Vocal babbling in songbirds requires the basal ganglia-recipient motor thalamus but not the basal ganglia
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Abstract

Young songbirds produce vocal ‘babbling,’ and the variability of their songs is thought to underlie a process of trial-and-error vocal learning. It is known that this exploratory variability requires the ‘cortical’ component of a basal ganglia (BG) thalamocortical loop, but less understood is the role of the basal ganglia and thalamic components in this behavior. We found that large bilateral lesions to the songbird BG homologue Area X had little or no effect on song variability during vocal babbling. In contrast, lesions to the BG-recipient thalamic nucleus DLM largely abolished normal vocal babbling in young birds and caused a dramatic increase in song stereotypy. These findings support the idea that the motor thalamus plays a key role in the expression of exploratory juvenile behaviors during learning.
Introduction

Exploratory variability in behavior is an important component of trial-and-error learning (Doya and Sejnowski 1995; Sutton and Barto 1998), but its neural origins remain poorly understood. Vocal exploration is prevalent in juvenile songbirds, and may be necessary for the gradual process of song learning (Andalman and Fee 2009; Charlesworth et al. 2011; Tumer and Brainard 2007). In the earliest phase of vocal learning, male juvenile zebra finches sing highly variable vocalizations, akin to human babbling, called ‘subsong’ (Marler 1970). Subsong is followed by ‘plastic song,’ in which discrete identifiable syllables begin to appear, although the song retains a high degree of variability. Over weeks of continued practice, variability continues to decrease as the song evolves toward the ‘crystallized song’—a fixed sequence of stereotyped syllables imitated from a tutor’s song (Price 1979).

Song learning requires a specialized basal ganglia (BG) thalamocortical loop known as the anterior forebrain pathway (AFP, Figure 1) (Bottjer et al. 1984; Doupe et al. 2005; Scharff and Nottebohm 1991). Importantly, vocal variability in young birds is not simply a consequence of immature circuitry in the motor pathway. Rather, it is actively injected into the premotor song-control nucleus RA (robust nucleus of the arcopallium) by nucleus LMAN (lateral magnocellular nucleus of the anterior nidopallium), the output station of the AFP (Figure 1) (Kao et al. 2005; Olveczky et al. 2005). Notably, LMAN and RA exhibit some anatomical and functional analogies with mammalian frontal cortex and primary motor cortex, respectively (Jarvis 2004). The importance of LMAN in vocal exploration has been demonstrated by a number of lines of evidence: First, bilateral inactivation or lesion of LMAN abolishes vocal babbling in subsong birds, and largely
eliminates vocal variability in plastic song and adult birds (Aronov et al. 2008; Bottjer et al. 1984; Kao and Brainard 2006; Olveczky et al. 2005; Scharff and Nottebohm 1991). Second, RA-projecting neurons in LMAN exhibit highly variable spiking patterns in young birds (Olveczky et al. 2005) and show premotor bursts of activity prior to syllable onsets and offsets during subsong (Aronov et al. 2008). Finally, electrical stimulation of LMAN during singing causes immediate perturbation of ongoing song (Kao et al. 2005). These studies suggest that LMAN provides a source of premotor drive that actively generates vocal exploration required for song learning.

What is the origin of the highly variable neural activity in LMAN that generates exploratory vocal behavior? LMAN receives an excitatory projection from the portion of the thalamic nucleus DLM (medial portion of the dorsolateral thalamus) that in turn receives an inhibitory pallidal-like input from basal ganglia homologue Area X (Boettiger and Doupe 1998; Farries and Perkel 2002; Luo and Perkel 1999). It has recently been shown that the entire nucleus DLM contains not just this anterior Area X recipient region, but also a surrounding area that forms a parallel BG-thalamo-‘cortical’ pathway whose relation to song or song learning, if any, is as yet unclear (Bottjer and Altenau 2010; Bottjer et al. 2000; Bottjer et al. 1989; Feenders et al. 2008). The notation for this Area X recipient part of DLM is not yet resolved, and it has been referred to variously as DLM, anterior DLM, vocal DLM, or dorsolateral DLM (Bottjer 2004; Wada et al. 2004); here we use the term DLM, consistent with the notation of several previous studies (Boettiger and Doupe 1998; Luo and Perkel 1999; Person and Perkel 2005). Importantly, the Area X→DLM→LMAN circuit, homologous to basal ganglia thalamocortical circuits in the
mammal (Doupe et al. 2005; Reiner et al. 2004), is thought to form an essential pathway for signals from Area X to reach LMAN (Kimpo et al. 2003; Kojima and Doupe 2009). Does subsong and song variability, driven by LMAN, depend on the inputs from earlier stages in the AFP? Interestingly, in contrast to LMAN lesions, elimination of Area X in juvenile birds leads to protracted song variability in adulthood (Scharff and Nottebohm 1991; Sohrabji et al. 1990). The dramatic difference between the effects of LMAN lesions (increased stereotypy) and Area X lesions (protracted variability) suggests that Area X may not play a central role in generating subsong and plastic song variability. On the other hand, infusion of a dopamine antagonist near Area X alters the changes in song variability that occur in different social contexts (Leblois et al. 2010). In addition, Area X lesions block singing induced activation of immediate early genes in LMAN, suggesting that Area X influences LMAN activity during singing (Kubikova et al. 2007). Finally, we have recently shown that neurons within Area X, including the DLM-projecting pallidal neurons, exhibit highly variable firing patterns during singing, consistent with a possible role in driving variability in the downstream DLM→LMAN circuit (Goldberg et al. 2010; Goldberg and Fee 2010). These findings also raise questions about the role of DLM, a central function of which is thought to be the relay of information from Area X to LMAN.

To examine the role of thalamic and BG structures of the AFP in generating vocal exploration, we carried out bilateral Area X and DLM lesions in juvenile birds and quantitatively examined the effect of lesions on song. Area X lesions left vocal exploration largely intact, while DLM lesions produced a dramatic increase in song stereotypy. Our findings support a key role of a BG-recipient motor thalamic nucleus in
the expression of motor exploration that is surprisingly independent of innervation from
the basal ganglia.

**Results**

In juvenile and adult birds, LMAN neurons exhibit highly variable patterns of
spiking and bursting activity during singing (Aronov et al. 2008; Kao et al. 2005; Kao et
al. 2008; Leonardo 2004; Olveczky et al. 2005). These premotor signals are thought to
generate variability in vocal behavior, at both the level of level acoustic and temporal
structure. LMAN lesions or inactivations cause an increased stereotypy in the durations
of syllables and gaps. In addition, the acoustic structure within syllables becomes more
 stereotyped, reducing trial-to-trial fluctuations in syllable phonology (Figure 1B-C)
(Bottjer et al. 1984; Kao and Brainard 2006; Olveczky et al. 2005; Scharff and
Nottebohm 1991). If DLM or Area X plays a key role in driving premotor variability
signals in LMAN, then lesions of these areas should resemble LMAN lesions and reduce
variability in the durations of syllables and gaps, and the acoustic variability within
syllables. Thus, we examined how lesions in earlier stages in the Area
X→DLM→LMAN pathway affect variability in the temporal and acoustic structure of
early vocalizations.

**Exploratory variability is preserved after Area X lesions**

Although Area X lesions in juveniles result in an abnormally variable adult song
(Scharff and Nottebohm 1991; Sohrabji et al. 1990), the acute effect of Area X lesions on
juvenile song has not been quantitatively examined. We performed bilateral excitotoxic
lesions of Area X in subsong birds (n=12) and in plastic song birds (n=7), and compared the pre-lesion song to the first post-lesion song (Figure 2, see methods). Birds started singing from 1 to 7 days following lesion (average 3.1±1.6 days post lesion). Subsequent histology confirmed that the lesions destroyed between 70-100% of Area X bilaterally (Figure 2A, Supplemental Figure 1). After Area X lesions, songs retained a high degree of variability that was clearly visible in the song spectrograms (Figure 2B,F, Supplemental Figure 1). We quantified lesion-induced changes to song in four ways. First, we first analyzed the distributions of syllable durations. In intact subsong birds, syllable durations were broadly distributed, with monotonically decreasing probability of generating longer syllables (Figure 2C) (Aronov et al. 2008). After Area X lesions, these syllable durations remained highly variable (Figure 2C).

The pre- and post-lesion syllable duration distributions were significantly different when quantified by a sensitive statistical measure such as the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (n=19/19 birds, p<.001). In some birds, the differences were subtle (Figure 2), and in others they were more obvious (see Supplementary Figure 1 for more examples). For several reasons, we focus here on the variability in the distribution of syllable durations rather than on the detailed shape of the distributions themselves. First, by the same statistical measures, syllable duration distributions exhibited significant changes between sequential days in the pre-lesion period (n=19/19 birds, p<.001). Second, because of the time between lesion and first post-lesion song, one cannot be sure which changes in the distribution are due the lesion and which are due to a period of non-singing. In order to specifically quantify the effect of AFP lesions on vocal variability, we developed an entropy-based measure of variability in syllable duration distributions, $V^e$, ...
which approaches 1 (maximal variability) when syllable durations are distributed evenly across all intervals of the distribution (logarithmically binned), and is equal to 0 (maximal stereotypy) when all syllable durations are within one bin (see methods).

In subsong birds, Area X lesions did not cause a significant change in the variability metric of syllable durations (Table 1, $V_{\text{pre}}=0.86\pm0.02$, $V_{\text{post}}=0.84\pm0.05$, $p>0.05$ paired t-tests, $n=12$ subsong birds). In plastic song birds, which have one or more peaks in their syllable duration distribution due to the presence of identifiable syllables (arrow, Figure 2G) (Tchernichovski et al. 2004), Area X lesions similarly had no significant effect on the variability metric (Table 1, Figure 2G,K) ($V_{\text{pre}}=0.78\pm0.08$; $V_{\text{post}}=0.73\pm0.07$, $p>0.05$, $n=7$ birds).

We next examined the effect of Area X lesions on the silent intervals (gaps) between syllables. In both subsong and plastic song birds, Area X lesions did not reduce the variability of gap durations, computed as above for syllables (Figure 2D,H,L, Table 1) (Subsong: $V_{\text{pre}}=0.87\pm0.02$; $V_{\text{post}}=0.85\pm0.02$, $p>0.05$, $n=12$ birds; Plastic song: $V_{\text{pre}}=0.82\pm0.06$; $V_{\text{post}}=0.80\pm0.08$, $p>0.05$, $n=7$ birds).

Recently, it has been shown that zebra finch song acquires more rhythmic temporal structure as the timing of vocalizations becomes more stereotyped during development (Saar and Mitra 2008). Thus, we computed a metric of rhythmicity, $R$, from the peak height of the normalized power spectrum of the sound amplitude during singing (Figure 2E,J see methods). Area X lesions did not significantly change song rhythmicity in either subsong or plastic song birds. (Subsong: $R_{\text{pre}} = 0.15\pm0.04$ vs $R_{\text{post}} = 0.14\pm0.04$, $p>0.5$, Plastic song: $R_{\text{pre}} = 0.24\pm0.05$ vs $R_{\text{post}} = 0.25\pm0.06$, $p>0.7$) (Figure 2M, Table 1).
We next wondered if the variability of the acoustic structure within syllables was affected by Area X lesions. To quantify acoustic variability, we performed a pairwise spectrogram cross-correlation analysis (Nelson and Marler 1994) (see methods) on 250 syllables randomly selected from post-lesion singing, and then performed the same analysis on 250 duration-matched syllables from pre-lesion song (see methods). Average pairwise correlation coefficients (CC) were not significantly changed following Area X lesions in subsong birds (Figure 2N, Table 1, $CC_{pre} 0.44\pm0.14$, $CC_{post} = 0.47\pm0.16$, $p>0.05$). In plastic song birds there was a slight increase in syllable similarity that did not reach statistical significance (Plastic song: $CC_{pre} 0.41\pm0.10$, $CC_{post} = 0.44\pm0.10$, $p>0.05$).

Finally, while Area X lesions did not reduce aspects of song variability captured by any of our variability metrics, in many subsong birds Area X lesions subtly affected song structure, as evidenced by some change in the contour of the syllable duration distributions (Supplemental Figure 1). In five birds, Area X lesions reduced the occurrence of short syllables and gaps, resulting in song that contained abnormally long and variable syllables (Supplemental figure 1A-E). In contrast, in three other birds, Area X lesions resulted in an increase in the production of brief syllables (Supplemental Figure 1F-Q). These observations suggest that Area X may play a role in patterning normal subsong. However, in all cases songs following Area X lesions remained highly variable in syllable and gap durations, song temporal structure, and in syllable phonology (Figure 2, Supplemental Figure 1). Thus our findings suggest that that the expression of vocal variability in juvenile birds does not require Area X.

DLM is required for vocal exploratory behavior
Given the hypothesized role of thalamic nucleus DLM in relaying information from Area X to LMAN (Kojima and Doupe 2009; Person and Perkel 2007), the lack of a significant effect of Area X lesions on the variability of juvenile song suggests that DLM may also not be necessary for the expression of vocal exploration. On the other hand, lesions of the pallido-recipient thalamus in primates lead to severe deficits in many behaviors (Canavan et al. 1989), including human speech (Nadeau and Crosson 1997). To resolve this question in the context of the songbird AFP, we bilaterally targeted injections of the excitotoxin NMA into the Area X recipient portion of DLM in juvenile birds (n=18, see Methods). Subsequent histology confirmed that in most birds the injections eliminated 100% of the entire DLM in both hemispheres (n=7 subsong birds, 39-48 dph, n=7 plastic song birds, 44-63 dph, Figure 3A, Supplemental figure 2A-H). These birds began singing between 2-8 days following lesion (average 4.8±2.1 days, n=15). Songs from the first post-lesion day of singing were analyzed and compared to pre-lesion songs. Histology revealed that in four birds one of the injections missed Area X recipient DLM, resulting in partial (<50%) and/or unilateral lesions. Visual inspection of their songs showed that they continued to sing variably and they are not included in the analysis presented below.

In contrast to Area X lesions, we found that DLM lesions produced an acute and dramatic increase in song stereotypy that was apparent in the song spectrograms (Figure 3B,F). We quantified lesion-induced changes in song using the same four metrics described above for Area X lesions. In subsong birds, the first songs produced following DLM lesions contained stereotyped, identifiable syllables associated with distinct peaks in the syllable duration distributions (Figure 3B-C). DLM lesions caused a significant
reduction in the variability of syllable durations in all subsong birds (Figure 3K, Table 1, $V^e_{\text{pre}}=0.85\pm0.02$, $V^e_{\text{post}}=0.65\pm0.15$, p<0.01 paired t-test, n=7 birds). In plastic song birds, DLM lesions largely eliminated syllable duration variability from the song, causing an increase in the size of the peak and a decrease in the variability metric of the distribution (Figure 3F-G,K, Table 1, $V^e_{\text{pre}}=0.79\pm0.06$; $V^e_{\text{post}}=0.60\pm0.11$, p<0.01, n=7 birds).

DLM lesions also had a dramatic effect on the gaps between syllables. In both subsong and plastic song birds, gap durations became more stereotyped following DLM lesions, resulting in more prominent peaks in the gap duration distributions and a reduction in the gap variability metric, computed as above for syllables (Figure 3D,H,L Table 1) (Subsong: $V^e_{\text{pre}}=0.87\pm0.04$, $V^e_{\text{post}}=0.72\pm0.11$, p<0.01, n=7 birds; Plastic song: $V^e_{\text{pre}}=0.82\pm0.04$, $V^e_{\text{post}}=0.66\pm0.13$, p<0.01, n=7 birds).

The decreased variability in both syllable and gap durations following DLM lesions was accompanied by a dramatic increase in the rhythmicity of song temporal structure that was clearly visible in the song spectrograms and amplitude waveforms (Figure 3E,J). This was reflected in a significant increase in the rhythmicity measure in both subsong ($R_{\text{pre}}=0.08\pm0.05$ vs $R_{\text{post}}=0.32\pm0.17$, p<0.05) and plastic song birds ($R_{\text{pre}}=0.17\pm0.07$ vs $R_{\text{post}}=0.42\pm0.20$, p<0.05) (Figure 3M, Table 1).

Finally, variability in the acoustic structure of syllables was substantially reduced after DLM lesions, as indicated by a significant increase in the average pairwise spectrogram cross-correlation coefficients in both subsong ($pre$, 0.43±0.18; $post$, 0.55±0.16, p<0.05, n=7) and plastic song birds ($pre$, 0.41±0.07; $post$, 0.52±0.12, p<0.05, n=7) (Figure 3N, Table 1).
We next considered the possibility that the effect of DLM lesions was due to damage to a neighboring thalamic nucleus DMP (dorsomedial nucleus of the posterior thalamus), part of a BG-forebrain loop parallel to the AFP. DMP damage could affect singing through its projection to MMAN (medial magnocellular nucleus of the anterior nidopallium), which in turn projects to HVC (Bottjer et al. 1989; Kubikova et al. 2007; Nottebohm et al. 1982). In subsong birds, we found that bilateral lesions of MMAN, the forebrain component of this parallel loop, produced no effect on subsong structure that was detectable by our measures (Figure 4, n=3 birds, p>0.2 for all measures, Table 1, birds sang 2.7±1.5 days after lesion, range 1-4 days). This limited dataset is consistent with previous reports that MMAN lesion or inactivation does not affect song variability in plastic song birds (Foster and Bottjer 2001; Horita et al. 2008; Olveczky et al. 2005).

In summary, DLM lesions caused a dramatic increase in song stereotypy. Notably, the effect of DLM lesions on song variability were significantly greater than the effect of Area X lesions (p<0.01, all measures, see methods). Given the similarity between our findings and previous reports of LMAN lesions (Bottjer et al. 1984; Kao and Brainard 2006; Scharff and Nottebohm 1991), we compared the effect of DLM lesions to the effect of LMAN inactivations in birds at the earliest phase of plastic song (dph 45-56, see methods). LMAN inactivations increased the stereotypy of syllable and gap duration distributions, song rhythmicity, and the acoustic similarity of syllables (p<0.05 for all four measures, Figure 5, Table 1). In fact, the effect of LMAN inactivations was not statistically different from the effect of DLM lesions (p>0.05 for all four measures). These data suggest that normal LMAN premotor function critically depends on its inputs from the thalamic nucleus DLM.
Role of HVC in singing after thalamic and BG lesions

Stereotyped song structure at all developmental stages, from subsong to adult song, is dependent on the projection to RA from premotor nucleus HVC (Figure 1) (Aronov et al. 2008; Nottebohm et al. 1976). We wondered whether the stereotyped songs produced by juvenile birds following DLM lesions were generated by HVC. We performed bilateral HVC lesions in birds that had already received DLM lesions as subsong birds (DLM lesion age: 40.3±2.3 dph, HVC lesion age: 56.0±4.6 dph, see methods). Following HVC lesions, birds were continuously recorded and for at least two weeks and all of their vocalizations were monitored daily. Although they continued to make forebrain-independent contact calls (Simpson and Vicario 1990), birds with bilateral HVC and DLM lesions did not produce any song-like vocalizations (Figure 6A, pre-lesion song rate: 15.2±6.4 min/day; post-lesion=0.0 min/day, p<0.05, n=3 birds, paired t-test). In contrast, in five birds in which the exact same HVC lesion procedure was carried out after Area X lesions, three continued to sing at normal, although somewhat reduced, rates of singing; one increased its singing rate; and in one bird singing was abolished (Figure 6B-F, Area X lesion age: 43.2±4.2 dph; HVC lesion age: 55.2±5.7 dph, data across birds: pre lesion song rate: 24.6±14.6 min/day; post lesion: 11.6±14.4 min/day, p>0.05). These findings suggest that the stereotyped songs produced after DLM lesion were driven from HVC, and did not result from a lesion-induced pathological state of LMAN. Moreover, the finding that dual HVC/Area X lesioned birds can sing, but that HVC/DLM lesioned birds cannot, suggest that the LMAN → RA pathway cannot generate subsong-like vocalizations independent of DLM.
**Discussion**

In order to further understand the origin of exploratory variability in motor circuitry, we have quantitatively analyzed the singing behavior of young zebra finches following lesions of several nuclei in the AFP, a BG-thalamocortical circuit known to be important for the generation of vocal variability. Previous studies of the AFP have found that, whereas early LMAN lesions caused increased song stereotypy and premature song crystallization, Area X lesions led to protracted song variability and a failure to imitate (Bottjer et al. 1984; Scharff and Nottebohm 1991; Sohrabji et al. 1990). In fact, it was reported that in the ten days following Area X lesions in juveniles, song remained variable (Scharff and Nottebohm 1991), suggesting that Area X was not required for the expression of vocal variability.

An alternative view was suggested by the observation that neurons in Area X discharge highly variable spike patterns with respect to song timing in singing juvenile birds (Goldberg et al. 2010; Goldberg and Fee 2010; Hessler and Doupe 1999a; b). There is also evidence that Area X can regulate or bias variability during singing: Infusion of a dopamine antagonist into the region of Area X affects the changes in song variability that occur in different social contexts (Leblois et al. 2010). To help resolve these different views of AFP function, we carried out lesions of Area X in subsong and plastic song birds. Consistent with the earlier lesion studies, we found that variability in syllable and gap durations, as well as in syllable acoustic structure, was preserved following Area X lesions. Notably, even in those subsong birds where Area X lesions caused subtle changes to the song that were visible in the syllable duration distributions, songs retained
their variability (Supplemental figure 1). Thus, our findings suggest that Area X is not necessary for the generation of variability by LMAN. They also suggest that the variability of spiking patterns in Area X is not the origin of variability in downstream AFP nuclei, but may instead be driven by LMAN via the projection from LMAN to Area X (Farries et al. 2005; Vates and Nottebohm 1995).

Of course we should note several caveats. Our observations cannot rule out a contribution of Area X to the generation of variability in acoustic or temporal song features we did not analyze. They also cannot rule out the possible role of Area X in the modulation of variability during singing (Leblois et al. 2010).

The overall preservation of the vocal variability following Area X lesions raises the question of what role the basal ganglia play during song learning. Consistent with previous studies (Scharff and Nottebohm 1991; Sohrabji et al. 1990), two birds receiving Area X lesions as juveniles and subsequently followed into adulthood exhibited severely impaired imitation as well as abnormally variable song (Supplemental Figure 3). Poor imitation and persistent variability, while distinct phenomena, may have a common underlying cause: a loss of Area X-driven learning in the HVC→RA pathway. Specifically, we speculate that Area X controls plasticity in the motor pathway via signals sent to RA through the AFP. Consistent with this hypothesis, Area X lesions block the normal singing-related induction of immediate early genes in RA (Kubikova et al. 2007). In addition, during learning LMAN may bias ongoing song to reduce vocal errors (Andalman and Fee 2009), a process which likely requires Area X. Together, these findings suggest that Area X may be necessary for normal motor pathway development, including song imitation and crystallization.
Given that one hypothesized function of the pallidal-recipient thalamic nucleus DLM is to relay signals from Area X to LMAN (Kojima and Doupe 2009; Person and Perkel 2007), we wondered what role DLM would have in the expression of vocal variability. In contrast to minimal effect of Area X lesions on juvenile song variability, lesions of DLM abolished normal vocal babbling, causing a pathological reduction in the variability of syllable and gap durations, song rhythm, as well as syllable acoustic structure (Figures 3, 5). Furthermore, following DLM lesions, song could not be driven by the LMAN\(\rightarrow\)RA pathway alone, but required the premotor (HVC\(\rightarrow\)RA) pathway that generates adult song (Figures 1, 6). Thus, our findings support a central role for the BG-recipient thalamus in the expression of motor exploration that is surprisingly independent of its inputs from the BG.

The finding that DLM lesions caused a dramatic decrease in song variability in the first post-lesion song (Figure 3) suggests that DLM may play a role in generating the premotor signals observed in LMAN (Aronov et al. 2008). How might DLM contribute to these signals? One possibility is that they are directly driven by DLM, such that DLM triggers syllable onsets and offsets in subsong and drives vocal variability in plastic song. Another possibility is that, as the sole known glutamatergic projection to LMAN, DLM simply provides a general, tonic drive to LMAN during singing, and that the premotor correlations to subsong syllables and variability arise within LMAN. Recordings from LMAN-projecting DLM neurons in juvenile birds may elucidate the mechanisms of thalamocortical activation in the AFP. Of course, it remains possible that the loss of LMAN functionality in DLM lesioned birds may not derive from the loss of excitatory inputs, but simply from the loss of a trophic influence of DLM neurons in LMAN.
(Johnson et al. 1997). However, following DLM lesions, LMAN and its projection to RA appeared intact (Supplemental Figure 1H), suggesting that the behavioral effect of DLM lesions was not primarily due to a secondary LMAN lesion.

The differential effects of Area X and DLM lesions appear counterintuitive from classical models in which thalamic function critically depends on BG inputs (DeLong 1990). Indeed, in anesthetized birds, DLM neurons discharge at low rates (<3 Hz), due to tonic inhibition by Area X outputs, and DLM neuronal activity is patterned primarily by Area X (Leblois et al. 2009; Person and Perkel 2007). Following Area X inactivation, however, DLM neurons are still active, and even discharge at higher rates (>20 Hz) that cause increased activation of LMAN (Kojima and Doupe 2009). Thus, the loss of Area X inputs to DLM leaves neurons there with some level of functionality. An intriguing possibility is that non-pallidal inputs to DLM, such as from RA or neuromodulatory regions could contribute to the generation of exploratory variability following Area X lesions (Vates et al. 1997; Wada et al. 2004; Wild 1993).

Our findings are reminiscent of what has been observed in stroke patients. In humans, thalamic lesions are strongly correlated with dramatic speech impairment while lesions restricted to the BG are not (Nadeau and Crosson 1997). Interestingly, verbal repetition—the rote execution of a learned motor program—is preserved in thalamic strokes. In contrast, spontaneous speech, which has an improvisational and exploratory component, is severely impaired in these conditions. This pattern shows a striking similarity to our findings that thalamic lesions leave stereotyped components of the song intact, but abolish exploratory behaviors. More generally, these parallels suggest that in both songbirds and mammals a given module of cortex critically depends on its thalamic
inputs for normal motor function, and raise the possibility that conserved thalamocortical
circuits enable motor exploration and variability across species (Jarvis 2007).

Methods

Subjects. Subjects were 32 juvenile male zebra finches, 38 to 69 days post hatch (dph). Birds with exponentially distributed syllable durations were categorized as subsong birds (Aronov et al. 2008), while those with obvious peaks in their syllable duration distribution were categorized as plastic song birds. Birds were obtained from the MIT zebra finch breeding facility (Cambridge, Massachusetts). The care and experimental manipulation of the animals were carried out in accordance with guidelines of the NIH and were reviewed and approved by the MIT Committee on Animal Care.

Lesion methods and histological confirmation. Bilateral excitotoxic lesions of DLM were made by injecting 100 nanoliters of 4% N-Methyl-DL aspartic acid (NMA, Sigma, St Louis, MO) into the center of Area X-recipient portion of DLM. The antero-posterior and dorso-ventral extent of this region was determined by electrophysiologically mapping the high frequency firing, thin-spiking pallidal axon terminals that constitute Area X outputs (Goldberg et al. 2010; Person and Perkel 2007). Our experience recording from DLM in singing birds has revealed that there is a medial zone of the pallidal terminal region that does not exhibit singing-related activity, thus we do not use the mapping described above to determine the lateral position of the injection. Instead, we have found that the center of the singing-related Area X-recipient zone is reliably located at 1.07 mm lateral to the midline, and all injections were made at this lateral coordinate. Thus, using a head angle of 65 degrees relative to the flat anterior portion of the skull, injections were
typically between +0.9 to 1.5 mm anterior to the bifurcation of the mid-sagittal sinus (lambda), 1.07 mm lateral to midline, and 4.3 mm ventral to the brain surface. While injections were targeted to the center of the Area X-recipient region, subsequent histology showed that the lesioned area encompassed all of DLM. Consistent with a role for portions of DLM in non-singing behaviors, following DLM lesions, many birds exhibited brief episodes of hemiparesis and/or discoordination of ambulation and flight. Recovery from these symptoms typically occurred within 24 hours, after which the birds appeared completely normal in their mobility and behavior. For bilateral Area X lesions, 200 nL of 4% NMA were injected into the center of each Area X (head angle 20 degrees, 5.8 mm anterior, 1.5 mm lateral, and 2.85 mm ventral), and an additional 50 nL into each medial Area X, using stereotactic coordinates (head angle 20 degrees, 5.8 anterior, 1.2 mm lateral, 2.6 mm ventral) (Kubikova et al. 2007). For MMAN lesions, 100nL of 4% NMA were injected into the center of MMAN using stereotactic coordinates (head angle 20 degrees, 5.2 mm anterior, 0.75 mm lateral, 1.9 mm ventral). Finally, HVC was lesioned bilaterally using large electrolytic lesions, as described in detail (Aronov et al. 2008). Lesions were confirmed histochemically using fluorescent antibody to neuronal nuclei (Mouse anti- Neu-N, Millipore, Temecula, CA); song nuclei stained brighter than background in Neu-N staining and lesion boundaries were clearly visible (Supplemental figure 1). In some birds, bilateral DLM lesions were additionally verified (n=4) by the absence of retrogradely labeled neurons following injection of fluorescent tracer into LMAN (Alexa-conjugated 10 Kd Dextran, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) (Supplemental figure 1).
To examine the effect of lesions on singing, the full day of song immediately preceding the lesion was compared to the first full day of singing following the lesion. In the Area X lesion dataset, this resulted in a median of 17.6 minutes (range: 3.8 – 52.8) of pre-lesion singing, containing 3095 syllables (range: 839 – 12,598), and 14.7 minutes (range: 4.0 – 32.5) of post-lesion singing, consisting of 2,300 syllables (range 718 – 5,584) (data here and below presented as median and range over all birds). In the DLM lesion dataset, this resulted in 19.0 minutes (range 7.8 – 91.7) of pre-lesion singing, containing 3,910 syllables (range 1,520 – 2,472), and 10.7 minutes (range: 1.4 – 61.9) of post-lesion singing, consisting of 1,408 syllables (range: 89 – 1,632). In the MMAN lesion dataset, this resulted in 9.2 minutes (range: 2.1 – 40.2) of pre-lesion singing, containing 1589 syllables (range: 311 – 11,128), and 3.8 minutes (range: 1.5 – 13.1) of post-lesion singing, consisting of 881 syllables (range: 271 – 2370). LMAN inactivations were carried out as described in detail (Olveczky et al. 2005). The present dataset included birds that were aged dph 45-56, and were thus old enough such that LMAN inactivations did not block singing (Aronov et al. 2008), but were significantly younger than birds examined in previous studies (Olveczky et al. 2005; Stepanek and Doupe 2010).

Song analysis. Birds were placed in custom-made sound isolation chambers, and vocalizations were recorded with custom-written Matlab software or with Sound Analysis Pro (Tchernichovski et al. 2000), which was configured to ensure triggering recordings on all quiet vocalizations of young birds (Aronov et al. 2008). All recordings were manually examined to eliminate calls and cage noise from the data set. Syllable duration distributions were calculated by first identifying syllable onsets and offsets (syllable
segmentation). We calculated a sound threshold as the Fisher discriminant of two Gaussian modes (corresponding to noise and sound) fit to the values of log-amplitude. We detected crossings of this threshold and defined sound onsets and offsets as the closest points to these crossings where amplitude deviated from noise by 2 standard deviations. Sound segments separated by less than 7 ms of silence were merged into a single syllable, and segments less than 7 ms long were eliminated (Aronov et al. 2008).

In assessing the amount of song (or calls) following HVC lesions, a full day of recording was partitioned into 2-s segments, and the numbers of segments containing calls or songs were estimated by visual inspection. Discrimination was based on the principle that calls typically have stereotyped structure, are produced in single renditions and vocalized with several hundreds of millisecond spacing between them. Song, on the other hand, typically consists of 5 or more complex syllables repeated in rapid succession, typically with 60-100 ms gaps between syllables.

Quantifying song variability. Song variability was computed in four ways. First, we used an entropy-based measure of variability ($V^e$) to quantify lesion-induced changes to syllable duration distributions. For each day of singing, we calculated the log (base 10) of syllable durations. We used log-based bins because the syllable duration distributions of subsong birds are exponential, and thus linear in log-space (Aronov et al. 2008). While the peak in the syllable duration distribution plotted on a linear axis represents the preponderance of short-duration syllables, a peak in the syllable durations plotted on a log axis represents a repeated syllable. Histograms of log duration were calculated in evenly spaced bins from -2.5 (0.00316 sec) to 0 (1sec) in log bins of 0.05 ($N=50$ bins). Histograms were normalized over all bins to produce probability density function, $p_i$. 
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where the value of the $i^{th}$ bin indicated the probability that a syllable will have a duration that resides in that time bin. Thus the sum of all points in this vector of length $N$ was equal to 1 ($\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i = 1$). We then computed an entropy-based measure of the variability of the distribution as follows (Eqn 1):

$$V^e = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \log(p_i)}{\log(N)}$$

$V^e$ is equal to 1 (maximal variability) when syllable durations are distributed across all bins, and goes to zero (maximal stereotypy) when all syllable durations are restricted to a single bin. Thus, the bin size was chosen to correspond roughly to the width of syllable duration peaks in adult song.

Second, we computed variability for gap duration distributions, proceeding exactly as we did for syllables. Third, we analyzed song rhythmicity, computed as the power spectrum of sound amplitude during singing. Rhythmicity does not rely on syllable segmentation and is thus an unbiased measure of song temporal structure (Saar and Mitra 2008). To compute song rhythm, we first extracted the sound amplitude of song bouts, defined as a sequence for four or more syllables separated by at least 350 ms of silence. The sound amplitude of each bout was mean-subtracted and multiplied by a Hanning window, and the frequency spectrum was computed using the FFT function in Matlab. The frequency spectrum was normalized by the bout length and squared to obtain the power spectrum. Power spectra for all bouts were then averaged, and plotted as in Figures 2-3, F,K. Song rhythmicity was quantified as the height of the largest peak in the normalized power spectrum at frequencies greater than 3 Hz. Only peaks above 3Hz were
considered because these correspond to the typical frequency at which syllables occur during singing (Saar and Mitra 2008).

Finally, we computed the acoustic variability within syllables by performing pairwise spectrogram cross-correlations (Nelson and Marler 1994) within a set of syllables selected from post-lesion singing, and also within another set of syllables selected from pre-lesion song. The distribution of spectrogram cross correlations was then compared in the pre-lesion and post-lesion sets. To ensure that lesion-related changes in syllable durations did not affect our analysis of acoustic variability within syllables, we ensured that the pre- and post- lesion sets were matched for syllable duration. More specifically, we randomly selected 250 syllables from post-lesion song, and then, for each one of these syllables, we selected a syllable from pre-lesion song that had a duration within 10ms of the post-lesion song syllable. The result was that the syllable duration distributions of the pre and post-lesion datasets were equal. Within both datasets, we then performed direct cross-correlations of the spectrograms for all pairs of syllables whose durations differed by less than ten milliseconds, allowing us to quantify acoustic similarity independent from similarity in syllable duration. We then compared the average spectrogram cross-correlation coefficient from the pre- and post-lesion datasets (Table 1).

To compare the differential effects of Area X lesions, DLM lesions and LMAN inactivations, for each bird we subtracted the pre-lesion value from the post-lesion value for each of the four measures of song variability. For each measure, we then carried out a t-test on the hypothesis that the pre-post differences for DLM lesioned birds were the
same as the differences for Area X lesioned birds. This comparison was also done between DLM lesions and LMAN inactivations.
**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1. Schematic of the avian song system.** (A) LMAN, Area X and DLM constitute the pallial (cortex-like), basal ganglia, and thalamic portions of the anterior forebrain pathway (AFP) (solid lines). LMAN forms the output of the AFP through its projection to RA, which in turn projects to motor neurons in the brainstem. A separate motor pathway is formed by the HVC→RA projection (dotted lines). (B) Song spectrograms, syllable segments (horizontal lines) and sound amplitudes from a juvenile bird (dph 45) before and during LMAN inactivation. Note that variability in syllable and gap durations, as well as in the acoustic structure within syllables is reduced during inactivation of LMAN (bottom). Abbreviations: LMAN, lateral magnocellular nucleus of the anterior nidopallium; DLM, medial portion of the dorsolateral thalamus; RA, robust nucleus of the arcopallium; HVC, used as a proper name.

**Figure 2. Song variability is preserved following Area X lesions.** (A) Histological verification of Area X lesion. In a control bird (left), the boundaries of Area X are readily visible with the Neu-N stain for neuronal cell bodies (left, see methods). Neuronal labeling reveals bilateral elimination of Area X following injection of the excitotoxin NMA (N-methyl DL aspartic acid) into the center of each Area X (see methods). (B-E) Data from an Area X lesioned subsong bird. (B) Top, pre-lesion song spectrogram of a subsong bird (dph 44). Bottom trace is the song amplitude. Black segments indicate individual syllables. Bottom, spectrogram taken from the first day of singing (dph 46) following bilateral Area X lesions. Note the preservation of variability in the durations of syllables and gaps and in the acoustic structure of syllables. (C-D) Histogram of syllable
durations and gap durations before (black traces) and after (red traces) the Area X lesion.

(E) Normalized power spectra of the sound amplitudes before and after the lesion. (F-J)

Data from an Area X lesioned plastic song bird (pre-lesion song: dph 49; post-lesion song: dph 53, histology shown in panel A) are plotted as in B-E. Note the similarity between pre-and post-lesion songs. Arrow in panel (G) points to the peak in the syllable duration distribution that is typical in plastic song birds. (K-N) Population data from Area X lesions in subsong (n=12, triangles) and plastic song (n=7, circles) birds. (K,L) For each bird, the variability in syllable and gap durations was estimated from the entropy of the duration distributions (see Methods). (K) Scatter plot showing post-lesion vs. pre-lesion syllable and (L) gap duration variability. (M) Song rhythmicity, computed as the peak of the normalized power spectrum (as in panels E,J, see methods), for pre- and post-lesioned song and (N) the average pairwise spectrogram cross-correlations in pre- and post-lesion song. Red and blue arrows point to the data points from the subsong and plastic song birds from panels B-E and F-J, respectively. Note that none of the measures shown here were significantly affected by Area X lesions.

Figure 3. DLM lesions cause an increase in song stereotypy in the first post-lesion song. (A) Histological verification of DLM lesions. DLM and surrounding thalamus in a control bird is clearly visible in neuronal staining, *left*. Right, NMA injections (100 nL, 4%) were bilaterally targeted to the Area X recipient portion of DLM by mapping out the location of Area X pallidal axon terminals. These injections resulted in the bilateral elimination of the entire DLM (see methods, see also Supplemental figure 1A-H). Note that the auditory thalamic nucleus ovoidalis was preserved in our lesions (Ov, black
arrows). (B-E) Data from a DLM-lesioned subsong bird. (B) Top, pre-lesion song spectrogram of a subsong bird (dph 41). Bottom trace is the song amplitude. Black segments indicate individual syllables. Bottom, spectrogram taken from the first day of singing following bilateral DLM lesions (dph 45, histology of this bird shown in panel A). Note the increase in song stereotypy, including four repetitions of a highly stereotyped 3-syllable motif. (C) Histogram of syllable durations from singing before and after the DLM lesion, black and red, respectively. Before the lesion, syllables were randomly distributed; after the lesion, three distinct peaks were visible, corresponding to the three syllables of the motif. (E) Histogram of gap durations before and after lesion. (E) Normalized power spectra of the sound amplitudes before and after lesion. (F-J) Data are plotted as in (B-E) for a plastic song bird (dph 50, pre lesion; dph 54, post lesion, histology of this bird shown in Supplemental figure 1C-H). Note the dramatic increase in song rhythmicity following the lesion, evident in the repetition of a highly stereotyped syllable. (K-N) Population data from DLM lesions in subsong (n=7, triangles) and plastic song (n=7, circles) birds. (K) Scatter plot showing pre-lesion vs post-lesion variability of syllable durations and (L) gap durations. (M) Scatter plot of song rhythmicity in pre- and post-lesion song. (N) Average pairwise spectrogram cross-correlations in pre- and post-lesion song. Note the significantly decreased variability of both syllable and gap durations, and the significantly increased rhythmicity and acoustic stereotypy following DLM lesions. Red and blue arrows point to the data points from the subsong and plastic song birds from panels B-E and F-J, respectively.
Figure 4. **MMAN lesions do not impair vocal variability in subsong birds.** (A) NeuN staining showing the bilateral elimination of MMAN. LMAN and lateral Area X remained intact following the lesion. Note that a portion of medial Area X was also lesioned in the left hemisphere. (B-E) The song of a subsong bird (pre-lesion dph 44; post-lesion dph 47, histology shown in panel A) is analyzed as in figure 2B-E. (F-H) Population data from MMAN lesions (n=3 subsong birds) are plotted as in figure 2K-M.

Figure 5: **Quantitative comparison of DLM lesions and LMAN inactivations.** Inactivation of LMAN was carried out as described in detail (Olveczky et al. 2005). (A-D). Data are plotted as in figure 3K-N, comparing data from DLM lesions in (n=14, gray; triangles and circles are from subsong and plastic song birds, respectively) side by side with data from LMAN inactivations from young plastic song birds (dph 45-56, black circles). (A) Scatter plots showing pre-lesion vs post-lesion variability of syllable durations and (B) gap durations. (C) Scatter plot of song rhythmicity in pre- and post-lesion song. (D) Average pairwise spectrogram cross-correlations in pre- and post-lesion song.

Figure 6. **Song following DLM lesions is driven by HVC.** Average rates of song and call production in dual Area X/HVC and DLM/HVC lesions are shown. For rate measurement, a full day of recording was partitioned into 2-s segments, and the numbers of segments containing calls or songs were estimated (see methods) (Aronov et al. 2008). (A) In DLM lesioned birds, subsequent HVC lesions abolished singing (left, * p<0.05, paired t-test) but not calls, which are known not to require the song system (Simpson and
Vicario 1990). (B) HVC lesions in Area X lesioned birds did not abolish the production of songs (left) or calls (right). Blue arrows point to the birds whose data are shown in panels C-F. (C-D) Example of singing from two birds that sustained dual Area X/HVC lesions. (See Supplemental figure 1 for histological verification of HVC lesion in the bird whose post-lesion song appears in panel C.) (E-F) Syllable and gap distributions for the bird from panel C. Black, red and blue curves represent data from before the Area X lesion and following the Area X lesion and subsequent HVC lesion, respectively.

**Supplemental Figure 1. Complete Area X lesions leave song variability intact.**

Data are plotted as in Figure 2A-E for four subsong birds, three of which received 100% Area X lesions (A-Q) and one of which received a 90% lesion (S-V). While large Area X lesions left variability intact, in most cases the detailed shape of the syllable and gap distributions was affected by the lesion. (A,F,L,R) Neu-N staining of left and right hemispheres confirmed bilateral elimination of Area X in the four birds. (B-E) Representative example of a case where Area X lesion resulted in a reduced occurrence of short syllables (B-C). (F-K, L-Q) Representative examples of two birds where Area X lesion had the opposite effect: causing an increase in the production of short syllables. (R-V) Example of yet another bird that preferentially lost syllables of intermediate (~100 ms) duration following Area X lesion. Importantly, across birds, even though Area X lesions could cause changes in song structure, syllable and gap durations, as well as syllable phonology remained highly variable.
Supplemental Figure 2. Methods for histological verification of lesions. (A-H) DLM lesions. (A-B) Schematics of verification of DLM lesions. (A) In control birds, injection of tracer in LMAN results in anterograde labeling of LMAN terminals in RA, and retrogradely labeled cell bodies in DLM. (B) In DLM-lesioned birds, terminals in RA confirm the successful injection of the tracer into LMAN and the preservation of the LMAN→RA pathway, but there are no retrogradely labeled LMAN-projecting DLM neurons. (C-E) In a bird with DLM intact, Neu-N antibody stains cell bodies in DLM (see methods) (C), and DLM neurons retrogradely labeled by injection of tracer into LMAN are readily visible (D). (E) Anterogradely labeled terminals in RA confirm that tracer was injected into LMAN. (F-H) Images are plotted as in (C-E) for a bird with a complete DLM lesion. (F) Only background fluorescence, and no cell bodies, is visible within boundaries of DLM after Neu-N labeling. Note that auditory thalamic nucleus Ovoidalis (red arrow) remained intact following lesions. (G) Note the absence of retrogradely labeled DLM neurons despite injection of tracer into LMAN, demonstrated by terminals in RA (H). The song of this DLM-lesioned bird is shown in figure 3G-K. (I-K) HVC lesions. HVC and RA are readily visible in Neu-N stain (I), but HVC and its surrounding tissue are clearly eliminated bilaterally by electrolytic burns (M-N, see methods). The song of this HVC lesioned bird is shown in Figure 6C.

Supplemental Figure 3. Area X lesions resulted in poor song imitation. Representative song development in two brothers: one that received a sham lesion at dph 41 (left) and one that received bilateral lesions to Area X (right). Note that while the songs of both brothers were highly variable at dph 55, the song of the Area X lesioned
bird failed to incorporate acoustic elements of the tutor song. By young adulthood (dph 80, bottom), the control bird had learned the tutor motif (‘abc’, labeled in red), while the song of the lesioned bird remained highly variable and did not resemble the tutor song. The observed effects of juvenile Area X lesions on the later development of adult song are consistent with previously studies (Scharff and Nottebohm 1991; Sohrabji et al. 1990).
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Syllable duration variability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DLMsubsong (n=7)</th>
<th>DLMplastic (n=7)</th>
<th>Area Xsubsong (n=12)</th>
<th>Area Xplastic (n=7)</th>
<th>MMANsubsong (n=3)</th>
<th>LMAN (n=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Syllable</td>
<td>0.85±0.02</td>
<td>0.79±0.06</td>
<td>0.86±0.02</td>
<td>0.78±0.08</td>
<td>0.85±0.01</td>
<td>0.82±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>duration</td>
<td>(0.65±0.15)**</td>
<td>(0.60±0.11)**</td>
<td>(0.84±0.05)NS</td>
<td>(0.73±0.07)NS</td>
<td>(0.87±0.03)NS</td>
<td>(0.66±0.16)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>variability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gap duration variability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DLMsubsong (n=7)</th>
<th>DLMplastic (n=7)</th>
<th>Area Xsubsong (n=12)</th>
<th>Area Xplastic (n=7)</th>
<th>MMANsubsong (n=3)</th>
<th>LMAN (n=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>0.87±0.04</td>
<td>0.82±0.04</td>
<td>0.87±0.02</td>
<td>0.82±0.06</td>
<td>0.88±0.03</td>
<td>0.84±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>duration</td>
<td>(0.72±0.11)**</td>
<td>(0.66±0.13)**</td>
<td>(0.85±0.02)NS</td>
<td>(0.80±0.08)NS</td>
<td>(0.88±0.03)NS</td>
<td>(0.72±0.14)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>variability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rhythmicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DLMsubsong (n=7)</th>
<th>DLMplastic (n=7)</th>
<th>Area Xsubsong (n=12)</th>
<th>Area Xplastic (n=7)</th>
<th>MMANsubsong (n=3)</th>
<th>LMAN (n=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhythmity</td>
<td>0.08±0.05</td>
<td>0.17±0.07</td>
<td>0.15±0.04</td>
<td>0.24±0.05</td>
<td>0.18±0.08</td>
<td>0.17±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.32±0.17)*</td>
<td>(0.42±0.20)*</td>
<td>(0.14±0.04)NS</td>
<td>(0.25±0.06)NS</td>
<td>(0.14±0.04)NS</td>
<td>(0.32±0.14)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average spectrogram cross-correlation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DLMsubsong (n=7)</th>
<th>DLMplastic (n=7)</th>
<th>Area Xsubsong (n=12)</th>
<th>Area Xplastic (n=7)</th>
<th>MMANsubsong (n=3)</th>
<th>LMAN (n=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross</td>
<td>0.43±0.18</td>
<td>0.41±0.07</td>
<td>0.44±0.14</td>
<td>0.41±0.10</td>
<td>0.63±0.03</td>
<td>0.33±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correlation</td>
<td>(0.55±0.16)*</td>
<td>(0.52±0.15)*</td>
<td>(0.47±0.16)NS</td>
<td>(0.44±0.10)NS</td>
<td>(0.65±0.02)NS</td>
<td>(0.40±0.13)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Lesion-induced changes to juvenile song structure. Four measures of song variability were used to analyze the effect of DLM and area X lesions on subsong and plastic song birds, of MMAN lesions on subsong birds, and of LMAN inactivations in young plastic song birds: (1-2) Syllable and gap duration variability measures are entropy-based metrics of variability in syllable and gap duration distributions, where 0 and 1 represent maximal stereotypy and variability, respectively. (3) Song rhythmicity was computed as the peak of the power spectrum of song amplitude, where larger values correspond to increased repetitive song temporal structure. (4) The average spectrogram cross correlation was computed from 250 pairwise cross correlations performed on randomly chosen syllables from pre- and post-lesion datasets. Values of 0 and 1 represent dissimilar and identical syllable acoustic structure, respectively (see methods). **p<0.01; *p<0.05; N.S., not-significant. See text for details.