Widespread loss of sexually selected traits: how the peacock lost its spots

John J. Wiens

Current and traditional research on sexual selection focuses largely on explaining the evolution (gain) of elaborate male traits and of female preferences for these traits. However, recent phylogenetic studies have revealed a surprising trend: that losses of these elaborate male traits are widespread and can be much more common than are gains. Furthermore, recent studies also show that female preferences for these male traits can be reduced, lost, or even reversed. These losses of traits and preferences could have important implications for competing models of sexual selection. Integrated phylogenetic, experimental and theoretical studies are needed to explain these unexpected patterns.

In this hypothetical clade of five bird species (Fig. I), the most parsimonious interpretation is that the male trait has been gained and subsequently lost (rather than being gained multiple times), given the initial assumption that gains and losses are equally probable. Gains and losses can be inferred using likelihood methods as well as parsimony. In general, losses can be reconstructed with the greatest confidence when character changes are infrequent, and when species that lack the trait are deeply nested among species in which the trait is present.
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Box Glossary

Likelihood: a statistical approach that can be used to estimate ancestral character states and rates of gain and loss using a phylogeny. Likelihood methods incorporate an explicit model of evolution and information on estimated amounts of change (lengths) on each branch of the phylogeny.

Parsimony: a method that can be used to reconstruct character evolution on a phylogeny, assuming a minimal amount of evolution and ignoring information on branch lengths.

Widespread loss of sexually selected traits (Box 1). Not only do losses occur in insects and in all major groups of vertebrates, but, in larger clades, these losses can outnumber gains by 5:1 (Ref. 4). Third, this trend is surprising because the traits that were lost were presumably being actively maintained by sexual selection. These losses imply that other forces are frequently
able to overcome the power of sexual selection or that
sexual selection might become weaker or reverse
direction over time, or both. The discovery of this
widespread but largely unexplored pattern
suggests a new area for comparative, experimental
and theoretical investigations within the field of
sexual selection.

Here, I summarize the phylogenetic studies that
have identified losses of sexually selected traits. I
review processes that might account for these losses
and discuss the potential significance of reductions in
male traits and female preferences for competing
models of sexual selection. Finally, I outline areas for
future research.

Loss of sexually selected male traits
Recent phylogenetic studies show the loss of
sexually selected male traits to be taxonomically
widespread (Table 1). Furthermore, losses can be
considerably more common than are gains in
phylogenetic comparisons encompassing large
numbers of taxa. For example, in a phylogenetic
study of 47 genera of tanagers (Thraupidae), Burns4
found that transitions involving changes from colorful
males to drab males are approximately five times as
common as changes from drab to colorful males.
There is abundant evidence that conspicuous
plumages in birds are important in sexual selection3.
Among 130 taxa of phrynosomatid lizards, colorful
male ventral patches are lost about four times as
often as they are gained5. Males display these
patches during their courtship and aggressive
encounters. Water striders (Hemiptera: Gerridae)
show a nearly equal gain:loss ratio in the evolution of
clothing genitalia on a phylogeny for 141 taxa, but
this is largely because the clotting structures seem to
be secondarily regained after having been
lost – otherwise, there is a single gain and at least
eight losses of this trait6. In all of these studies,
gains and losses were reconstructed using parsimony
with the assumption that gains and losses were
equally likely to occur. Note that I distinguish
between loss of male traits and loss of sexual
dimorphism7,8, because very different processes can
be involved in each (Box 2).

The studies of tanagers and lizards, along with a
study of plumage evolution in blackbirds (Emberizidae:
Icterinae)9, show another surprising phylogenetic
pattern: the same conspicuous traits seen in males
are actually gained more frequently in females than
in males. Typically, the females gain these ornaments
in species in which the male trait is already present so
that the species becomes monomorphic for trait
presence or degree of elaboration (Box 2). This pattern
also appears to be widespread, poorly studied and
counter to the current research focus on the gain of
male traits and female preferences. These repeated
gains of traits in females seem to be related to male
mate choice and female–female competition10.

Why male traits are lost
Why are male traits lost if they are important in
sexual selection? Several explanations have been
proposed, which are not mutually exclusive, and there
seems to be at least circumstantial evidence for all of
them. They can be grouped into three types:
environmental, social and random.

Environment factors
Several studies have shown that differences in habitat
between populations and species are important in
shaping differences in sexually selected traits. There
are three obvious ways in which the environment can
have its effects: predation risk, signal transmission
and nutrient availability. Sexually selected
characters can have a significant cost in terms of natural selection, especially because of increased conspicuousness to predators. For example, coloration of male guppies *Poecilia reticulata* varies between streams in relation to predation intensity, and conspicuous coloration is greatly reduced in populations experiencing high predation risks. High predation pressure can also act on females as they are selecting mates, leading to a high cost for choosiness and reduced female preference for male traits. Signal transmission in some habitats might be either so difficult or so easy that display traits become obsolete. For example, red nuptial coloration is lost in some populations of threespine sticklebacks *Gasterosteus aculeatus* seemingly because the dark-stained water in the lakes inhabited by these fish renders the coloration inconspicuous. Similarly, many conspicuous male plumage traits are maintained in some warbler species *Phylloscopus* spp. inhabiting a dark environment, but are lost in warbler species living in brighter environments.

Limited availability of nutrients in some environments can also lead to loss of sexually selected traits. For example, conspicuous male ventral coloration seems to be reduced in some populations of house finch *Carpodacus mexicanus*, because of the lower availability of the carotenoid pigments needed to develop this trait. In some environments, developing individuals might simply be unable to afford the added physiological cost of producing display ornaments. For example, dung beetles *Onthophagus acuminatus* will fail to make the typically elongate male horn if reared in an environment with limited food.

Social factors
Sexually selected male traits can be involved in female choice or male–male competition. A single trait can serve both functions, and male and female responses to the same trait can be gained and lost independently. Many sexually selected characters are thought to arise and be maintained through female choice. For traits to be lost, the strength of female choice must be overcome by random or environmental effects; alternatively, female preferences must be reduced, lost, or reversed (such that female preference favors absence of the male trait), or a combination must occur (i.e. preferences lost and then the trait is lost because of natural selection or drift). Studies of female preference in species (or populations) in which the male trait has been lost show conflicting evidence as to the role of changes in female choice in trait loss. For example, a study involving two closely related species of swordtail fish (*Xiphophorus nigrensis* and *X. pygmaeus*), in which a male trait (vertical pigment bars) had been lost, showed that female preference favored the trait in one species and discriminated against the trait in the other.

### Table 1. Phylogenetic studies demonstrating loss or reduction of sexually selected male traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>Male trait lost</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water striders (Hemiptera: Gerridae)</td>
<td>Clasping structures on legs and genitals</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalk-eyed flies (Diptera: Diopsidae)</td>
<td>Exaggerated eye span (reduced)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horned beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Onthophaginaceae)</td>
<td>Horns</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish (teleosts)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swordtails and platyfish <em>Xiphophorus</em> spp.</td>
<td>Sword (elongate, colored, caudal extension) and vertical pigment bars</td>
<td>18,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sticklebacks (Gasterosteidae)</td>
<td>Red nuptial coloration on pelvic spines</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphibians</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asian frogs <em>Rana</em> spp.</td>
<td>Calling behavior</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reptiles (non-avian)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lizards (Phrynosomatidae)</td>
<td>Display coloration and display behavior</td>
<td>5,26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mammals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peccaries (Tayassuidae)</td>
<td>Canine size and zygomata width (reduced)</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Birds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House finch <em>Carpodacus mexicanus</em></td>
<td>Plumage coloration</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birds (43 families, 158 species)</td>
<td>Plumage coloration</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flycatchers <em>Ficedula</em> spp.</td>
<td>Plumage coloration</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanagers (<em>Thraupidae</em>)</td>
<td>Plumage coloration</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peacock pheasants <em>Polyplectron</em> spp.</td>
<td>Plumage coloration (eye spots)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*D. Emlen, pers. commun.*
Sexual dimorphism (Fig. 1) occurs when males and females differ in a given trait. Dimorphism in sexually selected traits usually involves the presence of elaborate traits in males, with the trait poorly developed or absent in females (although the reverse can occur in polyandrous social systems). This type of sexual dimorphism is thought to come about through gain of the trait in males alone (a), or else through gain of the trait in both sexes (because of genetic correlations) with subsequent reduction or loss of the trait in females (b). Remarkably few studies have attempted to assess the generality of these hypotheses, and results have so far been mixed (c,d,e). Sexual dimorphism is typically lost through reduction or loss of the trait in females (b), such that the trait is absent in both sexes. However, where the two species do overlap (i.e. are sympatric), females of X. hypoleuca prefer males with conspicuous coloration. This reversal of preference in X. hypoleuca females reduces the frequency of heterospecific matings. Similarly, a study of swordtails suggests that female preference for absence of male bars in X. pygmaeus evolves because of sympathy with another species, X. cortezi, which has the bars (d). In an island population of the house sparrow Passer domesticus, females prefer males with a small ornamental patch (e). In other populations, females either prefer large patches or have no preference for patch size. Differences in female preference and patch size between populations might reflect differences in male hormone levels and in different female priorities for different male services (i.e. high testosterone leads to a large patch, good nest site and bad parental care, whereas low testosterone leads to a small patch, bad nest site and good parental care). The loss of male calling behavior in Asian frogs Rana spp. also seems to be associated with evolution of male parental care and a reduction in testosterone level.

Although male–male competition has not been as widely discussed as female choice, it might also be very important in the gain and loss of sexually selected traits. Males of some bird species (e.g. the long-tailed finch Poephila acuticauda) seem to lose their conspicuous coloration to mimic females and reduce aggressive interactions with other males. The loss of many male display behaviors in horned lizards Phrynosoma spp. might be associated with a reduction in male territoriality.

The reduction or loss of female preferences for male traits appears to be widespread, and female preferences seem to be reduced in some groups in which male traits are lost (e.g. swordtails and ducks Anas spp.). There is, however, insufficient data to show that loss of the female preference leads directly to the loss of the male trait in these groups. Correlation between female choice and male trait development has been shown among populations of the guppy, but, in this case, strong predation pressure on conspicuous males might drive the loss of female preference causing a reduction in conspicuous coloration.

Recent studies have demonstrated that female choice can actively favor loss or reduction of male traits. For example, Saetre et al. showed that when the pied flycatcher Ficedula hypoleuca and collared flycatcher F. albicollis do not overlap geographically (i.e. are allopatric), females of each species prefer conspecific males with conspicuous black and white coloration. However, where the two species do overlap (i.e. are sympatric), F. hypoleuca females prefer males with inconspicuous coloration. This reversal of preference in F. hypoleuca females reduces the frequency of heterospecific matings. Similarly, a study of swordtails suggests that female preference for absence of male bars in X. pygmaeus evolves because of sympathy with another species, X. cortezi, which has the bars. In an island population of the house sparrow Passer domesticus, females prefer males with a small ornamental patch. In other populations, females either prefer large patches or have no preference for patch size. Differences in female preference and patch size between populations might reflect differences in male hormone levels and in different female priorities for different male services (i.e. high testosterone leads to a large patch, good nest site and bad parental care, whereas low testosterone leads to a small patch, bad nest site and good parental care). The loss of male calling behavior in Asian frogs Rana spp. also seems to be associated with evolution of male parental care and a reduction in testosterone level.

Although male–male competition has not been as widely discussed as female choice, it might also be very important in the gain and loss of sexually selected traits. Males of some bird species (e.g. the long-tailed finch Poephila acuticauda) seem to lose their conspicuous coloration to mimic females and reduce aggressive interactions with other males. The loss of many male display behaviors in horned lizards Phrynosoma spp. might be associated with a reduction in male territoriality.
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assumption that the evolution of the trait is entirely stochastic. If sexual selection is responsible for the origin and maintenance of these traits, then traits should only be lost when other forces (i.e. drift or natural selection) are strong enough to override sexual selection, or when sexual selection is weak.

Implications for models of sexual selection
Much research in sexual selection is concentrated on testing competing models for the origin of female preferences for male traits. The surprising patterns of change in traits and preferences revealed by recent studies could have important ramifications for these models. Female preferences appear to have become reduced, lost, or reversed in several different groups, based on phylogenetic studies comparing preferences between species and (more tenuously) studies of single species in which females show no significant preference for the male trait within the range of natural variation. In all the studies cited, reduction in female preference occurs while the male trait is still present, which suggests that selection against the male trait is unlikely to explain the loss of female preference.

I discuss four models of sexual selection and whether they are consistent with reductions or reversals in female preference and loss of male traits. Note, however, that several factors mentioned previously might cause changes in female preference regardless of how those preferences arose, including high predation pressure on choosy females, effects of habitat on signal transmission, presence of sympatric species, and selection for characters inversely correlated with male trait expression (e.g. parental care).

Runaway model
Under Fisher's runaway model, a correlation develops between the expression of the male trait and female preference for that trait. Greater expression leads to greater preference, and the trait exaggerates until it is constrained by natural selection. This model predicts that the male trait and female preference will be tightly co-distributed among species. Thus, a reduction in female preference in species in which the male trait is present (and vice versa) is inconsistent with this model. However, some modifications of the runaway model predict a cyclic gain and loss of female preferences (which could lead to loss of male traits), without changes in environmental selective pressures.

Good genes model
Under this model, male traits are reliable indicators of male quality (i.e. healthier males can produce better ornaments), and females that choose to mate with males that have these traits pass these 'good genes' on to their offspring (e.g. genes for parasite resistance). As with the runaway model, the good genes model has generally been used unidirectionally (explaining origin and elaboration only) and predicts tight correlation between the distribution of the trait and preference. However, theoretical studies suggest that if multiple male traits are present, female preferences might switch from traits that are unreliable signals of male quality to traits that are more reliable. Thus, female preferences for unreliable male traits might be lost, followed by a loss of the traits themselves. There is some support for this hypothesis from studies in mallard ducks (Anas platyrhynchos). Regardless of the specific model of sexual selection, the general idea of switching the focus of female preference from one male trait to another could be very important in explaining the loss of male traits and of female preferences for those traits (Box 3).
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Box 3. Trait switching and loss of female preference
The idea of female preference switching from one male trait to another might be a very important and general explanation for the loss of female preference for male traits. If males have evolved two or more signals, females can theoretically switch their preferences to emphasize one trait over another. Once a trait is no longer preferred by the female, it can be easily lost through natural selection or drift. Switching could occur between two morphological traits, a morphological trait and a behavioral trait, or between visual (morphological, behavioral), acoustic, or chemosensory signals. Why should switching happen? Female choice might favor one signal over another because one signal is a more reliable indicator of male quality or is more appropriate (e.g. conspicuous) for a given habitat. Furthermore, there might be selection on both males (directly) and females (indirectly) to switch to traits that are more energetically efficient (short term), more metabolically efficient (long term, in terms of developmental allocation), and more private (e.g. can be seen by conspecifics but not by predators, such as coloration characters that are only visible when they are actively displayed).
hypothetical for direct selection on female preferences\textsuperscript{2,37}. Under the sensory bias model, the female prefers the male trait because it exploits pre-existing biases in her sensory system (e.g., associated with foraging or predator avoidance) that are under direct selection. The model predicts widespread biases in female sensory systems that drive male traits in a consistent direction – towards greater exaggeration and sensory stimulation\textsuperscript{38}. Although many of the predictions of the sensory bias model have been supported by an increasing number of studies (e.g. female preferences evolve before the origin of the male trait\textsuperscript{37}), the widespread loss of female preferences and male traits seems inconsistent with this model, unless one postulates that female sensory biases are highly plastic. A reduction or loss of female preferences has been documented in at least two groups, swordtails Xiphophorus spp. and wolf spiders Schizocosa spp., in which sensory bias is thought to be involved in the origin of the trait and preference.

**Chase-away model**
The chase-away model was motivated (in part) by studies showing evidence for both sensory bias and loss of female preference for male traits\textsuperscript{39}. Under this model, sensory biases of females initially drive males to evolve a trait that increases their attractiveness. This trait not only induces females to mate, but also motivates them to mate in a suboptimal manner (e.g. too early or too often) that decreases their fitness. Females therefore evolve ‘resistance’ to the male trait, and males exaggerate the trait to overcome this resistance. In some cases, males will be constrained in the exaggeration of their traits, and so females can ‘win’ this coevolutionary arms race, and will show no response to the male trait. Thus, the chase-away model is consistent with both the initial gain and subsequent loss of female preferences for male traits. However, the model appears to be inconsistent with the frequent loss of male traits, because the authors predict that males must retain even ineffectual traits to reach a minimum threshold of female response\textsuperscript{40}. Furthermore, it is unclear what precisely these authors\textsuperscript{40} mean by resistance and if the lack of preference shown by females for male traits is actually consistent with this model\textsuperscript{40}.

**Areas for future research**
The loss of sexually selected male traits appears to be a widespread evolutionary trend. A variety of environmental, social and random factors can be involved in trait loss, and a combination of factors might be important in any given case. Future studies face the challenge of determining which factors are most important and what general principles govern their action. Answering these questions will require a combination of phylogenetic, experimental and theoretical approaches.

**Glossary**

- **Female choice**: (or female mate choice) a type of sexual selection in which females mate non-randomly due to female preferences for male traits.
- **Female-female competition**: a type of sexual selection in which females strive against each other for greater reproductive success.
- **Female preferences**: the tendency of females to favor (or disfavor) mating with males that have a given trait.
- **Gain**: the evolutionary origin of a feature (character state), as detected on a phylogenetic tree.
- **Loss**: an evolutionary transformation in which a feature (character state) becomes secondarily absent, as detected on a phylogenetic tree.
- **Male mate choice**: a type of sexual selection in which males mate non-randomly with females as a result of male preferences for female traits.
- **Male-male competition**: a type of sexual selection in which males strive against each other for greater reproductive success, typically by increasing their access to females.
- **Phylogeny**: a tree indicating the evolutionary relationships among organisms (e.g. species)
- **Sexual selection**: selection for traits that increase the reproductive success of an individual.
- **Trait**: for the purposes of this paper, a feature (e.g. morphological, behavioral, chemical) that evolves (or has evolved) in response to sexual selection.

Phylogenetic comparative methods\textsuperscript{41–43} can be used to identify correlates of trait loss, and thus infer potential mechanisms. Based on previous studies, losses can be associated with habitat changes (when habitats differ in predation risk, signal transmission properties, or nutrient availability), small population size (genetic drift), presence of male parental care, absence of male territoriality, presence of sympatric species with similar male traits, or exaggeration of other sexually selected male traits (suggesting trait switching).

There is also need for more experimental behavioral studies to be carried out in a phylogenetic context. Studies that document female (and male) responses to male traits across many species, in groups in which the trait is both gained and lost, are particularly needed. Future studies must determine whether a reduction in preference phylogenetically precedes losses of male traits or whether these changes are independent. Preference studies for multiple traits are also needed to determine whether trait switching is involved in the loss of male traits and female preferences.

New theoretical studies of trait and preference loss are also needed. An important problem is explaining the reduction or loss of female preferences in species in which the male trait is still present. The loss of female preferences seems particularly inconsistent with the sensory bias model, and the chase-away model is unique (so far) in that it attempts to reconcile the origin of female preferences by sensory bias with their subsequent loss. However, the chase-away model is controversial, has not been described in explicit mathematical terms, and seems inconsistent with the loss of male traits.

---
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Sexual selection has traditionally been a unidirectional field, focusing largely on explaining the origin of male traits and female preferences. Recent studies, summarized here, suggest that losses of male traits are common and that female preferences for these traits can be highly changeable over time. The problem of loss has thus been neglected because losses can only be reliably identified in the context of a phylogeny. This issue demonstrates the potential value of phylogenetic approaches in ecology, behavior and evolutionary biology not only as a tool for answering long-standing questions, but also as a way to identify novel patterns to be explained by process-oriented research.
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