \times 3 \) identity matrix. Hence,

\[
\mathbf{R}_B^A = \mathbf{R}_B^A R^{-1} = \mathbf{R}_B^A R^T.
\] (2.7)

Indeed from linear algebra \([1]\) we know that the inverse of a matrix with orthonormal columns is equal to its transpose. We have just shown this geometrically.

### Description of a frame

The information needed to completely specify the whereabouts of the manipulator hand in Fig. 2.2 is a position and an orientation. The point on the body whose position we describe could be chosen arbitrarily, however: For convenience, the point whose position we will describe is chosen as the origin of the body-attached frame. The situation of a position and an orientation pair arises so often in robotics that we define an entity called a frame, which is a set of four vectors giving position and orientation information. For example, in Fig. 2.2 one vector locates the fingertip position and three more describe its orientation. Equivalently, the description of a frame can be thought of as a position vector and a rotation matrix. Note that a frame is a coordinate system, where in addition to the orientation we give a position vector which locates its origin relative to some other embedding frame. For example, frame \( \{B\} \)
is described by $A^B R$ and $A^P_{BORG}$, where $A^P_{BORG}$ is the vector which locates the origin of the frame $\{B\}$:

$$\{B\} = \{A^B R, A^P_{BORG}\}.$$  

(2.8)

In Fig. 2.3 there are three frames that are shown along with the universe coordinate system. Frames $\{A\}$ and $\{B\}$ are known relative to the universe coordinate system and frame $\{C\}$ is known relative to frame $\{A\}$.

In Fig. 2.3 we introduce a graphical representation of frames which is convenient in visualizing frames. A frame is depicted by three arrows representing unit vectors defining the principal axes of the frame. An arrow representing a vector is drawn from one origin to another. This vector represents the position of the origin at the head of the arrow in terms of the frame at the tail of the arrow. The direction of this locating arrow tells us, for example, in Fig. 2.3, that $\{C\}$ is known relative to $\{A\}$ and not vice versa.

In summary, a frame can be used as a description of one coordinate system relative to another. A frame encompasses the ideas of representing both position and orientation, and so may be thought of as a generalization of those two ideas. Positions could be represented by a frame whose rotation matrix part is the identity matrix and whose position vector part locates the point being described. Likewise, an orientation could be represented with a frame whose position vector part was the zero vector.

2.3 Mappings: changing descriptions from frame to frame

In a great many of the problems in robotics, we are concerned with expressing the same quantity in terms of various reference coordinate systems. The previous section having introduced descriptions of positions, orientations, and frames, we now consider the mathematics of mapping in order to change descriptions from frame to frame.

Mappings involving translated frames

In Fig. 2.4 we have a position defined by the vector $B^P$. We wish to express this point in space in terms of frame $\{A\}$, when $\{A\}$ has the same orientation as $\{B\}$. In this case, $\{B\}$ differs from $\{A\}$ only by a translation which is given by $A^P_{BORG}$, a vector which locates the origin of $\{B\}$ relative to $\{A\}$.

Because both vectors are defined relative to frames of the same orientation, we calculate the description of point $P$ relative to $\{A\}$, $A^P$, by vector addition:

$$A^P = B^P + A^P_{BORG},$$  

(2.9)

Note that only in the special case of equivalent orientations may we add vectors which are defined in terms of different frames.

FIGURE 2.4 Translational mapping.
In this simple example we have illustrated mapping a vector from one frame to another. This idea of mapping, or changing the description from one frame to another, is an extremely important concept. The quantity itself (here, a point in space) is not changed; only its description is changed. This is illustrated in Fig. 2.4, where the point described by $^B P$ is not translated, but remains the same, and instead we have computed a new description of the same point, but now with respect to system $\{A\}$.

We say that the vector $^AP_{\text{BORG}}$ defines this mapping, since all the information needed to perform the change in description is contained in $^AP_{\text{BORG}}$ (along with the knowledge that the frames had equivalent orientation).

Mappings involving rotated frames

Section 2.2 introduced the notion of describing an orientation by three unit vectors denoting the principal axes of a body-attached coordinate system. For convenience we stack these three unit vectors together as the columns of a $3 \times 3$ matrix. We will call this matrix a rotation matrix, and if this particular rotation matrix describes $\{B\}$ relative to $\{A\}$, we name it with the notation $^\beta_R^\alpha$.

Note that by our definition, the columns of a rotation matrix all have unit magnitude, and further, these unit vectors are orthogonal. As we saw earlier, a consequence of this is that

$$^\beta_R^\alpha = ^\beta_R^{-1} = ^\beta_R^{T}. \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore, since the columns of $^\beta_R^\alpha$ are the unit vectors of $\{B\}$ written in $\{A\}$, then the rows of $^\beta_R^\alpha$ are the unit vectors of $\{A\}$ written in $\{B\}$. So a rotation matrix can be interpreted as a set of three column vectors or as a set of three row vectors as follows:

$$^\beta_R^\alpha = \begin{bmatrix}
^\alpha X_B & ^\alpha Y_B & ^\alpha Z_B
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
^B X_A & ^B Y_A & ^B Z_A
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.11)$$

As in Fig. 2.5, the situation will arise often where we know the definition of a vector with respect to some frame, $\{B\}$, and we would like to know its definition with respect to another frame, $\{A\}$, where the origins of the two frames are coincident. This computation is possible when a description of the orientation of $\{B\}$ is known relative to $\{A\}$. This orientation is given by the rotation matrix $^\beta_R^\alpha$, whose columns are the unit vectors of $\{B\}$ written in $\{A\}$.

In order to calculate $^A P$, we note that the components of any vector are simply the projections of that vector onto the unit directions of its frame. The projection is calculated with the vector dot product. Thus we see that the components of $^A P$ may be calculated as

$$^A p_x = ^B X_A \cdot ^B P,$$
$$^A p_y = ^B Y_A \cdot ^B P,$$
$$^A p_z = ^B Z_A \cdot ^B P. \quad (2.12)$$

In order to express (2.12) in terms of a rotation matrix multiplication, we note from (2.11) that the rows of $^\beta_R^\alpha$ are $^B X_A, ^B Y_A,$ and $^B Z_A$. So (2.12) may be written compactly using a rotation matrix as

$$^A P = ^\beta_R^\alpha \cdot ^B P. \quad (2.13)$$

Equation (2.13) implements a mapping—that is, it changes the description of a vector—from $^B P$, which describes a point in space relative to $\{B\}$, into $^A P$, which is a description of the same point, but expressed relative to $\{A\}$.

We now see that our notation is of great help in keeping track of mappings and frames of reference. A helpful way of viewing the notation we have introduced is to imagine that leading subscripts cancel the leading superscripts of the following entity, for example the $B$s in (2.13).
EXAMPLE 2.1

Figure 2.6 shows a frame \( \{B\} \) which is rotated relative to frame \( \{A\} \) about \( \hat{Z} \) by 30 degrees. Here, \( \hat{Z} \) is pointing out of the page.

Writing the unit vectors of \( \{B\} \) in terms of \( \{A\} \) and stacking them as the columns of the rotation matrix we obtain

\[
\hat{\mathbf{A}}_B^R = \begin{bmatrix}
0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 \\
0.500 & 0.866 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 1.000
\end{bmatrix} \tag{2.14}
\]

Given

\[
\hat{B}P = \begin{bmatrix}
0.0 \\
2.0 \\
0.0
\end{bmatrix} \tag{2.15}
\]

We calculate \( \hat{A}P \),

\[
\hat{A}P = \hat{A}R \hat{B}P = \begin{bmatrix}
-1.000 \\
1.732 \\
0.000
\end{bmatrix} \tag{2.16}
\]

Here \( \hat{A}R \) acts as a mapping which is used to describe \( \hat{B}P \) relative to frame \( \{A\} \), \( \hat{A}P \). As introduced in the case of translations, it is important to remember that, viewed as a mapping, the original vector \( P \) is not changed in space. Rather, we compute a new description of the vector relative to another frame.

Mappings involving general frames

Very often we know the description of a vector with respect to some frame, \( \{B\} \), and we would like to know its description with respect to another frame, \( \{A\} \). We now consider the general case of mapping. Here the origin of frame \( \{B\} \) is not coincident with that of frame \( \{A\} \) but has a general vector offset. The vector that locates \( \{B\} \)’s origin is called \( \hat{A}P_{BORG} \). Also \( \{B\} \) is rotated with respect to \( \{A\} \) as described by \( \hat{A}R \).

Given \( \hat{B}P \), we wish to compute \( \hat{A}P \) as in Fig. 2.7.

We can first change \( \hat{B}P \) to its description relative to an intermediate frame which has the same orientation as \( \{A\} \), but whose origin is coincident with the origin of \( \{B\} \). This is done by premultiplying by \( \hat{B}R \) as in Section 2.3. We then account for the translation between origins by simple vector addition as in Section 2.3, yielding

\[
\hat{A}P = \hat{A}R \hat{B}P + \hat{A}P_{BORG} \tag{2.17}
\]

Equation (2.17) describes a general transformation mapping of a vector from its description in one frame to a description in a second frame. Note the following interpretation of our notation as exemplified in (2.17): the \( B \)’s cancel leaving all quantities as vectors written in terms of \( A \), which may then be added.

The form of (2.17) is not as appealing as the conceptual form,

\[
\hat{A}P = \hat{A}T \hat{B}P. \tag{2.18}
\]

That is, we would like to think of a mapping from one frame to another as an operator in matrix form. This aids in writing compact equations as

\[\text{FIGURE 2.6} \ (\{B\} \text{ rotated 30 degrees about } \hat{Z}).\]

\[\text{FIGURE 2.7} \ \text{General transform of a vector.}\]
well as being conceptually clearer than (2.17). In order that we can write the mathematics given in (2.17) in the matrix operator form suggested by (2.18), we define a $4 \times 4$ matrix operator, and use $4 \times 1$ position vectors, so that (2.18) has the structure

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{A}P \\
\hat{A}R \\
\hat{A}p_{\text{org}} \\
1
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{A}R & \hat{A}p_{\text{org}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{B}P \\
\hat{B}p \\
\hat{B}p_{\text{org}} \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(2.19)

That is,

1. A "1" is added as the last element of the $4 \times 1$ vectors.
2. A row "[0 0 0 1]" is added as the last row of the $4 \times 4$ matrix.

We adopt the convention that a position vector is $3 \times 1$ or $4 \times 1$ depending on whether it appears multiplied by a $3 \times 3$ matrix or by a $4 \times 4$ matrix. It is readily seen that (2.19) implements

$$
\hat{A}P = \hat{A}R \hat{B}P + \hat{A}p_{\text{org}}
$$

(2.20)

The $4 \times 4$ matrix in (2.19) is called a **homogeneous transform**. For our purposes it can be regarded purely as a construction used to cast the rotation and translation of the general transform into a single matrix form. In other fields of study it can be used to compute perspective and scaling operations (when the last row is other than "[0 0 0 1]", or the rotation matrix is not orthonormal). The interested reader should see [2].

Often we will write equations like (2.18) without any notation indicating that this is a homogeneous representation, because it is obvious from context. Note that while homogeneous transforms are useful in writing compact equations, a computer program to transform vectors would generally not use them because of time wasted multiplying ones and zeros. Thus, this representation is mainly for our convenience when thinking and writing equations down on paper.

Just as we used rotation matrices to specify an orientation, we will use transforms (usually in homogeneous representation) to specify a frame. Note that while we have introduced homogeneous transforms in the context of mappings, they also serve as descriptions of frames. The description of frame $\{B\}$ relative to $\{A\}$ is $\hat{A}B T$.

---

**EXAMPLE 2.2**

Figure 2.8 shows a frame $\{B\}$ which is rotated relative to frame $\{A\}$ about $\hat{Z}$ by 30 degrees, and translated 10 units in $\hat{X}_A$, and 5 units in $\hat{Y}_A$. Find $\hat{A}P$ where $\hat{B}P = [3.0 \ 7.0 \ 0.0]^T$.

![Figure 2.8 Frame $\{B\}$ rotated and translated.](image)

The definition of frame $\{B\}$ is

$$
\hat{A}B T =
\begin{bmatrix}
0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 & 10.0 \\
0.500 & 0.866 & 0.000 & 5.0 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 1.000 & 0.0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(2.21)

Given

$$
\hat{B}P =
\begin{bmatrix}
3.0 \\
7.0 \\
0.0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(2.22)

We use the definition of $\{B\}$ given above as a transformation,

$$
\hat{A}P = \hat{A}B T \hat{B}P
$$

(2.23)
2.4 Operators: translations, rotations, transformations

The same mathematical forms which we have used to map points between frames can also be interpreted as operators which translate points, rotate vectors, or both. This section illustrates this interpretation of the mathematics we have already developed.

Translational operators

A translation moves a point in space a finite distance along a given vector direction. Using this interpretation of actually translating the point in space, only one coordinate system need be involved. It turns out that translating the point in space is accomplished with the same mathematics as mapping the point to a second frame. Almost always, it is very important to understand which interpretation of the mathematics is being used. The distinction is as simple as this: When a vector is moved "forward" relative to a frame, we may consider either that the vector moved "forward" or that the frame moved "backward." The mathematics involved in the two cases is identical, only our view of the situation is different. Figure 2.9 indicates pictorially how a vector \( ^A P_1 \) is translated by a vector \( ^A Q \). Here the vector \( ^A Q \) gives the information needed to perform the translation.

![Translation operator](image)

FIGURE 2.9 Translation operator.

The result of the operation is a new vector \( ^A P_2 \), calculated as

\[
^A P_2 = ^A P_1 + ^A Q. \tag{2.24}
\]

To write this translation operation as a matrix operator, we use the notation

\[
^A P_2 = D_Q(q) \cdot ^A P_1, \tag{2.25}
\]

where \( q \) is the signed magnitude of the translation along the vector direction \( Q \). The \( D_Q \) operator may be thought of as a homogeneous transform of the special simple form:

\[
D_Q(q) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & q_x \\
0 & 1 & 0 & q_y \\
0 & 0 & 1 & q_z \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\tag{2.26}
\]

where \( q_x, q_y, \) and \( q_z \) are the components of the translation vector \( Q \) and \( q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2 + q_z^2} \). Equations (2.9) and (2.24) implement the same mathematics. Note that if we had defined \( ^B P_{A \text{ORG}} \) (instead of \( ^A P_{B \text{ORG}} \)) in Fig. 2.4 and had used it in (2.9) then we would have seen a sign change between (2.9) and (2.24). This sign change would indicate the difference between moving the vector "forward" and moving the coordinate system "backward." By defining the location of \( \{B\} \) relative to \( \{A\} \) (with \( ^A P_{B \text{ORG}} \)) we cause the mathematics of the two interpretations to be the same. Now that the "\( D_Q \)" notation has been introduced, we may also use it to describe frames, and also as a mapping.

Rotational operators

Another interpretation of a rotation matrix is as a rotational operator which operates on a vector \( ^A P_1 \) and changes that vector to a new vector, \( ^A P_2 \), by means of a rotation, \( R \). Usually, when a rotation matrix is shown as an operator no sub- or superscripts appear since it is not viewed as relating two frames. That is, we may write

\[
^A P_2 = R \cdot ^A P_1. \tag{2.27}
\]

Again, as in the case of translations, the mathematics described in (2.13) and in (2.27) is the same; only our interpretation is different. This fact also allows us to see how to obtain rotational matrices which are to be used as operators:

The rotation matrix which rotates vectors through some rotation, \( R \), is the same as the rotation matrix which describes a frame rotated by \( R \) relative to the reference frame.
Although a rotation matrix is easily viewed as an operator, we will also define another notation for a rotational operator which clearly indicates which axis is being rotated about:

\[ A_P_2 = R_K(\theta) A_P_1. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.28)

In this notation "\(R_K(\theta)\)" is a rotational operator which performs a rotation about the axis direction \(\hat{K}\) by an amount \(\theta\) degrees. This operator may be written as a homogeneous transform whose position vector part is zero. For example, substitution into (2.11) yields the operator which rotates about the \(\hat{Z}\) axis by \(\theta\) as

\[ R_Z(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.29)

Of course, to rotate a position vector we could just as well use the \(3 \times 3\) rotation matrix part of the homogeneous transform. The "\(R_K\)" notation, therefore, may be considered to represent a \(3 \times 3\) or a \(4 \times 4\) matrix. Later in this chapter we will see how to write the rotation matrix for a rotation about a general axis, \(\hat{K}\).

**EXAMPLE 2.3**

Figure 2.10 shows a vector \(A_P_1\). We wish to compute the vector obtained by rotating this vector about \(\hat{Z}\) by 30 degrees. Call the new vector \(A_P_2\).

The rotation matrix which rotates vectors by 30 degrees about \(\hat{Z}\) is the same as the rotation matrix which describes a frame rotated 30 degrees about \(\hat{Z}\) relative to the reference frame. Thus the correct rotational operator is

\[ R_Z(30.0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 \\ 0.500 & 0.866 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 1.000 \end{bmatrix}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.30)

Given

\[ A_P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.0 \end{bmatrix}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.31)

We calculate \(A_P_2\) as

\[ A_P_2 = R_Z(30.0) A_P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.000 \\ 1.732 \\ 0.000 \end{bmatrix}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.32)

Equations (2.13) and (2.27) implement the same mathematics. Note that if we had defined \(R^{-1}\) (instead of \(R^{-1}\)) in (2.13) then the inverse of \(R\) would appear in (2.27). This change would indicate the difference between rotating the vector "forward" versus rotating the coordinate system "backward." By defining the location of \(\{B\}\) relative to \(\{A\}\) (with \(R^{-1}\) we cause the mathematics of the two interpretations to be the same.

**Transformation operators**

As with vectors and rotation matrices, a frame has another interpretation as a *transformation operator*. In this interpretation, only one coordinate system is involved, and so the symbol \(T\) is used without sub- or superscripts. The operator \(T\) rotates and translates a vector \(A_P_1\) to compute a new vector, \(A_P_2\). Thus

\[ A_P_2 = T A_P_1. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.33)

Again, as in the case of rotations, the mathematics described in (2.18) and in (2.33) is the same, only our interpretation is different. This fact also allows us to see how to obtain homogeneous transforms which are to be used as operators:
The transform which rotates by \( R \) and translates by \( Q \) is the same as the transform which describes a frame rotated by \( R \) and translated by \( Q \) relative to the reference frame.

A transform is usually thought of as being in the form of a homogeneous transform with general rotation matrix and position vector parts.

**EXAMPLE 2.4**

Figure 2.11 shows a vector \( \tilde{A}P_1 \). We wish to rotate it about \( \tilde{Z} \) by 30 degrees, and translate it 10 units in \( \tilde{X}_A \), and 5 units in \( \tilde{Y}_A \). Find \( \tilde{A}P_2 \) where \( \tilde{A}P_1 = [3.0 \ 7.0 \ 0.0]^T \).

The operator \( T \), which performs the translation and rotation, is

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 & 10.0 \\
0.500 & 0.866 & 0.000 & 5.0 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 1.000 & 0.0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(2.34)

Given

\[
\tilde{A}P_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
3.0 \\
7.0 \\
0.0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(2.35)

We use \( T \) as an operator:

\[
\tilde{A}P_2 = T \tilde{A}P_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
9.098 \\
12.562 \\
0.000
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(2.36)

Note that this example is numerically exactly the same as Example 2.2, but the interpretation is quite different.

### 2.5 Summary of interpretations

We have introduced concepts first for the case of translation only, then for the case of rotation only, and finally for the general case of rotation about a point and translation of that point. Having understood the general case of rotation and translation, we will not need to explicitly consider the two simpler cases since they are contained within the general framework.

As a general tool to represent frames we have introduced the **homogeneous transform**, a \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix containing orientation and position information.

We have introduced three interpretations of this homogeneous transform:

1. It is a **description of a frame**. \( \tilde{B}T \) describes the frame \( \{B\} \) relative to the frame \( \{A\} \). Specifically, the columns of \( \tilde{B}R \) are unit vectors defining the directions of the principal axes of \( \{B\} \), and \( \tilde{A}P_{0B} \) locates the position of the origin of \( \{B\} \).
2. It is a **transform mapping**. \( \tilde{B}T \) maps \( \tilde{B}P \rightarrow \tilde{A}P \).
3. It is a **transform operator**. \( T \) operates on \( \tilde{A}P_1 \) to create \( \tilde{A}P_2 \).

From this point on the terms frame and transform will both be used to refer to a position vector plus an orientation. Frame is the term favored when speaking of a description, and transform is used most frequently when use as a mapping or operator is implied. Note that transformations are generalizations of translations and rotations, so we will often use the term transform when speaking of a pure rotation (or translation).

### 2.6 Transformation arithmetic

In this section we look at the multiplication of transforms and the inversion of transforms. These two elementary operations form a functionally complete set of transform operators.
Compound transformations

In Fig. 2.12, we have $C P$ and wish to find $A P$.
Frame $C$ is known relative to frame $B$, and frame $B$ is known relative to frame $A$. We can transform $C P$ into $B P$ as

$$B P = \frac{B}{C} T C P, \quad (2.37)$$

And then transform $B P$ into $A P$ as

$$A P = \frac{A}{B} T B P. \quad (2.38)$$

Combining (2.37) and (2.38) we get the following, not unexpected result:

$$A P = \frac{A}{C} T B C P, \quad (2.39)$$

from which we could define

$$\frac{A}{C} T = \frac{A}{B} T \frac{B}{C} T. \quad (2.40)$$

Again, note that familiarity with the sub- and superscript notation makes these manipulations simple. In terms of the known descriptions of $B$ and $C$, we can give the expression for $\frac{A}{C} T$ as

$$\frac{A}{C} T = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{A}{B} R \frac{B}{C} R & \frac{A}{B} R B P_{COR} + A P_{BORG} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.41)$$

Inverting a transform

Consider a frame $\{B\}$ which is known with respect to a frame $\{A\}$; that is, we know the value of $\frac{A}{B} T$. Sometimes we will wish to invert this transform, in order to get a description of $\{A\}$ relative to $\{B\}$; i.e., $\frac{B}{A} T$. A straightforward way of calculating the inverse is to compute the inverse of the $4 \times 4$ homogeneous transform. However, if we do so, we are not taking full advantage of the structure inherent in the transform. It is easy to find a computationally simpler method of computing the inverse which does take advantage of this structure.

To find $\frac{A}{B} T$ we must compute $\frac{A}{B} R$ and $BP_{BORG}$ from $\frac{A}{B} R$ and $AP_{BORG}$. First, recall from our discussion of rotation matrices that

$$\frac{A}{B} R = \frac{A}{B} R^T. \quad (2.42)$$

Next, we change the description of $AP_{BORG}$ into $\{B\}$ using Eq. (2.12):

$$B (AP_{BORG}) = \frac{B}{A} R AP_{BORG} + BP_{AORG}. \quad (2.43)$$

Since the left-hand side of Eq. (2.43) must be zero, we have

$$BP_{AORG} = -\frac{B}{A} R AP_{BORG} = -\frac{B}{A} R^T AP_{BORG}. \quad (2.44)$$

Using (2.42) and (2.44) we can write the form of $\frac{A}{B} T$ as

$$\frac{A}{B} T = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{A}{B} R^T & -\frac{A}{B} R^T AP_{BORG} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.45)$$

Note that with our notation,

$$\frac{A}{B} T = \frac{A}{B} T^{-1}.$$ 

Equation (2.45) is a general and extremely useful way of computing the inverse of a homogeneous transform.

**EXAMPLE 2.5**

Figure 2.13 shows a frame $\{B\}$ which is rotated relative to frame $\{A\}$ about $\hat{Z}$ by 30 degrees, and translated four units in $\hat{X}$, and three units in $\hat{Y}$. Thus, we have a description of $\frac{A}{B} T$. Find $\frac{B}{A} T$.

The frame defining $\{B\}$ is

$$\frac{B}{A} T = \begin{bmatrix}
0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 & 4.0 \\
0.500 & 0.866 & 0.000 & 3.0 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & -1.000 & 0.0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.46)$$
2.7 Transform equations

Figure 2.14 indicates a situation in which a frame \{D\} can be expressed as products of transformations in two different ways. First,

\[ \frac{U}{B}T = \frac{U}{A}T \frac{A}{B}T, \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.48)

but also as

\[ \frac{U}{B}T = \frac{U}{B}T \frac{B}{C}T \frac{C}{D}T. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.49)

We may set these two descriptions of \( \frac{U}{B}T \) equal to form a transform equation:

\[ \frac{U}{A}T \frac{A}{B}T = \frac{U}{B}T \frac{B}{C}T \frac{C}{D}T. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.50)

Transform equations may be used to solve for transforms in the case of \( n \) unknown transforms and \( n \) transform equations. Consider (2.50) in the case that all transforms are known except \( \frac{B}{C}T \). Here we have one transform equation and one unknown transform; hence, we easily find its solution as

\[ \frac{B}{C}T = \frac{B}{B}T^{-1} \frac{U}{B}T \frac{A}{B}T \frac{A}{C}T \frac{C}{C}T. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.51)

Figure 2.15 indicates another similar situation.

Note that in all figures we have introduced a graphical representation of frames as an arrow pointing from one origin to another origin. The arrow’s direction indicates which way the frames are defined: in Fig. 2.14, frame \( \{D\} \) is defined relative to \( \{A\} \), but in Fig. 2.15 frame \( \{A\} \) is defined relative to \( \{D\} \). In order to compound frames when the arrows line up, we simply compute the product of the transforms. If an arrow points the opposite way in a chain of transforms, we simply compute its inverse first. In Fig. 2.15 two possible descriptions of \( \{C\} \) are

\[ \frac{U}{C}T = \frac{U}{A}T \frac{A}{B}T^{-1} \frac{B}{C}T \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.52)

and

\[ \frac{U}{C}T = \frac{U}{B}T \frac{B}{C}T. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.53)

Again, we might equate (2.52) and (2.53) to solve for, say, \( \frac{A}{C}T \):

\[ \frac{A}{B}T = \frac{U}{B}T \frac{B}{C}T \frac{C}{B}T^{-1} \frac{B}{A}T. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.54)
2.8 More on representation of orientation

So far, our only means of representing an orientation is by giving a 3 x 3 rotation matrix. As shown, rotation matrices are special in that all columns are mutually orthogonal and have unit magnitude. Further, we will see that the determinant of a rotation matrix is always equal to +1. Rotation matrices may also be called proper orthonormal matrices where “proper” refers to the fact that the determinant is +1 (nonproper orthonormal matrices have a determinant of −1).

It is natural to ask whether it is possible to describe an orientation with fewer than nine numbers. A result from linear algebra known as Cayley’s formula for orthonormal matrices [3] states that for any proper orthonormal matrix, \( R \), there exists a skew-symmetric matrix, \( S \), such that

\[
R = (I_3 - S)^{-1}(I_3 + S),
\]

\( (2.56) \)
where $I_3$ is a $3 \times 3$ unit matrix. Now a skew-symmetric matrix (i.e., $S = -S^T$) of dimension 3 is specified by three parameters $(s_x, s_y, s_z)$ as

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -s_z & s_y \\ s_z & 0 & -s_x \\ -s_y & s_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hfill (2.57)

Therefore, an immediate consequence of formula (2.56) is that any $3 \times 3$ rotation matrix can be specified by just three parameters.

Clearly, the nine elements of a rotation matrix are not all independent. In fact, given a rotation matrix, $R$, it is easy to write down the six dependencies between the elements. Imagine $R$ as three columns, as originally introduced:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{X} & \hat{Y} & \hat{Z} \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hfill (2.58)

As we know from Section 2.2, these three vectors are the unit axes of some frame written in terms of the reference frame. Since each is a unit vector, and since all three must be mutually perpendicular, we see that there are six constraints on the nine matrix elements:

$$|\hat{X}| = 1,$$

$$|\hat{Y}| = 1,$$

$$|\hat{Z}| = 1,$$

$$\hat{X} \cdot \hat{Y} = 0,$$

$$\hat{X} \cdot \hat{Z} = 0,$$

$$\hat{Y} \cdot \hat{Z} = 0.$$  \hfill (2.59)

It is natural then to ask whether representations of orientation can be devised such that the representation is conveniently specified with three parameters. This section will present several such representations.

Whereas translations along three mutually perpendicular axes are quite easy to visualize, rotations seem less intuitive. Unfortunately, people have a hard time describing and specifying orientations in three-dimensional space. One difficulty is that rotations don’t generally commute. That is, $B R A R$ is not the same as $B R B R$.

### EXAMPLE 2.7

Consider two rotations, one about $\hat{Z}$ by 30 degrees and one about $\hat{X}$ by 30 degrees.

$$R_Z(30) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 \\ 0.500 & 0.866 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hfill (2.60)

$$R_X(30) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.866 & -0.500 \\ 0.000 & 0.500 & 0.866 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hfill (2.61)

$$R_Z(30) R_X(30) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.87 & -0.43 & 0.25 \\ -0.43 & 0.75 & 0.50 \\ -0.25 & -0.50 & 0.87 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hfill (2.62)

$$R_Z(30) R_Z(30) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.87 & -0.50 & 0.00 \\ 0.50 & 0.75 & -0.50 \\ 0.00 & 0.50 & 0.87 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is not surprising since we use matrices to represent rotations and multiplication of matrices is not commutative in general. \hfill \blackslug

Because rotations can be thought of either as operators or as descriptions of orientation, it is not surprising that different representations are favored for each of these uses. Rotation matrices are useful as operators. Their matrix form is such that when multiplied by a vector they perform the rotation operation. However, rotation matrices are somewhat unwieldy when used to specify an orientation. A human operator at a computer terminal who wishes to type in the specification of the desired orientation of a robot’s hand would have a hard time input a nine-element matrix with orthonormal columns. A representation which requires only three numbers would be simpler. The following sections introduce several such representations.

#### X-Y-Z fixed angles

One method of describing the orientation of a frame $\{B\}$ is as follows:

Start with the frame coincident with a known reference frame $\{A\}$.
First rotate $\{B\}$ about $X_A$ by an angle $\gamma$, then rotate about $Y_A$ by an angle $\beta$, and then rotate about $Z_A$ by an angle $\alpha$.

Each of the three rotations takes place about an axis in the fixed reference frame, $\{A\}$. We will call this convention for specifying an orientation X-Y-Z fixed angles. The word “fixed” refers to the fact that the rotations are specified about the fixed (i.e., non-moving) reference frame (Fig. 2.17). Sometimes this convention is referred to as roll, pitch,
yaw angles, but care must be used, as this name is often given to other related but different conventions.

The derivation of the equivalent rotation matrix, \( A R_{XYZ}(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) \), is straightforward because all rotations occur about axes of the reference frame:

\[
A R_{XYZ}(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = R_Z(\alpha) R_Y(\beta) R_X(\gamma)
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha & -\alpha & 0 \\
\alpha & \alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
c\beta & 0 & s\beta \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-s\beta & 0 & c\beta
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c\gamma & -s\gamma \\
0 & s\gamma & c\gamma
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(2.63)

where \( \alpha \) is shorthand for \( \cos \alpha \) and \( \alpha \) for \( \sin \alpha \), etc. It is extremely important to understand the order of rotations used in (2.63). Thinking in terms of rotations as operators, we have applied the rotations (from the right) of \( R_X(\gamma) \), then \( R_Y(\beta) \), and then \( R_Z(\alpha) \). Multiplying (2.63) out, we obtain

\[
A R_{XYZ}(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) =
\begin{bmatrix}
c\alpha & \cos \beta \cos \gamma - \sin \beta \sin \gamma & \cos \beta \sin \gamma + \sin \beta \cos \gamma \\
\sin \alpha & -\cos \beta \sin \gamma + \sin \beta \cos \gamma & \cos \beta \cos \gamma + \sin \beta \sin \gamma \\
0 & -s\beta & c\beta
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(2.64)

Keep in mind that the definition given here specifies the order of the three rotations. Equation (2.64) is correct only for rotations performed in the order: about \( \hat{X}_A \) by \( \gamma \), about \( \hat{Y}_A \) by \( \beta \), about \( \hat{Z}_A \) by \( \alpha \).

The inverse problem, that of extracting equivalent X-Y-Z fixed angles from a rotation matrix is often of interest. The solution depends on solving a set of transcendental equations: there are nine equations and three unknowns if (2.64) is equated to a given rotation matrix. Amongst the nine equations are six dependencies, so essentially we have three equations and three unknowns. Let

\[
A R_{XYZ}(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) =
\begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} & \gamma_{13} \\
\gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{23} \\
\gamma_{31} & \gamma_{32} & \gamma_{33}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(2.65)

From (2.64) we see that by taking the square root of the sum of the squares of \( \gamma_{11} \) and \( \gamma_{21} \) we can compute \( \cos \beta \). Then, we can solve for \( \beta \) with arc tangent of \(-\gamma_{31}\) over the computed cosine. Then, as long as \( c\beta \neq 0 \) we can solve for \( \alpha \) by taking the arc tangent of \( \gamma_{21}/c\beta \) over \( \gamma_{11}/c\beta \), and we can solve for \( \gamma \) by taking the arc tangent of \( \gamma_{32}/c\beta \) over \( \gamma_{33}/c\beta \).

In summary:

\[
\beta = \text{Atan2}(-\gamma_{31}, \sqrt{\gamma_{11}^2 + \gamma_{21}^2}),
\]

(2.66)

\[
\alpha = \text{Atan2}(\gamma_{21}/c\beta, \gamma_{11}/c\beta),
\]

\[
\gamma = \text{Atan2}(\gamma_{32}/c\beta, \gamma_{33}/c\beta),
\]

where \( \text{Atan2}(y, x) \) is a two-argument arc tangent function.*

Although a second solution exists, by using the positive square root in the formula for \( \beta \), we always compute the single solution for which \(-90.0^\circ \leq \beta \leq 90.0^\circ \). This is usually a good practice, since we can then define one-to-one mapping functions between various representations of orientation. However, in some cases, calculating all solutions is important (more on this in Chapter 4). If \( \beta = \pm 90.0^\circ \) (so that \( c\beta = 0 \)), the solution of (2.66) degenerates. In those cases, only the sum or the difference of \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) may be computed. One possible convention is to choose \( \alpha = 0.0 \) in these cases, which has the results given below.

If \( \beta = 90.0^\circ \), then a solution may be calculated as

\[
\beta = 90.0^\circ,
\]

\[
\alpha = 0.0.
\]

(2.67)

* \( \text{Atan2}(y, x) \) computes \( \tan^{-1}(\frac{y}{x}) \) but uses the signs of both \( x \) and \( y \) to determine the quadrant in which the resulting angle lies. For example, \( \text{Atan2}(-2.0, -2.0) = -135^\circ \); whereas \( \text{Atan2}(2.0, 2.0) = 45^\circ \), a distinction which would be lost with a single-argument arc tangent function. As we are frequently computing angles which can range over a full 360°, we will make use of the \( \text{Atan2} \) function regularly. Note that \( \text{Atan2} \) becomes undefined when both arguments are zero. It is sometimes called a "4-quadrant arc tangent," and some programming language libraries have it predefined.
If \( \beta = -90.0^\circ \), then a solution may be calculated as
\[
\beta = -90.0^\circ, \\
\alpha = 0.0, \\
\gamma = -\text{Atan2}(r_{12}, r_{22}).
\] (2.68)

**Z-Y-X Euler angles**

Another possible description of a frame \( \{B\} \) is as follows:

Start with the frame coincident with a known frame \( \{A\} \). First rotate \( \{B\} \) about \( \hat{Z}_B \) by an angle \( \alpha \), then rotate about \( \hat{Y}_B \) by an angle \( \beta \), and then rotate about \( \hat{X}_B \) by an angle \( \gamma \).

In this representation, each rotation is performed about an axis of the moving system \( \{B\} \), rather than the fixed reference, \( \{A\} \). Such a set of three rotations are called Euler angles. Note that each rotation takes place about an axis whose location depends upon the preceding rotations. Because the three rotations occur about the axes \( \hat{Z}, \hat{Y}, \) and \( \hat{X} \), we will call this representation **Z-Y-X Euler angles**.

Figure 2.18 shows the axes of \( \{B\} \) after each Euler angle rotation is applied. Rotation \( \alpha \) about \( \hat{Z} \) causes \( \hat{X} \) to rotate into \( \hat{X}' \), and \( \hat{Y} \) to rotate into \( \hat{Y}' \), and so on. An additional “prime” gets added to each axis with each rotation. A rotation matrix which is parameterized by Z-Y-X Euler angles will be indicated with the notation \( R_{Z'Y'X'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \). Note that we have added “primes” to the subscripts to indicate that this rotation is described by Euler angles.

---

**FIGURE 2.18**  Z-Y-X Euler angles.

---

With reference to Fig. 2.18, we can use the intermediate frames \( \{B'\} \) and \( \{B''\} \) in order to give an expression for \( R_{Z'Y'X'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \). Thinking of the rotations as descriptions of these frames, we can immediately write
\[
R_{B\cdot R_{B'\cdot R_{B''\cdot R}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} = R_{Z'Y'X'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)
\] (2.69)
where each of the relative descriptions on the right-hand side of (2.69) is given by the statement of the Z-Y-X Euler angle convention. Namely, the final orientation of \( \{B\} \) is given relative to \( \{A\} \) as
\[
R_{Z'Y'X'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\
\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
c\beta & 0 & s\beta \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-s\beta & 0 & c\beta
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c\gamma & -s\gamma \\
0 & s\gamma & c\gamma
\end{bmatrix}
\] (2.70)
where \( c\alpha = \cos \alpha \) and \( s\alpha = \sin \alpha \), etc. Multiplying out, we obtain
\[
R_{Z'Y'X'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \beta \cos \gamma - \sin \beta \sin \gamma \cos \alpha & \cos \beta \cos \gamma + \sin \beta \sin \gamma \sin \alpha & \cos \beta \sin \gamma - \sin \beta \cos \gamma \\
\sin \alpha \sin \beta \cos \gamma + \cos \alpha \sin \gamma \sin \beta & \sin \alpha \sin \beta \sin \gamma - \cos \alpha \cos \beta \sin \gamma & \sin \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma + \cos \alpha \sin \beta \sin \gamma \\
\cos \alpha \sin \gamma & -\sin \gamma \cos \alpha & \cos \gamma \cos \alpha
\end{bmatrix}
\] (2.71)

Note that the result is exactly the same as that obtained for the same three rotations taken in the opposite order about fixed axes! This somewhat nonintuitive result holds in general: three rotations taken about fixed axes yield the same final orientation as the same three rotations taken in opposite order about the axes of the moving frame.

Since (2.71) is equivalent to (2.64), there is no need to repeat the solution for extracting Z-Y-X Euler angles from a rotation matrix. That is, (2.66) can also be used to solve for Z-Y-X Euler angles which correspond to a given rotation matrix.

**Z-Y-Z Euler angles**

Another possible description of a frame \( \{B\} \) is as follows:

Start with the frame coincident with a known frame \( \{A\} \). First rotate \( \{B\} \) about \( \hat{Z}_B \) by an angle \( \alpha \), then rotate about \( \hat{Y}_B \) by an angle \( \beta \), and then rotate about \( \hat{Z}_B \) by an angle \( \gamma \).

Note that since rotations are described relative to the frame we are moving, \( \{B\} \), this is an Euler angle description. Because the three rotations occur about the axes \( \hat{Z}, \hat{Y}, \) and \( \hat{Z} \), we will call this representation **Z-Y-Z Euler angles**.

Following a development exactly as in the last section we arrive at the following equivalent rotation matrix:
\[
R_{Z'Y'Z'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \beta \cos \gamma - \sin \beta \sin \gamma \cos \alpha & \cos \beta \cos \gamma + \sin \beta \sin \gamma \sin \alpha & \cos \beta \sin \gamma - \sin \beta \cos \gamma \\
\sin \alpha \sin \beta \cos \gamma + \cos \alpha \sin \gamma \sin \beta & \sin \alpha \sin \beta \sin \gamma - \cos \alpha \cos \beta \sin \gamma & \sin \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma + \cos \alpha \sin \beta \sin \gamma \\
\cos \alpha \sin \gamma & -\sin \gamma \cos \alpha & \cos \gamma \cos \alpha
\end{bmatrix}
\] (2.72)
The solution for extracting Z-Y-Z Euler angles from a rotation matrix is stated below.

Given
\[ \tilde{R}^{Z'} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix}. \] (2.73)

If \( \sin \beta \neq 0 \), then
\[ \beta = \text{Atan2}(\sqrt{r_{31}^2 + r_{32}^2}, r_{33}), \]
\[ \alpha = \text{Atan2}(r_{23}/s\beta, r_{13}/s\beta), \]
\[ \gamma = \text{Atan2}(r_{32}/s\beta, -r_{31}/s\beta). \] (2.74)

Although a second solution exists, by using the positive square root in the formula for \( \beta \), we always compute the single solution for which \( 0.0 \leq \beta \leq 180.0^\circ \). If \( \beta = 0.0 \) or \( 180.0^\circ \), the solution of (2.74) degenerates. In those cases, only the sum or the difference of \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) may be computed. One possible convention is to choose \( \alpha = 0.0 \) in these cases, which has the results given below.

If \( \beta = 0.0 \), then a solution may be calculated as
\[ \beta = 0.0, \]
\[ \alpha = 0.0, \]
\[ \gamma = \text{Atan2}(-r_{12}, r_{11}). \] (2.75)

If \( \beta = 180.0^\circ \), then a solution may be calculated as
\[ \beta = 180.0^\circ, \]
\[ \alpha = 0.0, \]
\[ \gamma = \text{Atan2}(r_{12}, -r_{11}). \] (2.76)

Other angle set conventions

In the preceding subsections we have seen three conventions for specifying orientation, X-Y-Z fixed angles, Z-Y-X Euler angles, and Z-Y-Z Euler angles. Each of these conventions requires performing three rotations about principal axes in a certain order. These conventions are examples of a set of 24 conventions which we will call angle set conventions. Of these, 12 conventions are for fixed angle sets, and 12 are for Euler angle sets. Note that because of the duality of fixed angle sets and Euler angle sets, there are really only 12 unique parameterizations of a rotation matrix using successive rotations about principal axes. While there is often no particular reason to favor one convention over another, since various authors adopt different ones, it is useful to list the equivalent rotation matrices for all 24 conventions. Appendix B (in the back of the book) gives the equivalent rotation matrices for all 24 conventions.

Equivalent angle-axis

With the notation \( R_X(30.0) \) we give the description of an orientation by giving an axis, \( \hat{X} \), and an angle, 30.0 degrees. This is an example of an equivalent angle-axis representation. If the axis is a general direction (rather than one of the unit directions) any orientation may be obtained through proper axis and angle selection. Consider the following description of a frame \( \{B\} \):

Start with the frame coincident with a known frame \( \{A\} \). Then rotate \( \{B\} \) about the vector \( \hat{A} \hat{K} \) by an angle \( \theta \) according to the right-hand rule.

Vector \( \hat{K} \) is sometimes called the equivalent axis of a finite rotation. A general orientation of \( \{B\} \) relative to \( \{A\} \) may be written as \( R(\hat{K}, \theta) \) or \( R_X(\theta) \), and will be called the equivalent angle-axis representation.*

The specification of the vector \( \hat{A} \hat{K} \) requires only two parameters because its length is always taken to be one. The angle specifies a third parameter. Often we will multiply the unit direction, \( \hat{K} \), with the amount of rotation, \( \theta \), to form a compact 3x1 vector description of orientation, denoted by \( K \) (no "hat"). See Fig. 2.19.

When the axis of rotation is chosen as one of the principal axes of \( \{A\} \), then the equivalent rotation matrix takes on the familiar form of planar rotations:

\[ R_X(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}, \] (2.77)
\[ R_Y(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}, \] (2.78)
\[ R_Z(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \] (2.79)

* That such a \( \hat{K} \) and \( \theta \) exist for any orientation of \( \{B\} \) relative to \( \{A\} \) was shown originally by Euler, and is known as Euler's theorem on rotation [5].
This solution always computes a value of \( \theta \) between 0 and 180 degrees. For any axis-angle pair \((\overrightarrow{\hat{K}}, \theta)\) there is another pair, namely \((-\overrightarrow{\hat{K}}, -\theta)\), which results in the same orientation in space, with the same rotation matrix describing it. Therefore in converting from a rotation matrix into angle-axis representation, we are faced with choosing between solutions. A more serious problem is that for small angular rotations, the axis becomes ill-defined. Clearly, if the amount of rotation goes to zero, the axis of rotation becomes completely undefined. The solution given by (2.82) fails if \( \theta = 0^\circ \) or \( \theta = 180^\circ \). See Exercise 2.7.

**EXAMPLE 2.8**

A frame \(\{B\}\) is described as follows: initially coincident with \(\{A\}\) we rotate \(\{B\}\) about the vector \(\overrightarrow{\hat{K}} = [0.707 0.707 0.0]^{T}\) (passing through the origin) by an amount \(\theta = 30\) degrees. Give the frame description of \(\{B\}\).

Substituting into (2.80) yields the rotation matrix part of the frame description. Since there was no translation of the origin the position vector is \([0 0 0]^{T}\). So:

\[
A_{B}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.933 & 0.067 & 0.354 & 0.0 \\
0.067 & 0.933 & -0.354 & 0.0 \\
-0.354 & 0.354 & 0.866 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.83)

Up to this point, all rotations we have discussed have been about axes which pass through the origin of the reference system. If we encounter a problem for which this is not true, we may reduce the problem to the "axis through the origin" case by defining additional frames whose origins lie on the axis, and then solving a transform equation.

**EXAMPLE 2.9**

A frame \(\{B\}\) is described as follows: initially coincident with \(\{A\}\) we rotate \(\{B\}\) about the vector \(\overrightarrow{\hat{K}} = [0.707 0.707 0.0]^{T}\), passing through the point \(A_{P} = [1.0 2.0 3.0]^{T}\), by an amount \(\theta = 30\) degrees. Give the frame description of \(\{B\}\).

Before performing the rotation, \(\{A\}\) and \(\{B\}\) are coincident. As shown in Fig. 2.20, we define two new frames, \(\{A^{'}\}\) and \(\{B^{'}\}\), which are coincident with each other and have the same orientation as \(\{A\}\) and \(\{B\}\) respectively, but are translated relative to \(\{A\}\) by an offset which places their origins on the axis of rotation. We will choose

\[
A_{A^{'}} = \begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 \\
0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.84)
which evaluates to

\[ A_B \hat{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.933 & 0.067 & 0.354 & -1.13 \\ 0.067 & 0.933 & -0.354 & 1.13 \\ -0.354 & 0.354 & 0.866 & 0.05 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 1.00 \end{bmatrix} \]  

(2.88)

A rotation about an axis which does not pass through the origin causes a change in position, plus the same final orientation as if the axis had passed through the origin. Note that we could have used any definition of \( \{A'\} \) and \( \{B'\} \) such that their origins were on the axis of rotation. Our particular choice of orientation was arbitrary, and our choice of the position of the origin was one of an infinity of possible choices lying along the axis of rotation. Also, see Exercise 2.14.

Euler parameters

Another representation of orientation is by means of four numbers called the Euler parameters. Although complete discussion is beyond the scope of the book, we state the convention here for reference.

In terms of the equivalent axis \( \hat{\mathbf{k}} = [k_x, k_y, k_z]^T \) and the equivalent angle \( \theta \), the Euler parameters are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_1 &= k_x \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
\epsilon_2 &= k_y \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
\epsilon_3 &= k_z \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
\epsilon_4 &= \cos \frac{\theta}{2}
\end{align*}
\]

(2.89)

It is then clear that these four quantities are not independent, but that

\[
\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_3^2 + \epsilon_4^2 = 1
\]

(2.90)

must always hold. Hence, an orientation might be visualized as a point on a unit hypersphere in four-dimensional space.

Sometimes, the Euler parameters are viewed as a \( 3 \times 1 \) vector plus a scalar. However, viewing them as a \( 4 \times 1 \) vector, the Euler parameters are also known as a unit quaternion.

The rotation matrix, \( R_\epsilon \), which is equivalent to a set of Euler parameters is given as

\[
R_\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix}
1 - 2\epsilon_3^2 - 2\epsilon_4^2 & 2(\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_0 \epsilon_4) & 2(\epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_4) \\
2(\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_0 \epsilon_4) & 1 - 2\epsilon_1^2 - 2\epsilon_3^2 & 2(\epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4) \\
2(\epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2 \epsilon_4) & 2(\epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4) & 1 - 2\epsilon_2^2 - 2\epsilon_3^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(2.91)
Given a rotation matrix, the equivalent Euler parameters are

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_1 &= \frac{r_{32} - r_{23}}{4e_4} \\
\epsilon_2 &= \frac{r_{13} - r_{31}}{4e_4} \\
\epsilon_3 &= \frac{r_{21} - r_{12}}{4e_4} \\
\epsilon_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + r_{11} + r_{22} + r_{33}}
\end{align*}
\]  
(2.92)

Note that (2.92) is not useful in a computational sense if the rotation matrix represents a rotation of 180 degrees about some axis, since \( \epsilon_4 \) goes to zero. However, it can be shown that in the limit all the expressions in (2.92) remain finite even for this case. In fact, by noting the definitions in (2.89), it is clear that all \( \epsilon_i \) remain on the interval \([-1, 1]\).

**Taught and predefined orientations**

In many robot systems it will be possible to "teach" positions and orientations using the robot itself. The manipulator is moved to a desired location and this position is recorded. A frame taught in this manner need not necessarily be one to which the robot will be commanded to return; it could be a part location or a fixture location. In other words, the robot is used as a measuring tool having six degrees of freedom. Teaching an orientation like this completely obviates the need for the human programmer to deal with orientation representation at all. In the computer the taught point is stored as a rotation matrix, or whatever, but the user never has to see or understand it. Robot systems which allow teaching of frames using the robot are thus highly recommended.

Besides teaching frames some systems might have a set of predefined orientations like "pointing down" or "pointing left." These specifications are very easy for humans to deal with. However, if this were the only means of describing and specifying orientation, the system would be very limited.

**2.9 Transformation of free vectors**

We have been concerned mostly with position vectors in this chapter. In later chapters we will discuss velocity and force vectors as well. These vectors will transform differently because they are a different type of vector.

In mechanics one makes a distinction between the equality and the equivalence of vectors. **Two vectors are equal if they have the same**

---

**FIGURE 2.21** Equal velocity vectors.
calculate the same moment in terms of frame \( \{A\} \) as

\[
^{A} N = ^{A} R^{B} N. \tag{2.93}
\]

That is, since all that counts is the magnitude and direction (in the case of a free vector), only the rotation matrix relating the two systems is used in transforming. The relative locations of the origins does not enter into the calculation.

Likewise, a velocity vector written in \( \{B\} \), \(^{B} V\), is written in \( \{A\} \) as

\[
^{A} V = ^{A} R^{B} V. \tag{2.94}
\]

The velocity of a point is a free vector, so all that is important is its direction and magnitude. The operation of rotation (as in (2.94)) does not affect the magnitude, and accomplishes the rotation which changes the description of the vector from \( \{B\} \) to \( \{A\} \). Note that, \(^{A} P^R_{\text{ORG}}\) which would appear in a position vector transformation, does not appear in a velocity transform. For example, in Fig. 2.22, if \(^{B} V = 5 \hat{x}\), then \(^{A} V = 5 \hat{Y}\).

Velocity vectors and force and moment vectors will be more fully introduced in Chapter 5.

\[\text{FIGURE 2.22  Transforming velocities.}\]

---

### 2.10 Computational considerations

The availability of inexpensive computing power is largely responsible for the growth of the robotics industry; yet for some time to come, efficient computation will remain an important issue in the design of a manipulation system.

While the homogeneous representation is useful as a conceptual entity, typical transformation software used in industrial manipulation systems does not make use of them directly since the time spent multiplying by zeros and ones is wasteful. Usually, the computations shown in (2.41) and (2.45) are performed, rather than the direct multiplication or inversion of \(4 \times 4\) matrices.

The order in which transformations are applied can make a large difference in the amount of computation required to compute the same quantity. Consider performing multiple rotations of a vector, as in

\[
^{A} P = ^{A} R^{B} R^{C} R^{D} P. \tag{2.95}
\]

One choice is to first multiply the three rotation matrices together, to form \(^{A} R\) in the expression

\[
^{A} P = ^{A} R^{D} P. \tag{2.96}
\]

Forming \(^{A} R\) from its three constituents requires 54 multiplications and 36 additions. Performing the final matrix-vector multiplication of (2.96) requires an additional 9 multiplications and 6 additions, bringing the total to 63 multiplications, 42 additions.

If instead we transform the vector through the matrices one at a time, i.e.,

\[
^{A} P = ^{A} R^{B} R^{C} R^{D} P \quad \quad ^{A} P = ^{A} R^{B} R^{C} P \quad \quad ^{A} P = ^{A} R^{B} P \quad \quad ^{A} P = ^{A} P \tag{2.97}
\]

the total computation requires only 27 multiplications and 18 additions, less than half the computations required by the other method.

Of course, in some cases, the relationships \(^{A} R\), \(^{B} R\), and \(^{C} R\) may be constant, and there may be many \(^{A} P\), which need to be transformed into \(^{A} P\). In this case, it is more efficient to calculate \(^{A} R\) once, and then use it for all future mappings. See also Exercise 2.16.
EXAMPLE 2.10

Give a method of computing the product of two rotation matrices, \( \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A} & R \end{bmatrix} \hat{B} R \), using less than 27 multiplications and 18 additions.

Where \( \hat{L} \) are the columns of \( \hat{B} R \), and \( \hat{C} \) are the three columns of the result, compute

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{C}_1 &= \hat{B} R \hat{L}_1, \\
\hat{C}_2 &= \hat{B} R \hat{L}_2, \\
\hat{C}_3 &= \hat{C}_1 \times \hat{C}_2,
\end{align*}
\]

which requires 24 multiplications and 15 additions.

References


Exercises

2.6 [21] Derive equation (2.80).

2.7 [24] Describe (or program) an algorithm which extracts the equivalent angle and axis of a rotation matrix. Equation (2.82) is a good start, but make sure your algorithm handles the special cases of \( \theta = 0^\circ \) and \( \theta = 180^\circ \).

2.8 [29] Write a subroutine which changes representation of orientation from rotation matrix form to equivalent angle-axis form. A Pascal-style procedure declaration would begin:

Procedure RMTDAAR(VAR R:mat33; VAR K:vec3; VAR theta: real);

Write another subroutine which changes from equivalent angle-axis representation to rotation matrix representation:

Procedure AATORM(VAR K:vec3; VAR theta: real; VAR R:mat33);

Run these procedures on several cases of test data back-to-back and verify that you get back what you put in. Include some of the difficult cases.

2.9 [27] Do Exercise 2.8 for roll, pitch, yaw angles about fixed axes.

2.10 [27] Do Exercise 2.8 for Z-Y-Z Euler angles.


2.12 [14] A velocity vector is given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 & 11.0 \\ 0.500 & 0.866 & 0.000 & -3.0 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 1.000 & 9.0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

Given

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 & 11.0 \\ 0.500 & 0.866 & 0.000 & -1.0 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 1.000 & 8.0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

compute \( B V \).

2.13 [21] The following frame definitions are given as known. Draw a frame diagram (like that of Fig. 2.15) which qualitatively shows their arrangement. Solve for \( B T \).

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 & 11.0 \\ 0.500 & 0.866 & 0.000 & -1.0 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 1.000 & 8.0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 & 0.0 \\ 0.000 & 0.866 & -0.500 & 10.0 \\ 0.000 & 0.500 & 0.866 & -20.0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 0.866 & -0.500 & 0.000 & -3.0 \\ 0.433 & 0.750 & -0.500 & -3.0 \\ 0.250 & 0.433 & 0.866 & 3.0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]
The sine and cosine for the sum or difference of angles $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) &= c_{12} = c_1 c_2 - s_1 s_2, \\
\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) &= s_{12} = c_1 s_2 + s_1 c_2, \\
\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) &= c_{12} = c_1 c_2 + s_1 s_2, \\
\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) &= s_{12} = s_1 c_2 - c_1 s_2.
\end{align*}
\] (A.5)

The sum of the squares of the sine and cosine of the same angle is unity:

\[s^2 \theta + c^2 \theta = 1.\] (A.6)

If a triangle's angles are labeled $a$, $b$, and $c$, where angle $a$ is opposite side $A$, and so on, then the "law of cosines" is

\[A^2 = B^2 + C^2 - 2BC \cos a.\] (A.7)

The "tangent of the half angle" substitution:

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= \tan \frac{\theta}{2}, \\
cos \theta &= \frac{1 - u^2}{1 + u^2}, \\
\sin \theta &= \frac{2u}{1 + u^2}.
\end{align*}
\] (A.8)

To rotate a vector $Q$ about a unit vector $\hat{K}$ by $\theta$, use Rodrigues' formula:

\[Q' = Q \cos \theta + \sin \theta (\hat{K} \times Q) + (1 - \cos \theta) (\hat{K} \cdot Q) \hat{K}.\] (A.9)

See Appendix B for equivalent rotation matrices for the twenty four angle set conventions, and Appendix C for some inverse kinematic identities.
APPENDIX B: THE 24 ANGLE SET CONVENTIONS

The twelve Euler angle sets are given by

\[
R_{X'Y'Z'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\beta & -\sin\beta & \sin\gamma & \cos\gamma \\
\sin\beta & \cos\beta & \sin\gamma & -\cos\gamma \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
R_{X'Z'Y'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\beta & -\sin\beta & \cos\gamma & \sin\gamma \\
\sin\beta & \cos\beta & \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
R_{Y'X'Z'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\beta & -\sin\beta & \cos\gamma & \sin\gamma \\
\sin\beta & \cos\beta & \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma \\
-\sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
R_{Y'Z'X'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\beta & -\sin\beta & \cos\gamma & \sin\gamma \\
\sin\beta & \cos\beta & \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma \\
-\sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
R_{Z'Y'X'}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\beta & -\sin\beta & \cos\gamma & \sin\gamma \\
\sin\beta & \cos\beta & \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma \\
-\sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The twelve fixed angle sets are given by

\[
R_{X'YZ}(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\beta & -\sin\beta & \cos\gamma & \sin\gamma \\
\sin\beta & \cos\beta & \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma \\
-\sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
R_{X'ZX}(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\beta & -\sin\beta & \cos\gamma & \sin\gamma \\
\sin\beta & \cos\beta & \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma \\
-\sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
R_{X'ZY}(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\beta & -\sin\beta & \cos\gamma & \sin\gamma \\
\sin\beta & \cos\beta & \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma \\
-\sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
APPENDIX C:
SOME INVERSE KINEMATIC FORMULAS

The single equation

\[ \sin \theta = a, \quad (C.1) \]

has two solutions given by

\[ \theta = \pm \text{Atan2} \left( \sqrt{1-a^2}, a \right). \quad (C.2) \]

Likewise, given

\[ \cos \theta = b, \quad (C.3) \]

there are two solutions given by

\[ \theta = \text{Atan2} \left( b, \pm \sqrt{1-b^2} \right). \quad (C.4) \]

If both (C.1) and (C.3) are given, then there is a unique solution given by

\[ \theta = \text{Atan2} (a, b). \quad (C.5) \]
The transcendental equation
\[ a \cos \theta + b \sin \theta = 0, \quad (C.6) \]
has the two solutions
\[ \theta = \text{Atan2} (a, -b), \quad (C.7) \]
and
\[ \theta = \text{Atan2} (-a, b). \quad (C.8) \]
The equation
\[ a \cos \theta + b \sin \theta = c, \quad (C.9) \]
which we solved in Section 4.5 using the tangent of the half angle substitutions, is also solved by
\[ \theta = \text{Atan2} (b, a) \pm \text{Atan2} \left( \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 - c^2}, c \right). \quad (C.10) \]
The set of equations
\[ \begin{align*}
    a \cos \theta - b \sin \theta &= c, \\
    a \sin \theta + b \cos \theta &= d,
\end{align*} \quad (C.11) \]
which were solved in Section 4.4 also are solved by
\[ \theta = \text{Atan2} (ad - bc, ac + bd). \quad (C.12) \]