9.0 Trees are More Than Timber (non-Timber Stand Attributes)

Forests are not just sources of solid wood products

Dead tree parameters (snags, woody detritus, etc.) Non-tree vegetation (lichens, mosses, shrubs, ferns, forbs, etc.) Water (quality, depth, flow, extent, etc.) Forest residues for bio-fuels Biomass and carbon content / sequestration Soil (depth of litter, A horizon, carbon distribution through soil profile, texture, etc.) Wildlife (mammals – small & large, birds, other vertebrates, insects, etc.)

9.1 Tree Weight Determination

Total (gross) tree weight is "easy" to determine, but dry weight (actual fiber content) is relatively more difficult – affected by density and moisture content

Wood is a porous material, so it shrinks and swells when it dries and when it absorbs moisture

<u>Density</u> is mass per unit volume and can be expressed in three ways, depending on purpose (D = density lbs / ft³; W_d = dry weight of wood, lbs; V_g = "green" volume, ft³):

[1] "Current-volume" density =
$$D = \frac{W_d}{V_g}$$
 (the norm, for most U.S. uses)
[2] "Actual Weight" density = $D_g = \frac{W_g}{V_g}$ (in many parts of the world)

[3] "Specific MC" density = $D_{MC\%} = \frac{W_d}{V_{MC\%}}$ (for specific purposes)

Alternatively, the density of wood can be referenced to the weight of an equal volume of water, by dividing its density by the weight of the water resulting in a unitless quantity called Specific Gravity (SG). There are also three (3) "flavors" of SG, but all use dry weight in the numerator ($W_w = 62.4$ lbs / ft³):

[1] "Current-volume" SG =
$$SG = \frac{W_d}{V_g} \frac{1}{W_w}$$

[2] "Dry-volume" SG =
$$SG_d = \frac{W_d}{V_d} \frac{1}{W_w}$$

[3] "Specific MC" SG =
$$SG_{MC\%} = \frac{W_d}{V_{MC\%}} \frac{1}{W_w}$$

Unless otherwise specified, specific gravity refers to current-volume specific gravity

For most species, SG decreases from the base of the tree to the tip, but this variation is small compared to between trees within the same species.

Density of the wood also tends to increase from pith to cambium

<u>Moisture Content (MC)</u> of wood varies by species, location in the tree, and time since cutting - causes major problems for using weight as a measure of wood quantity

Moisture occurs in wood as free water in cell cavities and as absorbed water in the cell wall. The condition where the cell wall is saturated with bound water and the cell cavity contains no free water is known as *fiber saturation point*.

By custom, the moisture content of wood is expressed as a percentage of dry weight.

Dry weight is obtained by drying a sample of wood at $103 + 2^{\circ}$ C until a stable weight is obtained. Then, moisture content is calculated by:

$$MC_d = 100 \left(\frac{W_g - W_d}{W_d} \right)$$

However, in the pulp and paper industry, MC is based on wet weight:

$$MC_g = 100 \left(\frac{W_g - W_d}{W_g} \right)$$

In conifers heartwood generally contains less moisture than sapwood, moisture content generally increases as you go higher in the tree

Moisture content can vary by season, as well, some studies indicate higher MC in winter and spring than in summer and fall

9.2 Tree and Forest Biomass Estimation / Forest Carbon

Tree biomass can be estimated directly from measured tree dimensions, such as DBH and total Height, in much the same way as in standard volume equations

Similarly, biomass of tree components, such as roots, branches and leaves, bark, etc., can also be estimated from such equations.

Many existing biomass equations rely on allometric relationships between plant parts. An allometric relationship describes how different parts of a body (in biology) or plant (in botany) grow at different rates resulting in a change in body / plant part proportions. The relationship at any given point in time is expressed as

$$B_{part2} = aB_{part1}^{b}$$

where B represents biomass, and parameters a and b represent species-specific constants, usually at some particular age or stage of development. This allometric equation can be linearized by taking natural logarithms:

$$\ln(B_{part2}) = \ln(a) + b\ln(B_{part1})$$

A very frequently used source of biomass equations is authored by Gholz, and others. (1979. Equations for estimating biomass and leaf area of plants in the pacific northwest. Research Paper 41, Forest Research Lab, School of Forestry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR. 41 p.)

Their equation for Douglas-fir is:

$$\ln(B_{stemwood}) = -3.0396 + 2.5951\ln(DBH)$$

where, $B_{stemwood}$ represents biomass (kg) of stemwood, excluding bark, and DBH is Diameter Breast Height (cm). (Note that this estimates biomass from a single measured tree variable, DBH.)

In original scale, the equation is:

$$B_{stemwood} = 0.04785 DB H^{2.5951}$$

In the following Exhibit, the relationship is expressed graphically.

(Can you think of any biological arguments that might cause you to question this?) ESRM 368 (E. Turnblom) – Non-timber Stand Attributes





Deriving Biomass / Weight Tables from Volume Tables

To estimate biomass (weight) for a tree from a volume table reporting total stem volumes, the following procedure can be used, which is based on a recent publication by Jenkins and others (Jenkins, et al. 2003. National-scale biomass estimators for United States tree species. For. Sci. 49: 12 - 35.)

Let total stem volume be denoted by $V_{stemwood}$, as estimated from a standard volume equation.

Use tables (Table 1 or 3 and 6, from the Jenkins publication, included below) to obtain two things:

- i) specific gravity for your species of interest (Table 1 or 3), and
- ii) the proportion (or ratio) of total above-ground biomass that stem biomass represents (from Table 6).

Using the information from step (i) above, find biomass (in lbs.) for the stem wood by solving the following equation for stemwood biomass, $B_{stemwood}$:

$$B_{stemwood} = 62.4 \times SG_{species} \times V_{stemwood}$$
, where,

SG denotes Specific Gravity, and 62.4 is the weight of a cubic foot of water (lbs). ESRM 368 (E. Turnblom) – Non-timber Stand Attributes Given $B_{stemwood}$ and the ratio from step ii) above, i.e., $R_{stemwood} = \frac{B_{stemwood}}{B_{TotAboveGround}}$, it

should be easy to see that total aboveground biomass is obtained with:

$$B_{TotAboveGround} = \frac{B_{stemwood}}{R_{stemwood}}$$

Once total aboveground biomass is known, use the other ratios from Table 6 to compute the biomass of the other tree components of interest.

Example.

An estimate of biomass is needed for a Douglas-fir tree having DBH = 21.2 in. and Total height = 133 ft. A std. vol. equation yields $V_{stemwood}$ = 113.9 ft³. From Table 3 (below), 0.45 is the specific Gravity of Douglas-fir. Thus, $B_{stemwood}$ = 62.4×0.45×113.9 = 3198.3 lb.

 $B_{stemwood} = 62.4 \times 0.45 \times 113.9 = 3198.3 \text{ lb}.$

For a softwood with DBH = 21.2 in., $R_{stemwood} = e^{\left(-0.3737 - \frac{1.8055}{(2.54 \cdot 21.2)}\right)} = 0.6655$. Thus, total above-ground biomass is

$$B_{TotAboveGround} = \frac{B_{stemwood}}{R_{stemwood}} = \frac{3198.3}{0.6655} = 4805.9$$
 lb.

For softwood foliage, $R_{foliage} = e^{\left(-2.9584 + \frac{4.4766}{(2.54 \cdot 21.2)}\right)} = 0.0564$, so foliage biomass is

$$B_{foliage} = R_{foliage} \cdot B_{TotAboveGround} = 0.0564 \times 4805.9 = 271.0$$
 lb.

For softwood coarse roots,

$$B_{coarseroots} = e^{\left(-1.5619 + \frac{0.6614}{(2.54 \cdot 21.2)}\right)} \cdot B_{TotAboveGround} = 0.2123 \times 4805.9 = 1020.4 \text{ lb.}$$

For softwood stem bark,

$$B_{stembark} = e^{\left(-2.0980 - \frac{1.1432}{(2.54 \cdot 21.2)}\right)} \cdot B_{TotAboveGround} = 0.1201 \times 4805.9 = 577.3 \text{ lb.}$$

Branch biomass, including bark is found by subtracting *above*-ground components from total above-ground biomass, thus

$$B_{branches} = 4805.9 - 3198.3 - 271.0 - 577.3 = 759.3$$
 lb.
ESRM 368 (E. Turnblom) - Non-timber Stand Attributes

Table 1. Hardwood species groups for the diameter based aboveground biomass equation	Table 1.	. Hardwood	species	groups for the	e diameter-based	aboveground	biomass equat	ions
--	----------	------------	---------	----------------	------------------	-------------	---------------	------

	No. of	• •		Wood-specific	
Species group	eqs.	Genus	Species	gravity*	Literature reference [†]
Aspen/alder/	36	Almus	rubra	0.37	7.8.44.55
cottonwood/			sinuata		7
willow			SDD.	0.37	71,83,101
		Populus	balsamifera	0.31	90
			deltoides	0.37	2,3,19,59
			orandidentata	0.36	32,54,100
			SDD.	0.37	45.65.101
			tremuloides	0.35	16.32.47.51.58.61.72.74.76.78.83.85.90.96
		Salix	spp.	0.36	83,101
Soft maple/	47	Acer	macrophyllum	0.44	33
birch			pensylvanicum	0.44	101
			rubrum	0.49	12,22,23,25,26,32,45,51,53,61,63,65,77,81,83,100,101
			spicatum	0.44	14.60.79.101
		Betula	alleghaniensis	0.55	32.65.67.81.83.89.101
			lenta	0.60	15.45.63
			papyrifera	0.48	6,25,45,48,51,61,81,83,101
			populifolia	0.45	32.45.51.83.101
Mixed	40	Aesculus	octandra	0.33	15
hardwood		Castanopsis	chrysophylla	0.42	33
		Cornus	florida	0.64	10.63.77
		Fraxinus	americana	0.55	65,100,101
			niora	0.45	71.81.101
			nennsvlvanica	0.53	22
		Liauidambar	stvraciflua	0.46	22.23.77
		Liriodendron	tulinifera	0.40	15.22.23.63.77.100
		Nyssa	aauatica	0.46	22
			sylvatica	0.46	22.77.100
		Oxvdendrum	arboreum	0.50	63.77
		Platanus	occidentalis	0.46	23
		Prunus	pensylvanica	0.36	15.61.83.101
			serotina	0.47	100
			virginiana	0.36	83,101
		Sassafras	albidum	0.42	100
		Tilia	americana	0.32	45,101
			heterophylla	0.32	15
		Ulmus	americana	0.46	81
			SDD.	0.50	23
Hard maple/	49	Acer	saccharum	0.56	15.20.25.32.45.65.67.72.83.89.100.101
oak/hickory		Carva	SDD.	0.62	22.23.63.77
beech		Fagus	grandifolia	0.56	15,45,65,83,89,101
		Ouercus	alba	0.60	22,23,63,77,81,98
		2	coccinea	0.60	23.63.98
			ellipsoidalis	0.56	81
			falcata	0.52	23.77
			laurifolia	0.56	22
			nigra	0.56	22
			prinus	0.57	23,63,77
			rubra	0.56	15,20,36,45,53,63,65,101
			stellata	0.60	23,77
			velutina	0.56	100

 * US Forest Products Laboratory. 1974. Wood handbook: Wood as an engineering material. USDA Agric. Handb. 72, rev.
 * Reference numbers are matched to authors in Table 2. Reference number 32 for Freedman's combined species equation is also included in each species group.

Species group	No. of eqs	Genus	Species	Wood-specific gravity	Literature reference*
Cedar/larch	21	Calocedrus	decurrens	0.37	42
		Chamaecvparis	nootkatensis	0.42	42,56
		Chamaecvparis/ Thuja	spp.		33
		Juniperus	virginiana	0.44	87
		Larix	laricina	0.49	18,51,90,101
			occidentalis	0.48	13.34
			SDD.	0.44	36
		Seauoiadendron	oioanteum	0.34	42
		Thuia	occidentalis	0.29	50.75.81.101
			nlicata	0.31	1.13.30.42
Douglas-fir	11	Pseudotsuoa	menziesii	0.45	4.13.30.33.34.35.38.42.44.62.91
True fir/	32	Abies	amahilis	0.40	33 42 56
hemlock	52	лока	balsamea	0.34	6 32 46 51 61 101
nennoek			concolor	0.37	42.07
			orandis	0.37	12
			lasiocarpa	0.35	13 42
			maonifica	0.31	42.07
			magnifica	0.30	42,97
			procera	0.37	33,42
		T	spp.	0.34	33
		Isuga	canaaensis	0.38	15,45,65,101
			heterophylla	0.42	1,13,33,42,56,86
		D.	mertensiana	0.42	33,42,56
Pine	43	Pinus	albicaulis	0.37	13
			banksiana	0.40	37,43,51,61,90
			contorta	0.38	13,17,33,34,42,73,84
			discolor	0.50	99
			edulis	0.50	27,39
			jeffreyi	0.37	42
			lambertiana	0.34	33,42
			monophylla	0.50	64
			monticola	0.35	13
			ponderosa	0.38	13,33,36,42,84
			resinosa	0.41	9,36,51,101
			rigida	0.47	98
			strobus	0.34	36,45,53,61,65,92,101
			taeda	0.47	68,69,80,94
Spruce	28	Picea	abies	0.38	36,49,93
			engelmannii	0.33	13,42,57
			glauca	0.37	6,32,41,51,52,90
			mariana	0.38	5,32,40,51,66,70,82,90
			rubens	0.38	32,61,89
			sitchensis	0.37	11.42
			SDD.	0.38	65,101
Woodland	11	Acacia	SDD.	0.60	28,60,88
		Cercocarpus	ledifolius	0.81	21
		Juniperus	monosperma	0.45	39
		- mper m	osteosperma	0.44	27.64
		Prosonis	son	0.58	20.05
		Quarcus	ogmbelii	0.64	24
		Quercus	kunoleucoider	0.04	00
			nypoteucotues	0.70	77

Table 3.	Softwood and woodland species	groups for the diameter-based	aboveground biomass equations.

* Reference numbers are matched to authors in Table 2.

		Parameters			
Species class	Biomass component	ß	β	Data points [†]	R ²
Hardwood	Foliage	-4.0813	5.8816	632	0.256
	Coarse roots	-1.6911	0.8160	121	0.029
	Stem bark	-2.0129	-1.6805	63	0.017
	Stem wood	-0.3065	-5.4240	264	0.247
Softwood	Foliage	-2.9584	4.4766	777	0.133
	Coarse roots	-1.5619	0.6614	137	0.018
	Stem bark	-2.0980	-1.1432	799	0.006
	Stem wood	-0.3737	-1.8055	781	0.155

 Table 6. Parameters and equations* for estimating component ratios of total aboveground biomass for all hardwood and softwood species in the United States.

* Biomass ratio equation:

$$ratio = Exp(\beta_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{dbh})$$

where

ratio = ratio of component to total aboveground biomass for trees

2.5 cm dbh and larger

dbh = diameter at breast height (cm)

Exp = exponential function

ln = log base e (2.718282)

[†] Number of data points generated from published equations (generally at 5 cm dbh intervals) for parameter estimation.

Deriving Weight / Biomass Directly from Upper-stem Diameters

To estimate biomass (weight) for a tree when armed with a series of outside bark diameter measurements taken at various points along a tree stem, such as with a Relaskop, first convert outside bark diameter measurements to inside bark either by using bark thickness samples directly measured on the tree, or by using the mean Bark Thickness Ratios (BTR) appearing in Table 21 below. NOTE: The figures in Table 21 below are the <u>square</u> of BTR.

Then, use the mathematical formula(s) (Huber's, or Smalian's, or etc.) for the volume of appropriate geometric solids (paraboloids, or neiloids, or etc.) to obtain the volume, $V_{stemwood}$, of the entire tree stem. Then, use Table 6 to continue estimating biomass for different tree components, as before.

If the tree data you have are species, DBH, and height only, you are constrained to using a standard volume equation to estimate tree stemwood volume instead, as in the previous section.

Species	Bark Thickness Ratio Squared			
	٧			
	Oregon	Washington	Alaska	
Ponderosa pine	.820			
Western larch	.810			
Sugar pine	.780			
Concolor fir	.805			
incense cedar	.699			
Douglas-fir				
25 to 50 years	.850			
over 50 years	.812			
Western hemlock	.891	.885	.86	
Western red cedar	.903	.895	.88	
Sitka spruce	.925	.937	.90	
Lodgepole pine		.899		
White pine		.933		
Noble fir		.902		
Red alder		.890		
Alaska cedar			.90	

Table 21. Mean Squared Bark Thickness Ratios for Top of 16-Foot Logs. $1\!/$

1/ Ratios are merely guides since variation is found from area to area. Sources: Alaska, U.S.F.S.; Washington, Dept. of Nat. Res.; Oregon, Mason, Bruce & Girard, and Oregon State University.

2/BTR = dib/dob

Source: Bell, J.F. and J.R. Dilworth. 2007 – Revised Ed. Log Scaling and Timber Cruising.

Forest Biomass

A useful definition for *forest biomass* is total amount of above-ground living organic matter expressed as oven-dry tons per unit area (*Necromass* is commonly used to refer to dead organic matter)

Knowing forest biomass is important for

- Deriving estimates of carbon pools
- Studying other biogeochemical cycles

Forest vegetation biomass would be that portion of forest biomass that excludes non-plant biomass

The distinction has been made between forest biomass and *timber biomass*, which is the biomass in merchantable trees, including their bark, branches, foliage, and coarse roots *and* cull trees *and* salvageable dead trees

The most economical way to estimate timber biomass is from forest inventory data, but problems arise, because:

The minimum DBH of trees in the inventory is often greater than 4 inches, sometimes as large as 20 inches (worldwide),

The maximum DBH class in stand tables generally lumps the very large trees into this single class,

Frequently, only commercial trees species are included in forest inventory info,

Definition of inventory volume is often not consistent (CVTS, CV4, SV6, etc.)

- Using forest inventory data to estimate forest biomass begins with estimating *Aboveground biomass density* (aboveground biomass in trees per unit area)
 - Reduction factors for rot must then be developed (can range widely, say from 0.80 to 0.96 in certain forest types), as well as
 - Expansion factors to account for the biomass in the DBH classes below minimum tally threshold (ranging from 1.0 to 4.5 for types of forests in NE United States)

Forest Carbon

The Kyoto protocol and its follow-ups have established a mechanism by which the quantity of carbon captured or sequestered by a forestry project can be sold to companies or countries to count against reductions that they are obligated to effect to meet carbon emissions limits

Where studied, the weight of carbon in vegetation expressed as a proportion of biomass for most plant species is somewhere between 0.45 and 0.55 – in the absence of information for a particular species, a value of 0.50 is most often used

Region	Forest Type	Softwood Hardwood	
Rocky Mountain	Douglas-fir	0.512	0.496
and Pacific Coast	Ponderosa Pine	0.512	0.496
	Fir-Spruce	0.512	0.496
	Hemlock-Sitka Spruce	0.512	0.496
	Lodgepole pine	0.512	0.496
	Larch	0.512	0.496
	Redwoods	0.512	0.496
	Hardwoods	0.512	0.496

Factors to convert tree	e biomass to carbon (kg)
-------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Birdsey, R.A. 1992. Carbon storage and accumulation on United States forest ecosystems. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report. WO-59.

Establishing how much carbon is in the soil is quite difficult; it often accounts for upwards of 50% of the carbon stock in forest ecosystems

Summary

- 1. Knowledge of tree and tree component biomass provides valuable information for productivity, carbon storage and sequestration, etc.
- 2. Applying "local" biomass equations to a species regardless of age and geographic region is flawed; methods analogous to Volume Ratio Systems are preferred, such as Jenkins, et al.
- 3. Total stem volume can be estimated by direct measurement, using a relaskop to find upper stem diameters, or by using a standard vol. eqn.
- 4. Estimating forest biomass from forest inventory data is problematic, at best

Further Reading

Birdsey, R.A. 1992. Carbon storage and accumulation on United States forest ecosystems. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report. WO-59.

Kantavichai, R., D.G. Briggs, and E.C. Turnblom. 2010. Effect of thinning, fertilization with biosoloids, and weather on interannual ring specific gravity and carbon accumulation of a 55 year-old Douglas-fir stand in western Washington. Can. J. For. Res. 40: 72 – 85.
 ESRM 368 (E. Turnblom) – Non-timber Stand Attributes

Suntana, A.S., E.C. Turnblom, K.A. Vogt. 2013. Addressing Unknown Variability in Seemingly Fixed National Forest Estimates: Aboveground Forest Biomass for Renewable Energy. Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects 35(6): 546-555.