ChemE 530

Final Exam

Due Monday 3/17/03, by 4 pm in the 303 BNS Chemical Engineering Office

You are not to discuss this exam nor collaborate with others in any manner. Violation of this rule will be deemed a serious breach of the university code of ethics, with grave consequences.

Problem 1. (50 pts)

A thin conductive film is grown on an insulating cylindrical spindle of length L. The film thickness is $H=10^{-5}*L$. The conducting film is not electrochemically-active. Three quarters of the way down the cylinder is an electrochemically-active band with kinetics given by a linearized Butler-Volmer experession identical to that used in Project 1. The band is 0.1*L wide (it goes from 0.75L to 0.85L). The entire top edge of the film has a contact with potential ϕ^c and the electrochemically-active band is in a solution with potential $\phi^{sol}=0$.



(a) Define appropriate non-dimensional variables. Write the governing equation that

describes charge conservation in the conducting thin film. Provide all the necessary boundary conditions.

(b) Describe the traits of the problem that permit a reduction in dimensionality. Write the equations and boundary conditions for the reduced dimensionality problem.

- (c) Solve the reduced dimension problem USING FEMLAB for the limit $Wa \rightarrow 0$.
- (d) Is your solution good? Show that charge is in fact conserved (i.e., current measured at the top edge matches current entering the electroactive band).
- (e) Compare the FEMLAB solution with an analytical solution for current at the top contact when Wa=0.

Problem 2 (50 pts)

Semiconductor quantum well structures are often made using organometallic chemical vapor deposition (OMCVD). A quantum well structure is comprised of alternating semiconductor films (ABABAB) with nanometer dimensions. To deposit semiconductor A requires a specific organometallic precursor gas, whereas deposition of B requires a different gas precursor.

The most common commercial reactors for OMCVD are "showerhead" reactors, where precursor gases are pumped through a "showerhead" injector placed close to the (hot) deposition substrate. Precursor is injected with a spatially uniform axial velocity into the gap between the showerhead and the hot substrate where deposition occurs. See schematic to right. We are interested in various aspects of flow in the thin gap between the showerhead injector and the substrate (the gap height, H, is much smaller than the substrate radius, R).



To make quantum structures, the precursor gas must be switched often, involving rapid starting and stopping of the flow. We are interested in this transient flow.

- (a) Show that a velocity field of the functional form $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r} f(z,t) \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} + w(z,t) \mathbf{e}_{z}$ is compatible with the Navier-Stokes equations, continuity equation, and boundary conditions for transient flow in the gap. What functional form must the pressure take to remain compatible with this velocity field?
- (b) Using the velocity form given in (a), non-dimensionalize the Navier-Stokes and continuity equations, and boundary conditions. Make clear what you use for the characteristic time, length, velocity, and pressure (if needed). Be sure to define the resulting Reynolds number.
- (c) If we start with a static fluid in the gap, and at time t=0 we instantaneously begin pumping precursor into the gap with an injection velocity V, what do you think the transient start-up flow will look like as it develops? Sketch a series of transient RADIAL flow profiles as the flow comes to steady state. Consider two separate cases: low and high Reynolds number start-up flows. Try to have the sketches accurately reflect mass conservation.
- (d) Formulate a perturbation solution and solve for the STEADY-STATE velocity when the Reynolds number is small to moderate. Plot the nondimensional form of the RADIAL velocity function f(z) when Re=0.5

(b) because we chave a very thin
film, nost pot! voriation will be
in
$$\eta$$
-direction, net p-direction
=> Reduce to I-D problem by
defining average $\langle \Phi \rangle$ in p-direction
 $\langle \Phi \rangle = \int_{p=0}^{p-1} \Phi d\rho = \frac{1}{H} \int_{R}^{RH} \Phi dr$
 $\overline{Apply} \langle \Phi \rangle$ integral to $GE \neq BCS$.
 $\int_{0}^{1} [\frac{H}{2} \frac{2^{2}\Phi}{2\eta^{2}} + \frac{2^{2}\Phi}{2\rho^{2}}] d\rho = 0$
 $(\frac{H}{2})^{2} \frac{d^{2} \langle \Phi \rangle}{d\eta^{2}} + [\frac{d\Phi}{d\rho}]_{p=1} - \frac{d\Phi}{d\rho}]_{p=0} = 0.$
 $\begin{cases} 0.85 \leq \eta \\ d\eta^{2}} + [\frac{d\Phi}{d\rho}]_{p=1} - \frac{d\Phi}{d\rho}]_{p=0} = 0.$
 $\begin{cases} 0.85 \leq \eta \\ d\eta^{2}} + [\frac{d\Phi}{d\rho}]_{p=1} - \frac{d\Phi}{d\rho}]_{p=0} = 0.$
 $\begin{cases} 0.85 \leq \eta \\ d\eta^{2}} + [\frac{d\Phi}{d\rho}]_{p=1} - \frac{d\Phi}{d\rho}]_{p=0} = 0.$
 $\begin{cases} 0.85 \leq \eta \\ d\eta^{2}} + [\frac{d\Phi}{d\rho}]_{p=1} - \frac{d\Phi}{d\rho}]_{p=0} = 0.$
 $\begin{cases} 0.85 \leq \eta \\ d\eta^{2}} - \frac{\mu}{K \leq T} \langle \Phi \rangle \rangle \langle 0.76 \langle \eta < 0.85 \rangle \rangle.$
 $= 0$

or, defining
$$(\frac{H \times RT}{L^2 \zeta_0 F} = Wa.)$$

 $d^2 (\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$
 $d^2 (\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$
 $d^2 (\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \hline (d) & i_{cont} = -k \frac{d\Phi}{dz} \\ i_{cont} = -k \frac{d\Phi}{dz} \\ i_{cont} = -\frac{k}{L} \frac{d\Phi}{d\eta} \Big|_{\eta=0} \end{aligned} \quad \text{at contact} \\ I_{cont} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{R} + H} \frac{i_{cont} r dr d\Phi}{d\eta} \\ Since \quad i_{cont} = const. \\ = -\left(\frac{k}{L}\frac{d}{dq}\right) \frac{d\langle\Phi\rangle}{d\eta} \int_{\eta=0}^{\mathcal{A}} A_{contact} \\ = -\left(\frac{k}{L}\frac{d}{dq}\right) \frac{d\langle\Phi\rangle}{d\eta} \int_{\eta=0}^{\mathcal{A}} \Im \pi \mathcal{R} H \quad f_{er} \quad R >> H \\ I_{bond} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{A} \times L}^{\mathcal{A} \times L} \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{R} \mathcal{T}} \frac{\phi r d\Phi}{d\theta} dz \\ = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{A} \times L}^{\mathcal{A} \times L} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{R} \mathcal{T}} \langle\Phi\rangle d\eta \quad f_{er} \quad R >> H \\ Fr \quad T_{cont} = T_{band} \\ Fr \quad T_{cont} = T_{band} \\ = \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{d\eta} \int_{0}^{\mathcal{A} \times L} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{d\eta} \int_{0}^{\mathcal{A} \times L} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{d\eta} \int_{0}^{\mathcal{A} \times L} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \int_{0}^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{F}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \int_{0}^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{F}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{i_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{A}} d\eta \\ = \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{F}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{F}} d\eta \\ = \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{F}} \frac{f_{en} \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{F}} d\eta \\ = \frac{f_{e$$

$$\frac{v - monventum}{\partial t^{r}} + v_{r} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial r} + v_{z} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial t^{z}} = -\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + v \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rt) \right) + \frac{\partial^{3} t_{r}}{\partial t^{2}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial (rf)}{\partial t} + rf \frac{\partial (rf)}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial (ff)}{\partial t^{z}} = -\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + v \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rt) \right) + \frac{\partial^{3} (ff)}{\partial t^{2}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + f^{2} + w \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + v \left[\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial z^{2}} \right] \qquad \text{hote} : T$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t^{z}} + f^{2} + w \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + v \left[\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial z^{2}} \right] \qquad \text{hote} : T$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + f^{2} + w \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + v \left[\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial z^{2}} \right] \qquad \text{hote} : T$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t^{z}} + \frac{1}{z^{2} + z^{2} + z^{2}$$

• Clearly can't have
$$a = a(z,t)$$
.
So, if $a = a(t)$ then all
is fine and we get.
 $P = -\frac{1}{2}r^2 a(t) + b(z,t)$.
Form *P* must take to
be compatible w/ N-S.
and the we get the following
gov. eq^{AS:
 con^{\dagger} $2f + \frac{2w}{\delta z} = 0$
 $r-mom$ $\frac{2f}{\delta t} + f^2 + w \frac{2f}{\delta t} = + \frac{a(t)}{p} + v \frac{2^2f}{\delta z^2}$.
 $\frac{2}{2}-mom}$ $\frac{2w}{\delta t} + w \frac{2w}{\delta z} = -\frac{1}{p}\frac{2b}{\delta z} + v \frac{2^2w}{\delta z^2}$
We only need to find $f^{\frac{1}{2}}w$, and
worlt worky about z -manentum eq.
Boundary condition
 $v_{z} = 0 \ c \ z = 0, H$ $z = \frac{f(0) = f(H) = 0}{w(H) = V}$ for $w(H) = V$ out if

(b) Non-dimensionalize
=> Do what makes logical scare,
and that is clearly.

$$W = W$$
 why? because we know
the axial velocity has
a magnitude ∇_j and
thus, non-dimensional
variable W is $O(r)$.
that's a good thing!
 $F = 5/f^*$ $(\eta = \frac{2}{H})$
 $2f^* F + \frac{1}{H} \frac{2W}{2\eta} = 0 = D$ if $f^* = \nabla_H$ then
 $2F + \frac{2W}{2\eta} = 0 = D$ Both terms are
of same magnitud.
So $(F = \frac{2}{H})^{\prime}$
Now radial momentum becomes
 $T = t/t^*$
 $\frac{1}{Ht^*} \frac{2F}{2T} + \frac{1}{W}F^2 + \frac{1}{W} \frac{2F}{2\eta} = \frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{W^2} \frac{2F}{2\eta^2}$

IF I set EX = H2 and R= VH as well as $A = \frac{H^3 a}{\rho V V}$, I get. $\frac{\partial F}{\partial e} + Re\left(F^2 + W\frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta}\right] = A + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta^2}.$ why t* = #?? because that keeps the first term outside the Rex[7 torms. Since we are interested in transient behavion, we must choose a time scale that preserves transed response, even when Re=>0. -> Don't worry about z-man. 0 Recel Ro >> 1 steady in all cases, the integral area under the F curve must be constant to conserve

(d) Need to formulate a perturbation
Serves... for steady state

$$F = F_{0}(q) + P_{e}F_{1}(q) + O(Re^{2}).$$

$$W = W_{0}(\eta) + Re W_{1}(\eta) + O(Re^{2}).$$

$$A = A_{0} + Re A_{1} + O(Re^{2}).$$
Substitute into steady
and BCS

$$2F_{0} + 2Re F_{1} + \frac{\partial W_{0}}{\partial \eta} + Re \frac{\partial W_{1}}{\partial \eta} = 0.$$

$$F_{0} + 2Re F_{1} + \frac{\partial W_{0}}{\partial \eta} + Re \frac{\partial W_{1}}{\partial \eta} = 0.$$

$$Re [F_{0} + Re F_{1}]^{2} + (W_{0} + Re W_{1}) \frac{d(F_{0} + Re F_{1})}{d\eta}] = A_{0} + Re A_{1}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial^{2} [F_{0} + Re F_{1}]}{\partial \eta^{2}} = 0.$$

$$W_{0} + Re W_{1} = 0 \quad e \quad \eta = 0.$$

$$W_{0} + Re W_{1} = -1 \quad e \quad \eta = 1.$$

$$Ser = by O(Re^{3})$$

$$O(1): \quad 2F_{0} + \frac{dW_{0}}{d\eta^{2}} = 0.$$

$$W_{0}(0) = 0.$$

$$W_{0}(1) = -1.$$

Solve
$$O(I)$$
.
 $F_{\sigma}^{\mu} = -\frac{A_{\sigma}}{2}\eta^{2} + C_{i}\eta + C_{2}$
 $F_{\sigma}(\sigma) = F_{\sigma}(1) = 0 \implies C_{2} = 0$, $C_{i} = \frac{A_{\sigma}}{2}$.
 $F_{\sigma}(\sigma) = F_{\sigma}(1 - \eta^{2})$
 $F_{\sigma} = \frac{A_{\sigma}}{2}(\eta - \eta^{2})$
 $A_{\sigma}(\eta - \eta^{2}) + \frac{d^{4}W_{i}}{d\eta} = 0$
 $W_{\sigma} = -A_{\sigma}(\frac{t}{2}\eta^{2} - \frac{1}{3}\eta^{3}) + C_{3}$
 $W_{\sigma} = 0 \ e \eta = 0 \implies C_{3} = 0$
 $W_{\sigma} = 1 \ e \eta = 1 \implies A_{\sigma} = 6$
 $v_{\sigma} = W_{\sigma} = 2\eta^{3} - 3\eta^{2}$
 $Using A_{\sigma}, we get$
 $F_{\sigma} = 3(\eta - \eta^{2})$.
 $Same exactly as hollows
puck problem
 $Collect + term O(R_{c})$.
 $2F_{i} + \frac{dW_{i}}{d\eta} = 0$
 $F_{\sigma}^{2} + W_{\sigma} \frac{dF_{\sigma}}{d\eta} = A_{i} + \frac{d^{2}F_{i}}{d\eta^{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\eta^{2}-2\eta^{3}+\eta^{4}) + (2\eta^{3}-3\eta^{2})(3-6\eta) &= A_{1} + \frac{d^{2}F}{d\eta^{4}} \\ &= A_{1}^{2}-1[A_{1}^{3}+\eta^{4}+6\eta^{3}-A_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}A_{1}^{3}-A_{1} &= \frac{d^{2}F_{1}}{d\eta^{2}} \\ &= A_{1}^{2}-1[A_{1}^{3}+\eta^{4}+6\eta^{3}-A_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}+A_{1}^{3}-A_{1} &= \frac{d^{2}F_{1}}{d\eta^{2}} \\ &= A_{1}^{3}-3\eta^{4}-A_{1} &= \frac{d^{2}F_{1}}{d\eta^{2}} \\ &= \frac{3}{2}\eta^{4}-\frac{3}{5}\eta^{5}-A_{1}\eta^{4}F_{1}^{2} = \frac{d^{5}F_{1}}{d\eta} \\ &= \frac{3}{2}\eta^{4}-\frac{3}{5}\eta^{5}-A_{1}\eta^{4}F_{1}^{2} = \frac{d^{5}F_{1}}{d\eta} \\ &= \frac{3}{2}\eta^{4}-\frac{3}{30}\eta^{5}-\frac{A_{1}}{2}\eta^{2}+c_{1}\eta+c_{2} &= F_{1} \\ &= F_{1}(h_{1})=0 &= \frac{9}{30}-\frac{5}{30}-\frac{15A_{1}}{30}+c_{1} \\ &= e^{e^{-}}-\frac{A_{1}}{2}-\frac{1}{5} \\ &= e^{-}-\frac{A_{1}}{2}-\frac{1}{5} \\ &= e^{-}-\frac{A_{1}}{2}\eta^{5}-\frac{1}{2}\eta^{5}-\frac{1}{2}\eta^{5}-\frac{A_{1}}{2}\eta^{2}+(\frac{A_{1}}{2}-\frac{1}{5})\eta \\ &= \frac{3}{10}\eta^{5}+\frac{1}{5}\eta^{6}+A_{1}\eta^{2}-\frac{1}{5}(A_{1}-\frac{2}{5})\eta &= \frac{dW_{1}}{d\eta} \\ &= -\frac{3}{10}\eta^{6}+\frac{1}{35}\eta^{7}+\frac{A_{1}}{3}\eta^{3}+(\frac{1}{5}-\frac{A_{1}}{2})\eta^{2}+c_{3} \end{aligned}$$

$$W_{1}(0) = 0 \implies C_{3} = 0.$$

$$W_{1}(1) = 0 \implies -\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{35} + \frac{A_{1}}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{A_{1}}{2} =$$

$$= -\frac{2}{70} + \frac{2}{70} - \frac{A_{1}}{4} + \frac{H}{70} = 0.$$

$$= -\frac{1}{70} + \frac{1}{70} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{70} + \frac{27}{35}$$

$$S_{0} \qquad W_{1} = -\frac{1}{10} \eta^{6} + \frac{1}{35} \eta^{7} + \frac{27}{105} \eta^{3} - \frac{13}{70} \eta^{2}$$

$$\frac{q_{1}d}{F_{1}} = \frac{3}{10} \eta^{5} - \frac{1}{10} \eta^{6} - \frac{27}{70} \eta^{2} + \frac{13}{90} \eta$$

$$S_{0} \qquad F = 3(\eta - \eta^{2}) + Re \left[\frac{3}{10} \eta^{5} - \frac{1}{10} \eta^{6} - \frac{27}{70} \eta^{2} + \frac{B}{70} \eta\right]$$

$$F = \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{1}{10} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{1}{10} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{10} \int_{0}^{0}$$