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Architects need analytical tools to evaluate the performance of their designs. Although a wide spectrum of evaluative tools is available, there is a general lack of designer friendly approaches. Architectural lighting analysis tools currently used in the profession incorporate several useful features, but most of them require specialized knowledge of scripting, and they lack intuitive and interactive user interfaces. The nature of these tools and data obtained are fragmented in nature. The objective of this thesis is to develop a user friendly tool (hdrscope) that can process and analyze High Dynamic Range (HDR) photographs and simulation results from Radiance Lighting Simulation and Visualization software. This new software (hdrscope) helps lighting designers, architects, researchers and academics to perform automated HDR image capture, HDR image processing, statistical analysis, glare evaluation and visualization of the lighting distributions in the space. The aim of hdrscope is to make architectural lighting analysis accessible by enabling wider usage for both entry level users as well as experts.
Table of Contents

LIST OF FIGURES .................................................................................................................. I

LIST OF TABLES ................................................................................................................... III

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION .............................................................................................. 1

CHAPTER 2: LIGHTING SIMULATIONS AND MEASUREMENTS ............................................. 3

2.1 Lighting Simulations ........................................................................................................ 3
   2.1.1 User Inputs ............................................................................................................. 4
   2.1.2 Algorithms ............................................................................................................ 5
   2.1.3 Simulation Outputs ............................................................................................... 8

2.2 Lighting Measurements .................................................................................................... 8
   2.2.1 High Dynamic Range Photography ....................................................................... 9

2.3 Image Based Lighting ....................................................................................................... 10

2.4 Visualizing High Dynamic Range Images ...................................................................... 11

CHAPTER 3: ANALYSIS TOOLS AND METRICS FOR LIGHTING SIMULATIONS .. 13

3.1 Analysis Tools ................................................................................................................ 14
   3.1.1 Radiance .............................................................................................................. 14
   3.1.2 Photosphere ......................................................................................................... 15
   3.1.3 Specialized Tools ................................................................................................. 16

3.2 Per Pixel Lighting Analysis Techniques ........................................................................ 17
   3.2.1 False Color .......................................................................................................... 17
   3.2.2 Iso Contour Lines ............................................................................................... 19
   3.2.3 Glare Analysis .................................................................................................... 19
   3.2.4 Numerical Methods ............................................................................................ 22

3.3 Disadvantages in current tools ...................................................................................... 24

CHAPTER 4 - HDRSCOPE ................................................................................................. 26

4.1 Installation method and pre-requisites ........................................................................... 26

4.2 The GUI .......................................................................................................................... 27
   4.2.1 Menus .................................................................................................................. 27
4.2.2 Image Display ........................................................................................................... 28
4.2.3 Status Bar .................................................................................................................. 28
4.2.4 Shortcuts .................................................................................................................. 28
4.2.5 Supported Features .................................................................................................. 29

CHAPTER 5: SOFTWARE WALKTHROUGH – CASE STUDY ................................. 48

5.1 Preparing for Analysis .................................................................................................. 49
  5.1.1 HDR Capture .......................................................................................................... 49
  5.1.2 Image Post Processing .......................................................................................... 50
  5.1.3 Image Calibration .................................................................................................. 52

5.2 Lighting Analysis .......................................................................................................... 53

CONCLUSIONS ................................................................................................................. 60

6.1 Summary ..................................................................................................................... 60

6.2 Key Contributions ....................................................................................................... 60

6.3 Future Work ................................................................................................................ 61

REFERENCES .................................................................................................................. 62
List Of Figures

FIGURE 1 BRDF MODEL [33].............................................................. 5
FIGURE 2 SEQUENCE OF MULTIPLE EXPOSURE PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE SKY .................. 9
FIGURE 3 A LOW DYNAMIC RANGE IMAGE (LEFT), AND A TONE MAPPED IMAGE OF A HIGH DYNAMIC PHOTOGRAPH (RIGHT) OF THE SKY ......................................................... 10
FIGURE 4 A SCENE ILLUMINATED BY A POINT SOURCE (LEFT) AND ILLUMINATED BY HDR IMAGE BASED MODEL (RIGHT) [10] ........................................................................................................ 10
FIGURE 5 REINHARD PHOTOGRAPHIC TONE-MAPPED IMAGE OF A RADIANCE HDR FILE OF THE SKY (LEFT) AND WARD’S HISTOGRAM BASED TONE-MAP OF THE SAME HDR IMAGE (RIGHT) ................................................................. 12
FIGURE 6 A FALSE COLOR REPRESENTATION OF AN HDR IMAGE .................................. 12
FIGURE 7 FALSE COLOR REPRESENTATION OF A HDR IMAGE OF A TASK SPACE WITH THE CORRESPONDING LEGEND .................................................. 18
FIGURE 8 FALSE COLOR REPRESENTATION OF 3 LIGHT LEVEL SETTINGS ...................... 19
FIGURE 9 CORRESPONDING LEGEND OF FIGURE 8 ................................................... 19
FIGURE 10 THE HDR IMAGE USED FOR GLARE ANALYSIS (LEFT) IN RADIANCE AND EVALGLARE (OUTPUT OF EVALGLARE SHOWN RIGHT) .................................................. 21
FIGURE 11 VARIOUS VISUAL FIELD OF VIEW MASKS [30] .......................................... 24
FIGURE 12 A TYPICAL COMMAND LINE INTERFACE WITH TEXT INPUT AND OUTPUT - ECOTECT/RADIANCE PLUGIN ........................................ 25
FIGURE 13 THE GRAPHIC USER INTERFACE FOR HDRSCOPE WITH AN IMAGE DISPLAYED ...... 27
FIGURE 14 THE SETTINGS DIALOG BOX .................................................................. 29
FIGURE 15 VARIOUS SELECTION TOOLS – RECTANGLE, POLYGON AND CIRCLE ........ 31
FIGURE 16 FALSE COLOR REPRESENTATION OF THE VIGNETTING CORRECTION MASK [32] .... 32
FIGURE 17 IMAGE VIGNETTING CORRECTION OPTION ............................................. 33
FIGURE 18 IMAGE CROPPING ........................................................................... 34
FIGURE 19 IMAGE RESIZING ........................................................................... 34
FIGURE 20 IMAGE MASKING OPTION .................................................................... 35
FIGURE 21 IMAGE FLIP AND ROTATE OPTIONS .................................................... 36
FIGURE 22 IMAGE OPERATIONS ......................................................................... 37
FIGURE 23 IMAGE EXPOSURE CORRECTION ....................................................... 38
FIGURE 24 ANALYSIS WIZARD START PAGE ...................................................... 38
FIGURE 25 SINGLE REGION ANALYSIS – PERCENTILE STATISTICS AND CRITERION RATING ... 39
FIGURE 26 SINGLE REGION ANALYSIS – RESULTS ........................................... 40
FIGURE 27 MULTIPLE REGION ANALYSIS – CONTRAST ....................................... 41
FIGURE 28 MULTIPLE REGION ANALYSIS – RESULTS ........................................ 41
FIGURE 29 GLARE ANALYSIS USING EVALGLARE ........................................... 42
FIGURE 30 CALIBRATION WIZARD ..................................................................... 43
FIGURE 31 SPOT METER VALUE ENTRY .................................................................. 43
FIGURE 32 PROJECTIONS FOR ILLUMINANCE CALIBRATION .................................... 44
FIGURE 33 FALSECOLOR IMAGE ........................................................................ 46
FIGURE 34 TONEMAPPING OPERATOR OPTIONS (LEFT – REINHARD’S PHOTOGRAPHIC TONEMAP OPERATOR; RIGHT – WARD’S HISTOGRAM BASED TONEMAP OPERATOR) .......... 47
FIGURE 35 SCENES THROUGH THE BUILDING FOR ANALYSIS ................................. 48
FIGURE 36 ANALYSIS TARGET SPACE .......................................................................................................................... 49
FIGURE 37 TEST CASE: VIGNETTING CORRECTION ........................................................................................................... 50
FIGURE 38 TEST CASE: IMAGE CROP .................................................................................................................................. 51
FIGURE 39 TEST CASE: IMAGE RESIZING .............................................................................................................................. 51
FIGURE 40 TEST CASE: IMAGE FISH EYE MASKING .............................................................................................................. 52
FIGURE 41 TEST CASE: IMAGE LUMINANCE CALIBRATION .................................................................................................. 53
FIGURE 42 TEST CASE: IMAGE LUMINANCE ANALYSIS (SINGLE ROI) .................................................................................. 54
FIGURE 43 TEST CASE: IMAGE LUMINANCE ANALYSIS (SPECIFYING TASK AREA) .............................................................. 54
FIGURE 44 TEST CASE: IMAGE LUMINANCE ANALYSIS (RESULTS – NO BLINDS CONTROL) .................................................. 55
FIGURE 45 TEST CASE: IMAGE LUMINANCE ANALYSIS (RESULTS – WITH BLINDS CONTROL) ........................................... 56
FIGURE 46 TEST CASE: IMAGE CONTRAST ANALYSIS (SELECTING FOREGROUND AND BACKGROUND) .......................................................... 56
FIGURE 47 TEST CASE: IMAGE CONTRAST ANALYSIS (STATISTICS OPTIONS) ................................................................. 57
FIGURE 48 TEST CASE: IMAGE RATIO ANALYSIS (RESULTS - 1) .......................................................................................... 57
FIGURE 49 TEST CASE: IMAGE RATIO ANALYSIS (RESULTS - 2) .......................................................................................... 58
FIGURE 50 CONTRAST CALCULATION - REGIONS .................................................................................................................. 58
FIGURE 51 TEST CASE: IMAGE GLARE ANALYSIS (OPTIONS) ............................................................................................... 59
FIGURE 52 TEST CASE: IMAGE GLARE ANALYSIS (CHECK FILES FOR THE TWO CASES OF LIGHTING CONTROL) .............. 59
List of Tables

TABLE 1 GLARE ANALYSIS OUTPUT FROM FINDGLARE AND GLARENDX FUNCTIONS .................. 22
Chapter 1: Introduction

The importance and impact of building simulations and analysis in early and developmental stages of design as well as for post-occupancy evaluation has been acknowledged by the building design community. Architectural analysis trends and interest towards computationally generated models, simulations and visualization have been increasing with the availability of powerful and accurate software that can rigorously simulate buildings and urban spaces for thermal, acoustic, lighting, air flow and solar parameters.

The impact of building simulation tools in quantifying problems such as sustainability and comfort continues to be seen as significant. Evaluation of architectural spaces for efficient lighting (daylighting and electric lighting) has seen deeper interest in the profession owing to its direct impact on occupant visual comfort and energy savings. Several recommendations and guidelines have emerged [1] that helps designers and scientists make an informed design decision. With the availability of physically accurate and photorealistic software such as Radiance [2], it is possible to perform advanced analysis of spaces with wide ranging requirements for lighting levels and strict energy usage requirements.

Although the current tools are accurate and have numerous useful features for lighting analysis, issues exist with adoption of such tools. Most lighting analysis tools remain accessible to only a few owing to the need for specialized computing and scripting skills. The lack of an intuitive user interface further slows down adoption. Current analysis methods also require several software programs for a specific purpose (such as Radiance for image processing and simulations, Evalglare for glare analysis and Photosphere for visualization, HDR image assembly and basic lighting analysis ). The fragmented nature of these existing tools and techniques, combined with the lack of interactive and usable software for architects has called for integrating the tools under a single interactive user interface.

The goal of this thesis is to provide an integrated framework and tool called hdrscope for HDR based lighting simulation and analysis through a combination of existing and novel tools and image processing techniques. Hdrscole is a software developed to post-process HDR images that originate from HDR photographs and Radiance Lighting Simulation and Visualization program for detailed lighting analysis. The software provides features such as automatic HDR capture, image manipulation tools, post processing operations that are utilized to correct aberrations in the image capturing process, and per-pixel and regional (task area, windows, light sources) lighting analysis techniques. The capabilities are provided through a user friendly graphical interface so that tools that are currently used by a handful of
researchers and practitioners who have programming and scripting capabilities, will be available and accessible to the whole profession for interactive analysis and design decision making.

The organization of the document has been laid out in a manner so as to provide an overview of the technology, underlying software mechanics and current practices. Chapter 2 provides an overview of architectural lighting simulations, measurement and visualization techniques with a stress on the simulation inputs, algorithms and the interpretation of the lighting simulation results.

Chapter 3 is a discussion on the various analysis tools and metrics commonly used by architects for architectural lighting simulations and analysis. Of particular importance to this project are widely used and validated lighting simulation software Radiance [3], and HDR imagery software Photosphere [4]. Aside from these tools, this chapter also introduces specialized lighting analysis tools, concepts, and programs that are useful for visualizing and analyzing simulation outputs. Since HDR image processing is the central theme of the project, an introduction to various numerical methods of image analysis is provided as a context for some of the features of the HDR Image Processing toolkit. These methods are based on luminance distribution patterns, luminance ratios and contrast as well as image evaluation based on regional, geometric and physical analogies.

Chapter 4 introduces the software and the features implemented with references to the Graphical User Interface of the toolkit. Chapter 5 provides a step-by-step walkthrough for a sample case study. This chapter is intended to demonstrate a basic lighting analysis workflow for an existing space. The summary, key contributions and future work are described in the concluding section.

Hdrscope version 1.0, HDR image processing toolkit for lighting simulations and analysis, is the first release of the software and is a work in progress. Future versions and improvements will be done as the current state of the art evolves with new technology.
Chapter 2: Lighting Simulations and Measurements

In this chapter, the fundamentals of architectural lighting simulations, measurements and visualization is discussed with a focus on the simulation inputs, various algorithms, and the utilization and interpretation of the results.

The main topic of discussion for this thesis is the analysis and processing of the HDR lighting information. HDR images can originate from photographic techniques and through physically based lighting simulations and renderings. This chapter explains the physical meaning, interpretation and usage of these images to derive meaningful lighting units and metrics. Photometric quantities of light such as luminance and illuminance are discussed in comparison to per-pixel simulation outputs and camera based measurement techniques.

The goal of architectural lighting simulations is to effectively and accurately predict the lighting distributions in a scene. Determining lighting information greatly helps architects to employ electric and daylighting strategies that support visual comfort, performance and human well-being along with significant energy savings.

In order to ensure the use of lighting simulations in an efficient and accurate manner, it is necessary to understand the techniques and science behind the simulation methods. This chapter introduces the foundations of architectural lighting simulations by discussing the typical operations and methods involved. The geometry, photometry and scene materials influence the interaction of light and thereby the outcome of the simulation. A brief discussion on various rendering algorithms such as Radiosity, Ray tracing (including the backward ray tracing algorithm) and Photon Mapping is provided to give a perspective on the computational processes involved in the simulation of light.

HDR photography technique is used to capture luminance information from existing spaces in high resolution and wide field of view. An overview is provided on the image capturing and image assembly processes that are employed to acquire meaningful lighting data.

Finally, a section on the High Dynamic Range Image display method (Tone mapping) is included to demonstrate the limitations of visualizing HDR images on traditional display devices and the alternative visualization techniques such as false color images may help in studying the light distributions within a scene.

2.1 Lighting Simulations

Advances in Computer Graphics have enabled us to perform advanced lighting simulations and 3D renderings. Not only does the modern 3D rendering software recreate visually pleasing computer
generated images that are virtually indistinguishable from real photographic images, but the images could also depict the scene with accuracy, where the illumination and light interaction with different materials obey the laws of physics. Rendering usually involves one of the two techniques: Photo-realistic Rendering and Physically-based Rendering.

The Photo-realistic technique is a quick way of performing renderings that targets visual quality and do not necessarily rely on physically accurate and validated algorithms. They are sometimes based on physically implausible assumptions and do not mimic the light interactions with the scene’s geometry accurately.

Physically-based rendering is a simulation of the physical interaction of light in the model. It tracks the physical properties and path of light in order to estimate the final appearance of the design. Physically-based rendering simulations include direct lighting and reflections between geometric surfaces in the model where the surface properties are determined by material definitions [3].

To further comprehend the relevance of rendering for lighting simulations, it will help to understand the components involved. The process of Physically-based rendering consists of the following:

- **User Inputs**
- **Algorithms**
- **Simulation Outputs**

### 2.1.1 User Inputs

The accuracy of architectural lighting simulations depends greatly on the scene geometry, material and light definitions. 3D modeling tools currently handle complex geometries with great ease largely due to the improving computing power and advances in Computer Graphics. Since this is a user and application specific input, its influence on lighting simulation depends on the target design under consideration. Modeling material properties require physically-based methods to interpret the properties of the material from the real world to the simulation domain. Such models describe the material properties such as color, reflectance and transmittance which in turn determine how light interacts and eventually gets modified [5]. Determining complex material properties such as diffuse, directional diffuse and specular components of the reflected light involve the Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution or Bidirectional Scattering Distribution Functions (BRDF / BSDF). Calculation of the BRDF / BSDF may yield to highly accurate simulation results but increases the computation time (Figure 1). The material definitions may accordingly be created depending on the required simulation accuracy.
Defining lighting properties for simulations can be examined in two groups: one concerns electric lighting definitions and the other concerns daylighting (sun and sky models). Architectural models can contain numerous electric lighting sources with different properties such as the luminaire’s shape, photometric characteristics and size. Daylight modeling includes the definitions of the sun and sky. Sky luminance can greatly vary depending on the weather, geometrical and seasonal conditions [3]. Generic sky models have been defined by the International Commission on Illumination [6] and lighting software use standard models such as CIE overcast sky, clear sky and intermediate sky based on location (latitude and longitude), date, and time. Sky models can also be defined through the distribution of diffuse and direct components of daylight for given diffuse and direct components of solar radiation for a specified geographic location (latitude and longitude), date, and time [3].

2.1.2 Algorithms

As explained earlier, physically-based simulations for lighting calculations involve determining the light interaction models mathematically based on the laws of physics. Illumination models are used to further understand the light transport by decomposing the problem as direct illumination and indirect illumination. All lighting models may be simplified as containing a light source, geometry and a camera. The lighting of a model achieved by light purely from the light source is known as direct illumination. All further interactions of light with modeled surfaces (such as reflection, transmission, absorption, and refraction) are termed as indirect illumination.
The lighting technique that incorporates both direct and indirect illumination components is called Global Illumination. Interactions in Global Illumination may involve basic reflections, refractions and shadows as well as more complicated phenomenon such as caustics.

Global Illumination forms the basis for several illumination algorithms. These are commonly based on one of the following two techniques [7]

- Finite Elements (Radiosity)
- Point sampling (Ray tracing)

In the Radiosity algorithm technique [8] the model surfaces are sub divided into small patches that form the basis for the final light distribution. The equilibrium of the light exchange between the patches is then computed and the distribution is determined by solving the linear equations of the light exchange between all patches.

The theory behind Radiosity is derived from Thermal Radiation. Radiosity was initially conceived to model purely diffuse surfaces and complex surfaces imply highly expensive computations. However, Radiosity is simple to implement and hence is widely available through rendering software and computing hardware.

An alternative to Radiosity is the Ray Tracing algorithm. Ray tracing traces the path of light from a source and registers the interaction and light bounces off the scene geometry. Since the number of bounces can be very high depending on the complexity of the objects in the scene, ray tracing is inherently computationally intensive. The number of required interactions in the scene is usually decided by the lighting expert based on the output requirements.

All ray tracing software algorithms simulate the following phenomena and objects at minimum [9]

- Cameras: This is the viewing point of the scene. The conventional ray tracing algorithm traces a ray (or multitude of rays each with different directional components) starting from the light source through the scene geometry interactions and to the camera. For lighting simulations the camera is important to set the field of view for task area and glare analysis.

- Ray-object intersections: All interactions with the primitive building model geometry such as triangles and polygons are initiated through ray-object intersections. The ray-object intersection is critical for calculating the individual shading values by the graphic sub system.

- Light distribution: Refers to the physical modeling of light from the light source through the scene. This includes position of the light as well as the energy distribution through space.
- Visibility: This takes into account the visible surfaces of the 3D model on the path of the ray traced from the source. All invisible surfaces that do not contribute to the lighting calculations are ignored by the ray tracer.

- Surface scattering: Explains the scattering of light towards the camera from the model surface. This is typically a property of the material under consideration.

- Recursive ray tracing: This method is applied when more accurate prediction of lighting levels is required. Recursive ray racing involves multiple bounces of the ray from the source up to the camera. The end condition for the recursion (the number of ray-surface bounces) is usually determined by the accuracy and computational speed expected of the simulation.

- Ray propagation: The property of each ray is modified by the material of the objects within the scene under consideration for simulations. Properties such as refractive index of glass used for day lighting designs modify the ray propagation.

Ray tracing can handle diffuse, specular, reflective and ambient values of light for each point in the scene as well as depth of field effects, motion blur, caustics, indirect illumination and glossy reflection. A stochastic method like the Monte Carlo method is used to simulate the light scattering. The current state of the art for lighting simulation favors Ray tracing over Radiosity.

**Special Cases of Ray Tracing**

*Backward Ray Tracing:* Tracing a ray or a multitude of rays from the light source to the camera involves distributing many rays that may or may not participate in a ray-object intersection. Such stray rays that do not contribute significantly to lighting calculations often slow down simulation speeds. In order to avoid this unnecessary effect, backward ray tracing is employed where a ray is traced backward with the camera as the source and the light source as the destination. Hence, this method would include only the important rays that reach the viewpoint from the source, thus speeding up the simulation process.

*Photon Mapping:* As opposed to ray tracing where the lighting information is tied to the geometry, the information in this method is instead stored in a separate independent data structure called the photon map. While in ray tracing the physical quantity measured is light, in this case the photon map is constructed from the photons emitted from the light sources. Photon mapping is useful to represent lighting in complex models and when combined with Monte-Carlo based calculations, this method is significantly more efficient than ray tracing.
2.1.3 Simulation Outputs

**Representing Physically Based Renderings**

Lighting simulation outputs from Radiosity or Ray Tracing are usually images. The numeric lighting quantities computed in a physically based lighting simulation can range from very low luminance levels (starlight at $10^{-8}$ cd/m$^2$) to very high levels (sunlight at $10^6$ cd/m$^2$). Digital images (compressed or uncompressed formats such as JPEG, TIFF, Bitmap etc.) are conventionally stored as an array of pixels, where each pixel is represented in three channels (RGB) by an integer value of a fixed bit width (usually 8 bits per color component). These image formats are called low dynamic range and they are inadequate to represent scene lighting that involves wide luminance variations and physically accurate lighting information. The human visual system is capable of adapting to light variations of 10 orders of magnitude and over a range of about five orders of magnitude simultaneously within a scene [10]. Low dynamic range images do not have the capacity to hold higher depth information; computationally expensive HDR lighting information gets truncated to 24 bit per integer values and hence cannot reproduce the human visual system’s perception of light. Therefore, it was necessary to create a “scene-referred” image format that could adequately represent the required information. Radiance RGBE format (recently renamed as HDR format) was introduced to remedy this problem [11]. More recent work on High Dynamic Range images has yielded other formats such as LogLuv TIFF, HDR JPEG and OpenEXR [12]. Using HDR image formats, the absolute lighting quantities can be stored for the entire visible range and can be retrieved for advanced numerical analysis.

**Simulation Validation**

Lighting simulation has matured enough to provide highly accurate digital representations of what is expected of the real scene. A main advantage of such simulations is its flexibility. As an example, it may be time consuming to perform a year round experiment using measurement techniques to derive sun and sky models. Lighting simulations hence are a quick way to predict performance of the building under any predefined condition through the available high speed computing frameworks currently available. However, the user should understand the underlying assumptions and approximations to effectively utilize such tools. Validating the tools through empirical methods is necessary to establish the deviation in the results. Empirical validation is neither necessary nor feasible with every simulation and by every user, but the users should choose lighting simulation software that has been validated by independent researchers.

2.2 Lighting Measurements

Two main photometric quantities have been in use by lighting scientists traditionally: luminance and illuminance. Illuminance is defined as the total luminous flux incident on a surface per unit area measured in lux or lumens per square meter [1]. Physically, it is the amount of light that is incident on a test area.
Luminance can be defined as the photometric measure of luminous intensity per unit area of light travelling in a given direction. Both Luminance and Illuminance can be measured using standard handheld light meters such as the Minolta LS-110 for Luminance and Minolta T-10 for Illuminance. These meters are point-by-point measuring devices and hence are cumbersome to use. In addition, the data resolution may not be sufficient for any application beyond simple investigations [13].

2.2.1 High Dynamic Range Photography

Photography methods can be used to capture scene lighting information with benefits of lower cost, wider field of view, high resolution and ease of usage. Single images (of lower dynamic range) are limited by the amount of information that they can represent. HDR photography technique has emerged as a high resolution, low cost and flexible measurement technique to capture luminance values of a scene. Per-pixel values of HDR images can represent the luminance level of the measured point of the scene. Hence HDR images gather detailed information that can be used to perform quantitative and qualitative lighting analysis.

In order to capture high dynamic range images with a standard camera, multiple exposures of the same scene are taken and combined using specialized software (Figure 2). The output of this process is a HDR image file or a luminance map. The basis for this procedure (explained in [10]) is that when multiple exposure images are taken, each pixel with suitable exposure is available in one or more of the images captured. Any over-exposed or under-exposed pixel maybe ignored. The resulting output is hence a combination of appropriately exposed pixels.

![Figure 2 Sequence of multiple exposure photographs of the sky](image)

Following multiple image captures, each exposure is then brought under the same domain by dividing each pixel with its exposure time (assuming the camera is a perfectly linear device). Once this is done, the corresponding pixels are averaged (leaving under-exposed and over-exposed pixels out of this calculation). In reality, there are many imperfections in the capture device and process that contributes to the errors in determining the HDR image. Digital cameras typically do not have a linear response. A camera response function has to be determined to correct for this deficiency. Using the camera response function, multiple exposure photographs are fused into a single HDR image (Figure 3). Artifacts such as lens flare, misaligned images during multi-exposure capture and image ghosts need to be accounted for.
and corrected when creating a HDR image. These functions are sometimes embedded in the HDR assembly software.

![Image 1](image1)

**Figure 3** A low dynamic range image (left), and a tone mapped image of a high dynamic photograph (right) of the sky

### 2.3 Image Based Lighting

While it is common to use mathematical sky models in physically-based simulations sky models, these models are synthetic and do not represent real world lighting conditions. To adequately consider daylight variations, HDR photographs of the building’s surroundings may be used as the source of illumination for the scene where each pixel is treated as a light source. This method is known as Image based Lighting [14]. Since Image based Lighting represents each pixel as a light source for illuminating the scene, high dynamic range images may be used for this purpose (Figure 4).

![Image 2](image2)

**Figure 4** A scene illuminated by a point source (left) and illuminated by HDR Image based model (right) [10]
HDR images used for Image based Lighting generally needs to be omnidirectional, because light originating from every direction typically contributes to the appearance of real-world objects. A light probe image or a HDR environment map, which is an omnidirectional photography technique, is used in such scenarios. It is a process of capturing images that encompass data from all directions from a particular point in space.

Image based lighting using HDR images contain information about the shape, color, and intensity of direct light sources, as well as the color and distribution of the indirect light from the surfaces in the scene. Therefore, we can use HDR images to accurately render and simulate how objects and environments would look if they were illuminated by light from the real world [10]. The typical process for lighting a scene using an image is as follows:

- Acquire and assemble a light probe image
- Model the geometry and reflectance of the virtual objects in the scene.
- Map the light probe to an emissive surface surrounding the scene.
- Render the scene as illuminated by the IBL environment.
- Post-process and tone map the renderings.

2.4 Visualizing High Dynamic Range Images

Although it is easy to create HDR images using standard digital cameras with adjustable exposure settings or through physically-based simulations, displaying the entire dynamic range of the images on conventional display devices is a challenge. Commercially available display devices have limited dynamic range and hence do not map the HDR information in absolute units. This discrepancy is often referred to as the HDR tone-mapping problem. There has been significant progress on developing display technologies that can display a wider dynamic range of the digital information in images such as the one's developed by Brightside Technologies (formerly Sunnybrook Technologies – now Dolby Systems)[34], Texas Instruments DLP [35] and Silicon Light Machines projection systems [36]. However, these displays are not common or commercial as of writing.

To visualize HDR images faithfully, the display must be capable of realistic rendering of HDR images that can mimic the human visual system. Owing to the limited capabilities of display devices, HDR images have to be compressed to fit in the limited display depth. While many tone mapping models and algorithms exist (such as Tumblin [15], Ward [16] and Reinhard's Photographic methods [17] – Figure 5),
the underlying math is about compressing the HDR image non-linearly and adapting it to fit the human vision model's response.

An alternative to visualizing HDR images in its native RGB format is using a false color representation (Figure 6). False color images represent the image as varying intensities of various colors. The measured quantity in false color images from lighting simulations maybe luminance or illuminance. It must be noted that false color images are merely visualizing aids for the light intensity distribution and do not describe the color properties of the HDR image.
Chapter 3: Analysis Tools and Metrics for Lighting Simulations

In the previous chapter, various simulation inputs, algorithms and outputs were discussed within the context of the physics and methods involved in lighting simulation and visualization processes. In order to achieve meaningful and accurate results for lighting simulations, it is necessary to understand the computational principles involved. This chapter is an exploration of the available programs for computational lighting simulation, measurement and analysis. The aim is not to provide an exhaustive list of the available lighting software. One such list can be found at the Department of Energy directory [18]. The primary focus rather would be on the Radiance software programs for lighting simulations [2][3] and Photosphere for HDR Photography [4]. The choice of these two software programs is based on validation studies carried by researchers and the relatively higher usage among professionals.

Previously, an introduction to HDR imaging and photography was given to explain its relevance to lighting simulations. Assembling HDR images is done through software such as Photosphere which is also capable of performing basic image editing and analysis. A section on the Photosphere software is included in this chapter to provide a context for the implementation of hdrscope. A discussion on glare analysis tools such as evalglare and Radiance glare modules is featured along with an introduction to specialized tools for automated HDR image capture such as the Canon HDRcapOSX [19] and general purpose scientific computing tools such as Matlab [20].

One of the main advantages of using HDR image formats is their capability to store a high resolution and absolute lighting information for advanced analyses. This chapter incorporates Per-pixel lighting analysis techniques and an explanation of the need for statistical and visual analysis of HDR images. Much of the work described in this section is derived from [21] and [22]. Per-pixel lighting analysis is performed on the portions of the scene or the entire scene to study the luminance quantities, ratios, and distribution patterns. Currently available tools such as false color maps, Iso-contour lines and Glare indices provide analytical means to evaluate the visual comfort and performance for a design or design alternatives. However, they are restrictive to predetermined techniques.

Per-pixel lighting information provides flexibility in analysis and allows for quantitative measurements and image manipulation of any selected region of interest in an HDR image. Luminance distributions, luminance ratios and contrasts between the task and its surrounding, and variations within the field of view can be facilitated to isolate the key problems in a quick and intuitive manner.

These lighting analysis metrics can be used by lighting researchers, scientists and architects to make informed design decisions, and to promote energy efficiency, visual comfort and productivity in built environments.
3.1 Analysis Tools

The following is a discussion of the commonly used tools for architectural lighting analysis and simulations. This is not intended to be exhaustive or serve as a manual for operating the tools. The content serves as an introductory text for hdrscope and the salient features that are incorporated in this software as well as the reasoning for excluding certain tools and features.

3.1.1 Radiance

Radiance [3] is a software package that is used by lighting designers and architects for the purpose of modeling (and translating) scene geometry, physically based material properties, daylighting and electric lighting properties and to simulate and visualize luminous environments. Radiance also includes tools for manipulating images and converting them to various formats.

Radiance software is available as source files that can be compiled under any UNIX-like environment (such as Linux, Mac OS, BSD) as well as a compiled Desktop Windows OS version. Unofficial ports for Radiance are available as compiled binaries under MinGW and Cygwin for the Windows OS as well as from National Renewable Energy Laboratory [23].

**Scene Geometry**

Radiance models scene geometry using *boundary representation* of polygons, spheres and cones. Radiance also defines a special primitive known as source that represents a solid angle of light entering the environment, such as light from the sun or the sky. Radiance combines the above scene geometry primitives in different combinations using object manipulators and generators effectively resulting in support for many more geometric entities.

Apart from the scene geometry primitives supported natively by Radiance, it is possible to translate scenes from other CAD programs to the Radiance scene format (examples include Ecotect [24], DIVA for Rhinoceros plugin [25] and Sketchup [26]).

**Surface Materials**

Radiance extensively incorporates several material and modifier types. Each material type is formed using one or more of the following Radiance primitives: Light, Illum, Plastic, Metal, Dielectric, Trans and BRTDfunc. Material types in Radiance can be patterned or textured thereby modifying properties such as surface orientation and color.

**Lighting Simulation and Rendering**

As introduced earlier, Radiance is a hybrid backward ray tracing algorithm (based on Monte Carlo deterministic method) that also efficiently computes indirect irradiance values over surfaces (local and global illumination), physically accurate light sources and surface materials. Radiance consists of several
rendering programs such as rview, rpict and rtrace and lighting analysis tools such as dayfact, findglare, glare, and glarendx. A more detailed discussion on ‘Glare analysis tools’ is given in the corresponding section in this chapter.

**Image Manipulation and Analysis**

Aside from the above features, one of the most unique affordances of Radiance is its ability to perform mathematical operations on the Radiance images. Some of the important picture manipulator programs in Radiance includes image filtering (pfilt), image combine (pcomb), converting from Radiance picture format to ASCII and raw data formats (pvalue), falsecolor and image interpolation (pinterp). Radiance also handles converting to popular formats such as PICT, PPM, AVS, and PostScript etc.

**Glare analysis**

Using automated analysis tools from Radiance, it is possible to perform a scene glare analysis. The Radiance Glare modules [3] are interactive scripts for locating sources of glare and computing one of the several available glare indices. The glare analysis tools in Radiance are:

- findglare
- glare
- glarendx
- xglaresrc

Glare sources are identified based on a threshold value which can be either specified by the user manually as a fixed luminance value or computationally determined from the average luminance in the field of view. The adaptation level is computed using the indirect vertical illuminance as the background level.

**Integration**

Radiance provides a few utilities for interactive and Graphic User interface based analysis. Programs such as rad, trad and ranimate are some of the common utilities used for this purpose. In addition to these tools, Radiance integrates well with some common CAD programs and hence provides smoother information exchange.

**3.1.2 Photosphere**

Photosphere [4] is a software application that may be used to build a HDR image from a sequence of JPEG images, browse through collections of HDR images or calculate a camera response curve. In addition to these functions, Photosphere allows the user to perform HDR image corrections such as lens flare removal, ghost removal, image alignment and exposure adjustments.
Photosphere also includes several useful HDR image analysis tools for performing further processing. Features such as image zoom using resampling, image crop, histogram (based on RGB as well as Luminance values), and visualizing HDR image using false color images have been included in a user friendly software interface. Photosphere allows saving the HDR image in various formats such as TIFF, Radiance RGBE, EXR and JPEG HDR formats.

Of importance in this context is the ability of Photosphere to calculate a camera response curve (i.e. self-calibration) and assemble a sequence of pictures to a HDR format. A calibration procedure in Photosphere allows fine tuning of luminance values a measured luminance value in the scene. The pixel values in the resulting images can correspond to absolute luminance quantities in cd/m².

3.1.3 Specialized Tools

Apart from the above commonly used lighting simulation and analysis tools, there are instances where lighting analysts use specialized tools for applications not supported completely in these tools. A brief discussion of a few of these tools follows:

- **Daysim** [27] is Radiance based daylighting analysis software that uses climate based daylighting metrics to derive metrics such as Daylight Autonomy (DA), Useful Daylight Index (UDI), and Daylight Glare Probability (DGP) [28]. Daysim is capable of automatically predicting daylight metrics by simulating occupant behavior and interaction with buildings such as automated light and blind controls. This software application is available as a plug-in interface to popular CAD and analysis software such as Google Sketchup, Rhinoceros 3D and Autodesk Ecotect.

- **Canon HDRcapOSX** [19] is an automated Mac OS application and script package that is capable of automatically controlling a tethered Canon Digital SLR camera by setting a predefined series of shutter speed values on the camera and capturing images. This is useful for automated HDR captures under conditions that are time consuming and hence do not require constant supervision. This utility is also capable of combining the captured image and generating a HDR image by using a program called **hdrgen** [4] which is derived from Photosphere.

- **Evalglare** [29] is a tool for evaluating daylight glare. Evalglare can also be used to calculate glare from HDR photographs. Glare sources are identified based on a threshold value, which can be either specified by the user manually as a fixed luminance value, computationally determined based on average luminance in the field of view, or computationally determined based on a user specified task location.

- **DIVA for Rhino** [25] is a plugin for Rhinoceros modeling tool that is capable of calculating radiance maps, perform glare analysis, climate-based daylighting metrics among others.
In order to perform specialized mathematical and scientific calculations that involve repetitive and intensive tasks, generic scientific computing tools such as Matlab [20] or C++ is also used. These tools while being flexible in functionality also can perform custom batch processing of existing tools such as Radiance.

3.2 Per Pixel Lighting Analysis Techniques

HDR photography and Radiance generated images provide per-pixel lighting information. Per-pixel lighting analysis allows for a high resolution, high accuracy, low cost and an accessible way for architects to analyze lighting simulations.

The Virtual Lighting Laboratory [21][30] is an early example of image based lighting analysis tool and method using Physically-based High Dynamic Range Images. These images form the basis for extracting Per-Pixel lighting information. The premise of the Virtual Lighting Laboratory is to provide the user with a simulated lab environment to perform lighting analysis with such tools as HDR imagery, virtual meters and data processing and analysis. Each of these subsets was defined to be customizable through the addition of features and functions in order to facilitate a flexible lighting analysis toolkit for specific problems. The Virtual Lighting Laboratory is of significant importance in this context owing to the similarities in features and applicability.

Visualizing and analyzing HDR images can be automated using existing tools for luminance analysis. The following sections include an overview of HDR image analysis tools.

3.2.1 False Color

False Color images are an alternate representation of pixel data for HDR images. Since the range of pixel values cannot be accommodated by conventional display devices or print media, an equivalent representation using colors and varying intensities are used. Such pseudo color maps are also known as false color luminance images (measured in candela per square meter (cd/m²) or nits). False color images are useful to visualize the luminance distribution patterns in the built space, to understand the absolute luminance values for each pixel, and dynamic range of luminance values within a scene.
Figure 7 False color representation of a HDR image of a task space with the corresponding legend

Figure 7 represents a false color of the HDR image of a daylit lab environment. With the legend as a guideline, the luminance distribution across the scene can be studied. It may be noted here that the light source (sun) has a relatively higher luminance of 200,000 cd/m$^2$ whereas the task area (the tables) has a luminance of around 3000 cd/m$^2$.

Figure 8 below represents the false color of HDR images taken at various electric light level settings of a class room. It is evident from the false color images that the light levels are reduced progressively with the luminance in the task area (the tables) varying from 550 cd/m$^2$, 110 cd/m$^2$ and 10 cd/m$^2$ respectively (also refer Figure 9).
3.2.2 Iso Contour Lines
This is an alternative visualization method where colored contoured lines are super imposed on the original image. These images display the original image in true colors, along with contour lines that correspond to absolute luminance quantities.

3.2.3 Glare Analysis
Glare is the effect of high luminance level in the visual field of view that is sufficiently greater than the adaptation luminance to cause discomfort and poor visibility [1]. Numerous metrics are prescribed based on the light distribution and source of glare. These metrics, also known as a glare index, are:
- Guth Visual Comfort Probability (VCP)
- CIE Glare Index (CGI)
- Unified Glare Index (UGI)
- BRS Glare Index
- Daylight Glare Index (DGI)
- Guth Disability Glare Rating
- Direct Vertical Illuminance
- Total Vertical Illuminance
- Indirect Vertical Illuminance
- Daylight Glare Probability (DGP)

Historically in North America, VCP and DGI are the commonly used glare indices to evaluate discomfort glare. The light source luminance, location, size and direction of the source, number of glare sources and the adaptation luminance help in determining these indices.

Most of the available Glare indices were devised under laboratory conditions with many impractical assumptions. These metrics were developed in 1960s where the lighting measuring capabilities were restricted to hand held meters that can measure one point at a time. DGP was developed in the last decade and uses HDR photography as a measuring device. A single glare index has not emerged as a consensus in the lighting community. Yet, all of these indices depend on the luminance values of the scene and the visual field of view. Therefore HDR images with fish-eye optics (180°field of view) are increasingly becoming prime media for calculating glare. Such scenarios eliminate the need for assumptions and simplifications since the source for glare analysis is obtained directly from the analysis area with the affordances of high resolution, accuracy and accessibility offered as a per-pixel lighting analysis technique. Radiance glare module (discussed in 3.1.1) and evalglare (discussed in 3.1.3) utilize fisheye images to calculate one or more of the indices listed above.
In the above example and Figure 10 - a HDR scene from a laboratory space, using evalglare, findglare and glarendx programs resulted in the following values of glare metrics:

- Guth VCP: 17.83
- CGI: 29.43
- UGR: 23.91
- BRS: 20.83
- DGI: 20.22
- Guth DGR: 248.58
- Direct Vertical Illuminance: 740 lux
- Total Vertical Illuminance: 3319 lux
- Indirect Vertical Illuminance: 2579 lux
- Evalglare Daylight Glare Probability: 0.36

The table below shows the characteristics of the glare sources identified within the scene:
Table 1 Glare analysis output from findglare and glarendx functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glare Source</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Size (sr)</th>
<th>Luminance (cd/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dx</td>
<td>dy</td>
<td>dz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.531998</td>
<td>0.106667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.786667</td>
<td>0.574263</td>
<td>0.226667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.540474</td>
<td>0.836523</td>
<td>0.090092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.244522</td>
<td>0.96796</td>
<td>0.057118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.213333</td>
<td>0.965908</td>
<td>0.146667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.293333</td>
<td>0.925395</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.4 Numerical Methods

The flexibility of per-pixel lighting analysis methods to perform pixel-based, region based and comparative calculations presents many capabilities to evaluate the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the luminous environments. These capabilities maybe customized according to the target application. Quantitative analysis of HDR images (i.e. Numerical methods) allows users to perform mathematical operations on the image for the purpose of reducing the problem area to a more refined and specific subset of the overall problem.

Some of these numerical methods include:

- Luminance distribution, ratios and contrast
- Evaluations by regions of interest
- Evaluations by image subtraction
- Evaluations by visual field of view

Luminance Distribution, Ratios and Contrast

For a specific region of interest (or for the entire HDR image), *luminance distribution* is a good measure of the spatial variance in the luminance values across a reference. Distribution calculations are typically applied to 1) architectural elements such as desks, walls, windows and ceiling; 2) a specified region of
interest such as the human visual field of view; or 3) through a fish eye image captured for the entire scene. These calculations largely involve basic mathematical functions such as maxima, minima, average, and etc.

*Luminance Ratios* on architectural elements and task area can be studied to understand the variations between a specified task and the surrounding. Several guidelines are set by the IESNA on luminance ratios:

- Luminance variation within the task area should be restricted to 3:1 (for task luminance higher than the surrounding area luminance values)
- Variations within ceilings and walls should be under 3:1
- Distant room surfaces ratio are preferred to be within 10:1 and 40:1
- Ceiling luminance variations of 2:1 is desirable but can be up to 8:1

Luminance Contrast is useful to study the relative luminance values between the task and its immediate background (such as a text on a computer screen). Studying the luminance contrast helps to determine the visibility of a task. The equation to calculate the luminance contrast $C$ is defined as: $C = \frac{L_t - L_b}{L_b}$ where $L_t = \text{luminance of the target}$ and $L_b = \text{Luminance of the background}$ [1].

**Evaluations by Regions of Interest**

In order to analyze specific elements within the HDR image, it may be useful to isolate a portion of the pixels from the rest of the image so as to reduce processing time as well as visually correlate results by categorizing them for specific architectural elements (such as task surface, window, ceiling and etc.). Analyzing statistics values such as the minimum or maximum luminance value within a region in the image provides useful indicators to the lighting distribution and thereby is a good indicator of the lighting performance and glare sources within the scene [21].

In order to calculate the statistics, user specified masks or closed user specified regions are helpful in isolating the region of interest. Multiple regions of interest selected by the user may help in calculating ratios of architectural elements as discussed above.

**Evaluations by Image Subtraction or addition**

One of the strengths of computational lighting analysis is the ability for the tool to provide a comparative visual representation of multiple scenarios. An example is the dynamic operation of building elements. It may be useful to calculate the effect of the installation of a shading strategy by subtracting two images – one prior to installing the shading device and the other with the shading device. Such images may be generated through a Radiance simulation. Studies involving image subtraction gives a quick and intuitive
visual of the regions (pixels) that are affected owing to the introduction of the shading strategy. Quantitative studies may also be performed using image addition operation. Addition operation on an electric lighting simulation with daylit scene is useful to visualize and study the integrated lighting solutions.

**Evaluations by Image Field of View**

While Fish eye images capture the entire field of view from an optical point of view, they are wider than the actual human vision, which is 180 degrees horizontally and 130 degrees vertically [21]. In order to extract luminance information that is closer to representation to the human visual field of view, it is possible to derive multiple field of view images (such as foveal vision, binocular vision, and peripheral vision) from the original fish eye image (Figure 11). These representations may provide an accurate estimation of glare sources and visual comfort.

![Figure 11 Various visual field of view masks [30]](image)

3.3 Disadvantages in current tools

While the tools explained in this chapter are extremely useful for architects, researchers, and lighting consultants, each of these tools require more features and improvements for them to be widely used
across the profession. There are a few disadvantages that have deterred many users from adopting the tools beyond trial purposes. Some of them are listed below.

- **User friendliness** – Tools such as Radiance and EvalGlare are targeted at users who are comfortable with command line programming. Command line programming is a highly flexible way of operating a software, but it is restricted in terms of user friendly interface for providing the simulation inputs and visualizing the outputs. In addition, most command line programs are not cross platform and predominantly work in a UNIX environment only (Figure 12).

![A typical command line interface with text input and output - Ecotect/Radiance plugin](image)

- **Interactivity** – As much as these tools provide sufficient options for the user to control the various simulation parameters, there are several useful features missing from the Radiance based and Photosphere programs. One of the important features that will immensely benefit designers is providing graphical interactivity for analysis. Options such as dynamic selection of regions of interest, masking and task area categorization are often considered vital for scene analysis, but the support for such interactive image analysis is missing.

- **Integration and Automation** – As it is evident from the discussions above, most programs are fragmented in nature and not available in a single user interface package. Providing links to each of these tools under a common toolkit will eliminate the need for using multiple user interfaces thereby greatly improving productivity (and possibly efficiency within the software algorithms). Integrating hardware interfaces for automated HDR capture and assembly into a common software toolkit is also useful to ensure that the entire workflow involved in lighting analysis is automated and available within one software user interface.
Chapter 4 - hdrscope

In order to mitigate the problems with the various available tools and software programs for lighting analysis and allow for wider adoption, it was necessary to provide a user-friendly and integrated medium for this purpose. Drawing from the flexibility, accuracy and useful features of the tools discussed in the previous chapter, software was created that builds upon the familiarity of these existing tools and provides interactive features in a Graphic user interface.

‘hdrscope’ is an architectural lighting analysis and high dynamic range image processing software created for the Microsoft Windows operating system and written in C++ that provides a Graphic User Interface (GUI) front end for several Radiance commands as well as incorporates custom algorithms and 2D drawing features. In addition, the software allows for automating the HDR image sequence capture process by automating camera settings and control for important HDR related parameters such as shutter speed and aperture values.

‘hdrscope’ supports several features related to processing and analyzing both Radiance simulations results as well as HDR photography based images. This chapter provides an overview of the GUI and layout, the user input shortcuts and the infrastructure required to effectively use the software. Various post-processing features are demystified with information on behind-the-scenes commands for Radiance and Evalglare.

Note that any references to HDR files hereafter may refer to either Radiance simulation outputs (.pic files) or HDR images through photography (.hdr). Since the file formats for PIC files and HDR files are identical the user may interchange the usage of .hdr and .pic files depending on the analysis framework. “hdrscope” recognizes both file extensions as valid inputs to the tool.

4.1 Installation method and pre-requisites

The software and most dependencies are bundled as a standard setup file that is installable in Windows Vista (with Service Pack 2) and Windows 7 with up to date OS updates.

“hdrscope” has dependencies on a valid Radiance installation for operation since it calls Radiance programs from within the software. The recommended binaries may be downloaded and installed from the NREL website for OpenStudio [23]. Other dependencies such as Evalglare [29] and tone-mapping operators [10] are bundled with the setup file. The installer checks for RAYPATH environment variable in the computer and if Radiance is not available, the installer exits.
4.2 The GUI

The GUI consists of a menu bar, image display and status bar (Figure 13).

4.2.1 Menus
The file input-output operations, image processing and analysis features are available in one of the several menu options. Depending on the current state of analysis or processing, the menu options may be disabled or enabled. The menu bar contains the File menu that may be used to open and save files and edit file header information. The edit menu holds the post-processing options. Each of the options within this menu modifies the pixels (such as crop, rotate, flip and etc.). The tools menu offers options to invoke analysis wizards and visualization options such as falsecolor and tone-mapping operators. Aside from these options, the tools menu can also be used to calibrate for luminance and illuminance values in a HDR photograph, choose drawing tools for selection on the image and define tool and GUI specific parameters through the settings dialog box. If a supported camera is connected to the PC, the capture menu may be used to configure capture parameters.
4.2.2 Image Display

The currently loaded image is scaled and pixel mapped according to the DPI settings in the computer in the image display area. The displayed image is always a tone-mapped version (using ra_bmp or ra_gif) of the original or processed HDR file or a BMP image for falsecolor representations.

4.2.3 Status Bar

The status bar is an informational, read-only area at the bottom of the GUI. The status bar displays information such as image resolution, pixel co-ordinate value at the current mouse cursor position (also substituted by a region selection value for rectangular selections), current image zoom or scale factor in percentage of the original image size and the image exposure value. When there are no regions selected on the image display and the user clicks on a pixel, the corresponding luminance value is also displayed in the status bar.

4.2.4 Shortcuts

“hdrscope” allows for a limited number of shortcuts for manipulating the screen controls, menus and image. The following is a list of such shortcuts:

**Mouse Shortcuts**

- Mouse scroll up/down in image display area: Zoom in/out
- Mouse right click, hold and drag in image display area: Pan
- Selecting Edit > Fit Image to Display will scale the image to the zoom scale according to the current window size. Choose this option if the image is too large or too small to reveal important information.
- (When Selection tool is rectangle) Mouse left click and drag in image display area: Draws rectangle until the mouse key is released
- (When Selection tool is circle) Mouse left click and drag in image display area: Draws circle until the mouse key is released
- (When Selection tool is polygon) Mouse left click: Specifies vertices of the polygon. A minimum of 4 vertices is required to draw a polygon. To automatically close the polygon by drawing a line from the end point to the start point, use the mouse right-click button.
- Mouse hover on image display area indicates the image co-ordinates in the status bar.

- Mouse click on a pixel on the image: Displays luminance value in status bar.

**Keyboard Shortcuts**

- Pressing Alt key highlights the standard windows style shortcut letters for the menus. For e.g. Alt+F opens the File menu; Alt + EE opens the Edit > Exposure dialog box.

- Pressing the Escape keyboard button clears the drawing area if it contains any drawn selection region such as Rectangle, Polygon or Circle.

- Pressing and holding the Shift key while drawing a rectangle on the display would force the drawn rectangle to a square.

### 4.2.5 Supported Features

**Software File Caching**

“hdrscope” is non-destructive image processing software. This means that the original image is retained in its location and is not modified unless the user chooses to replace it while saving processed files. To achieve this, hdrscope copies the user specified HDR file into the cache directory which is specified in the Tools > Settings menu option (Figure 14).

![Figure 14 The Settings dialog box](image)

By default, the cache directory is specified in the “settings.asf” file located under the %APPDATA%/hdrscope path created during installation.
Note: Since Radiance cannot process files and file paths with complicated characters and space characters, the cache directory should not contain such characters in its path. By default, the cache directory is set at %TEMP% directory and any spaces or special characters would block hdrscope from accessing Radiance commands.

Image Display

In order for the HDR image to be displayed in a low dynamic range format, hdrscope performs a conversion to BMP or GIF format through the ra_bmp or ra_gif Radiance commands (Falsecolor images are always displayed using uncompressed BMP format). For larger images, the image display method would determine the speed of conversion from HDR to image display format. For higher accuracy, BMP may be chosen and for faster display performance, GIF format may be chosen.

For every post-processing command that is issued from the GUI, the new HDR image is regenerated (and saved in the cache directory), converted to BMP or GIF and reloaded in the image display area.

Camera Capture Automation

Through the Capture menu item, it is possible to remotely connect and control aperture and shutter speed values of certain connected and supported Canon cameras. This option allows users to save captured JPEG image files in a specified folder, set capture modes and time the configured capture to repeat over a period of time. These features eliminate errors during capture process and also ensures that constant observation is unnecessary for capturing images for prolonged periods of time.

In the "Automatic" capture mode, the software selects a predefined set of aperture and shutter values based on a file (which can be modified by the user). This file “autocap.asf” is located in %APPDATA%\hdrscope and is specific for Canon cameras only. Depending on the camera model, the autocap.asf file may need a separate download. The Automatic capture mode is a pessimistic capture mode. The mode always captures images at closer F-stop values and it is the user’s responsibility to either choose the required exposures or allow the HDR assembly software to skip additional exposures.

In the “Manual” capture mode, the software allows setting shutter speed values to the camera for a single aperture or two aperture settings. The allowable values are set automatically in the shutter speed selection controls depending on the camera model connected to the PC.

The camera can also be configured to capture multiple exposure image sequences in a loop with a delay set in minutes. The parameters for capture can be modified during the countdown period. The other features in hdrscope can also be used while the capture is counting down.

Note that during the capture process, the user interface is locked down and no user inputs are allowed. Forcing user inputs may alter the state of the software and may result in unintended output.
Installation of camera dependent files such as the camera DLL files is the user’s responsibility. Hdrscope does not bundle these library files in the setup procedure. For details on downloading cameras specific drivers and files such as the SDK, please refer to the Canon website [31] for software specific to the camera.

**File Input-Output**

Hdrscope recognizes RGBE files (.hdr and .pic) as valid file inputs. When RGBE files are opened through the File > Open menu option, the software calculates and buffers the per-pixel luminance values by applying the formula:

$$L = 179 \times (0.2126R + 0.7152G + 0.0722B)/\text{Exposure}$$

The exposure value is the product of the various exposure corrections performed on the image. The Luminance values are represented with floating point precision and all image processing and analysis is performed in the Luminance domain.

The HDR files can be saved in the RGBE format, JPEG, BMP or GIF formats using File > Save As option. When saving in HDR format, the software creates a copy of the latest cache image in the destination provided. When saving in JPEG format, the tone-map option specified in the Tools > Settings dialog box is used. This method of converting HDR to JPEG format uses default command line switches for the Ward et al. Histogram method [16] or Reinhard et al. Photographic [17] tone-map operators. For more flexible tone-map options, refer to the Tone-mapping operators section.

**Region Selection Tools**

Hdrscope provides three region selection tools (Figure 15) that can select pixel regions for a crop operation or perform hit-testing to analyze regions of interest in the lighting analysis process.

![Figure 15 Various selection tools – Rectangle, Polygon and Circle](image-url)
The selection tool type can be chosen from Tools > Selection Tool menu option. As explained earlier in the mouse shortcuts section, the method for selecting the region depends on the type of drawing tool selected. Pressing the escape key clears the display region of any drawn shapes. Rectangle selection tool may also be used to select a region in the image to crop. Once a rectangular region is drawn, choosing Edit > Crop will crop the HDR image according to the values defined by the rectangular region. More information about the crop operation is available in the cropping section.

While selecting regions using a rectangle, it is possible to move and resize the rectangular region by following the mouse cursor indications. Double clicking on a valid rectangular region will enable a dialog box with numeric inputs that can precisely control the rectangular region. It is also possible to move (but not resize) circular regions.

To provide an easy way of selecting regions that may align with specific points and linear elements in the HDR image, guidelines may be enabled from the Tools > Selection Tool > Guidelines option.

**Lens Vignetting Correction**

This is an optional step during image post-processing that may apply to HDR images that were generated from a fish-eye lens. Lens Vignetting is an artifact that arises owing to lens optical and mechanical deviations. For fish eye lenses, Vignetting introduces a radial non-linear light intensity fall off across the lens. On the periphery of these lenses, the actual light captured by the digital camera image sensor is lower than what is theoretically expected.

![Falsecolor representation of the Vignetting Correction mask](image)

**Figure 16 Falsecolor representation of the Vignetting Correction mask [32]**

The above image in Figure 16 shows the intensity of light as a relative measure of luminance values in falsecolor representation for aperture F5.6. Values in yellow represent pixels that require no luminance
modification and other colors require proportional luminance correction. Vignetting correction is performed with the help of a HDR image filter mask that may be specified in the GUI under Edit > Vignetting Correction > Use Maskfile (Figure 17).

These masks may be calculated as explained in [32]. The radiance command for performing vignetting correction for a HDR fish eye image (image_in.hdr) with a known mask (mask.hdr) is:

```
pcomb -e "ro=ri(1)/ri(2);go=gi(1)/gi(2);bo=bi(1)/bi(2)" image_in.hdr mask.hdr > image_out.hdr
```

This command is automatically applied by hdrscope when the user chooses the Vignetting correction option and specifies the mask file path.

Note that the image pixel resolution of the Vignetting correction mask image must match that of the currently displayed image for Vignetting correction operation.

**Cropping and Resizing Images**

Large resolution HDR images and certain HDR images involving fish eye optics may require resizing and cropping of unwanted regions. These operations reduce the number of available pixels to what is required for analysis and thereby reducing the processing time for the various Radiance commands for further analysis.

A specific use of the crop feature is to remove unwanted pixels that may arise during fish eye image capture. In such cases, selecting the circular region using the rectangular selection tool and performing a crop operation will yield the intended result (Figure 18).
Once the rectangular crop region is selected, Edit > Crop will perform the crop operation. The Radiance command issued for the crop operation is:

```
pcompos -x (width) -y (height) image_in.hdr -(xoffset) -(yoffset) > image_out.hdr
```

where width and height are the corresponding dimensions for the selected rectangle; xoffset is the pixel x co-ordinate offset of the top-right corner of the selection rectangle and yoffset is the minimum of number of rows above or below the selected rectangle. Note that the Crop function works only when the selected region is a valid rectangle and does not work for circular or arbitrary polygonal regions. The image resize function is useful to scale up or scale down the HDR image. Using Edit > Resize option (Figure 19), the image X and Y resolution can be independently altered or changed by retaining the aspect ratio of the original image.
The Radiance command used for this purpose is:

```
pfilt -1 -e 1.000 -x (xres) –y (yres) image_in.hdr > image_out.hdr; where xres is the desired output X image resolution and yres is the desired output Y image resolution.
```

Note that in order to retain the current image exposure, an exposure multiplier of 1.000 is applied through the –e option of the pfilt command to the image resize function.

**Masking an Image**

Image masks maybe applied from the Edit menu to an HDR image to exclude pixels that may not participate in the lighting analysis. A common use for image masks is when the additional pixels that belong to the fish eye lens frame need to be excluded since they do not contribute useful pixels to the lighting analysis (Figure 20).

![Figure 20 Image masking option](image.png)

Image mask files maybe of HDR, PIC, BMP or TIFF format. Mask files have to necessarily be of binary nature (black and white) where regions of white are unmodified and regions of black pixels are converted to black pixels. For the purpose of masking, the software treats all RGB pixels below 0.5 (in HDR format)
as black or masked pixel and above 0.5 as an unmasked pixel. No adaptive thresholding to convert color to binary is performed. Hence, the corresponding Radiance command for the masking operation is:

```
pcomb -e "ro=if(ri(1)-0.5,ri(2),0);go=if(gi(1)-0.5,gi(2),0);bo=if(bi(1)-0.5,bi(2),0) mask.extn image_in.hdr > image_out.hdr", where mask.extn is the mask file in the appropriate file format as discussed above. The software automatically converts TIFF and BMP mask files to temporary HDR mask files through ra_tiff -r or ra_bmp -r commands.

Note that the image resolution of the mask file has to match the image resolution of the target HDR image.

Rotating and Flipping
 HDR images may also be rotated or mirrored about the central X or Y axis of the image through the Edit > Rotate/Flip menu item (Figure 21).

Figure 21 Image flip and rotate options

The Radiance commands used for rotation and flipping are as follows:

Rotate 90- protate image_in.hdr > image_out.hdr

Rotate 180 – pflip -c -v –h image_in.hdr > image_out.hdr

Flip Horizontal – pflip -c -h image_in.hdr > image_out.hdr

Flip Vertical – pflip -c -v image_in.hdr > image_out.hdr
Image Operations

For scenarios involving comparative studies of different designs (an example – dynamic facades), it may be useful to compare two scenarios through a mathematical operation such as subtraction. Image operations facilitate this method of analysis by calculating an output image that is a combination of two source images. The combination may be addition, subtraction, multiplication or division of two HDR images to yield an output HDR image.

To select an image operation, choose Edit > Operation from the menu bar (Figure 22).

![Image operations dialog box](image.png)

**Figure 22 Image operations**

The image operation dialog box allows the user to browse for the source HDR images that participate in the image operations process as well as specify the operation through a drop-down list.

The Radiance command for image operations used by hdrscope is:

```
pcomb -e "ro=ri(1) op ri(2);go=gi(1) op gi(2);bo=bi(1) op bi(2) image_in_a.hdr image_in_b.hdr > image_out.hdr;" where op may refer to one of +, -, / or * and image_in_a and image_in_b are the input source images.
```

Exposure Settings

Exposure value for a HDR image determines the proportional RGB value for image display. Since exposure is a multiplier applied to the RGB values, it can be modified to alter the displayed image with the necessary intensities. This option can be accessed from Edit > Exposure (Figure 23).
The Radiance command for exposure correction is:

\[ \text{pfilt} -1 \text{ –e (exposure) image\_in.hdr > image\_out.hdr; where exposure is the ratio of the desired exposure value to the current image exposure value.} \]

**Statistical Analysis**

Once the HDR image is processed and prepared for analysis, the Tools > Analysis menu (Figure 24) provides the three statistical lighting analysis options in a wizard format.
Statistical analysis provides basic regional statistics such as minimum, maximum, mean, median and standard deviation of luminance values in a selected region or through a mask file. Apart from these values, depending on the type of analysis, it may be possible to retrieve other important statistical data. These are explained in the sections below.

Regional analysis depends on user inputs for selecting a valid region from the image. If the user opts to select a region using the selection tool, the user can return back to the wizard by pressing the ENTER key after choosing a valid region. A mask file may also be specified in place of the selection tool.

**Note**: All pixels with luminance values 0.0 are ignored during statistics calculation.

**Single Region of Interest Analysis**

This option is useful to retrieve statistics based on a single masked or selected region in the image. Unique to this option, the following analysis features (Figure 25) can also be utilized:

- Percentile ratio
- Criterion rating

Percentile ratio calculations are performed by sorting the luminance values and retrieving the upper and lower percentile number of pixels as specified by the user and calculating the ratio of the mean values of luminance within these regions.

Criterion rating performs an absolute comparison of luminance values based on a given condition (lesser than, equal to or greater than a user specified luminance value). The final statistic is represented as the percentage number of pixels in the selected region that satisfies the specified criteria.

![Figure 25 Single Region Analysis – Percentile statistics and Criterion rating](image)
The resulting output from the single region analysis wizard is a histogram, basic statistics data and the chosen analysis specific options. Shown below in Figure 26 is a sample analysis wizard output:

![Figure 26 Single Region Analysis – Results](image)

The histogram displayed in the results window is a subset of the Ward histogram method (Radiance phisto script). The only difference is that the histogram calculations do not scale down the image to a 128x128 image. Hence, the histogram calculations in hdrscope may take a longer time to calculate since the entire pixel samples are taken into consideration. The histogram X axis is linear in display. The Y axis is also linear, but normalized by the phisto script and hence the label is not included with histogram graph display.

The results may also be saved in a comma separated values format. The values saved include the statistical data as selected by the user, the histogram output as generated by the modified phisto script and the spatial information of the region selected including its co-ordinates and corresponding luminance value.
Multiple Regions of Interest Analysis

In order to perform a comparative analysis of the luminance distribution within the scene, this wizard option may be chosen by the user to calculate ratios between two architectural elements or contrast between a task surface and its background.

Multiple regions of analysis allow the user to choose two regions (A and B) independently using selection tools or a mask image. For ratio calculations, Region A is assumed to be the numerator in the mathematical division (or the foreground region for contrast calculations – see Figure 27).

Contrast calculations are useful when calculating the luminance of a task and the background, or the foreground and the background. The contrast is calculated in hdrscope from the foreground and background regions as they are selected by the user or acquired from mask files.

Figure 27 Multiple Region Analysis – Contrast

Figure 28 Multiple Region Analysis – Results
The multiple region of analysis statistics results are presented in three parts (Figure 28). One for each region, and one for the calculated ratios (in which case, the histogram is not shown). The user may choose to individually analyze the regions selected in the wizard or view the ratios calculated for each of the statistics chosen including the ratio of minimum, maximum, median, mean, standard deviation and optionally the contrast. The results may be saved in a CSV file as mentioned previously.

**Glare Analysis**

hdrscope provides a method of analyzing the space for glare through the Evalglare program. Of particular importance in the analysis is the DGP (Daylight Glare Probability) value. Evalglare provides several other features and options for users to customize and specify the characteristics of the glare source. For more information, refer to the Evalglare documentation [29].

![Figure 29 Glare analysis using Evalglare](image)

Evalglare program embedded within the analysis wizard (Figure 29) exposes all the program features and complies with the suggested work flow. The wizard collects all user inputs required for the glare analysis and runs evalglare.exe at the end of the wizard. Prior to running the evalglare program, the image is scaled down to 800x800 or less to adhere with evalglare program suggestions. Once the wizard finishes execution, the check file created automatically loads in the image display area.

**Image calibration – Luminance and Illuminance Methods**

In order to ensure that the HDR images maintain absolute accuracy, it may be necessary to calibrate the images through a standard known target and measuring instrument. Luminance calibration may be
performed through a standard gray target and by acquiring the luminance value through a spot-luminance meter. By simultaneously measuring the luminance values of the reference target and capturing HDR image sequences, it is possible to linearly calibrate the image. This option is available through Tools > Calibration menu item (Figure 30).

Figure 30 Calibration Wizard

Luminance calibration factor is calculated by selecting the gray target in the scene (through the selection tool or image mask) for which the luminance meter reading is available and then entering the meter reading as in Figure 31.

Figure 31 Spot meter value entry
With the reference value, the wizard calculates the calibration factor as a ratio of the average luminance value of the selected region as measured by the digital camera and the measured value as seen in the luminance meter.

For special cases such as sky and sun HDR capture, it may not be possible to perform a luminance calibration owing to the lack of standard test pattern availability for the scenario [32]. In such cases, it may be useful to calculate the calibration factor value from the illuminance measured at the camera level and the illuminance value derived from the luminance values from a fisheye photograph.

Prior to measuring the image illuminance, it is necessary to map the optical behavior of illuminance meters with that of the camera. Illuminance meters are hemispherical sensing systems. The optics in such meters employs cosine correction. Most of the commercially available fish eye lenses however perform a geometrical projection. The image formed on the image sensor is through an equidistant projection of light on the lens. Since this does not reflect the true spatial image information as captured by the illuminance meter, it is necessary to transform equidistant fisheye projections to hemispherical (cosine-corrected) fish eye imagery.

![Figure 32 Projections for Illuminance Calibration](image)

By selecting Edit > Projections (Figure 32), the fish eye image can be transformed to hemispherical projected image and subsequently used to calibrate with illuminance values. Image projections inherently require a cleanup of the HDR image file header and this is automatically performed by hdrscope prior to
applying the Radiance command. The following Radiance command is used to transform the picture from angular fish eye to hemispherical fish eye.

```
pinterp -vf image_in.hdr -vth -x (aliasx) -y (aliasy) -ff image_in.hdr 1 > image_out.hdr, where aliasx and aliasy are anti-aliasing values for image resolution. Alias values are optional and can be specified in the projections dialog box.
```

Similar to luminance calibration, illuminance calibration wizard requires the illuminance meter value and correspondingly calculates the calibration factor and applies it to the image. The calibration factor is applied to the image through the following Radiance command:

```
pcomb -e "ro=ri(1)/(calfactor);go=gi(1)/(calfactor);bo=bi(1)/(calfactor)" image_in.hdr > image_out.hdr;
```

The calfactor is calculated by the wizard as the ratio of average luminance value of the selected region to the measured luminance meter value for luminance calibration. For illuminance calibration, illuminance from the image is calculated as the average image luminance calculated from the circular portion of the fisheye image multiplied by π. The calibration factor is calculated as the ratio of the calculated illuminance value to the measured illuminance quantity.

**Image Visualization**

hdrscope provides two methods of visualizing HDR images and the per pixel luminance values.

- Falsecolor images
- Tone-mapped images

The falsecolor program within hdrscope is a log mapping of the luminance values in the image and can be accessed from Tools > Falsecolor (Figure 33).
The falsecolor image scale can be adjusted according to the user’s requirements and analysis goals.

Two tone-mapping operators are provided within hdrscope – Reinhard photographic tone mapping operator [17] and Ward histogram tone-mapping operator [16]. These operators are available from Tools > Tonemapping.
Reinhard’s photographic tone-mapping method provides the user with options to set the HDR to tone-mapped JPG conversion parameters in the user interface. Ward’s method of tone-mapping applies the pcond Radiance command to condition the picture according to the requirements. The dialog box exposes most of the pcond command line options.

Since pcond translates HDR images to a conditioned HDR and the purpose of tone-mapping is to generate a “displayable” picture, the tm_linear program [10] is used (without any options set) to translate the HDR to JPG.
Chapter 5: Software Walkthrough – Case Study

The motivation and tools available for lighting analysis were explained previously with an introduction to the hdrscope software in Chapter 4. To utilize hdrscope to perform a lighting analysis, it may help to understand the usage through a scenario or a test case. Such a case study is illustrated in this chapter. The objective of this part of the thesis is not to perform an extensive lighting analysis of the studied building, or provide analysis results that have predictive power on the long term behavior of the building. Rather, they are performed to exemplify the usage of hdrscope. It may be noted that the time of the day and year are critical factors in determining the lighting performance of the space. More detailed analysis through the year and day would yield a more comprehensive evaluation of the space.

Figure 35 Scenes through the building for analysis

- Site: University of Washington, Molecular Engineering Building - Seattle
- Architects: ZGF Architects
- Location: 47.6° N, 122.3° W
- Purpose: Laboratories for Scientific Studies
- Lighting control: Manual blinds for Daylighting
- Orientation of points of interest: South-West to South-East (Figure 35)

5.1 Preparing for Analysis

The analysis stages within the Molecular Engineering building laboratories involved the following three processes:

- HDR Capture
- Image Post processing
- Statistical Analysis
- Interpreting results

5.1.1 HDR Capture

The capture process involved using a Canon EOS 5D digital SLR camera with a Sigma Fish eye lens capturing circular fish eye images. Several orientations and task spaces were captured and analyzed. The task space in Figure 36 was identified for this case study:

![Figure 36 Analysis Target Space]
The task surface is in close proximity to the façade of the building facing south-west. The images were taken on 29th May at 1:30PM. The camera was mounted on a tripod and several images were captured with blinds rolled up and rolled down. The captured images were with a fixed camera aperture setting of F5.6 and varying shutter speeds between 2 seconds and 1/8000 second. This was to ensure that the entire intensity range of light in the scene is captured so as to reproduce the best dynamic range possible in the HDR image. The images were assembled in Photosphere software using a predefined camera response function for Canon EOS 5D.

5.1.2 Image Post Processing

After assembling the captured images, the following post processing steps were performed to prepare the image for analysis:

- Vignetting correction for F5.6
- Image Crop
- Image Resize
- Image Masking

Vignetting Correction

As explained earlier, fish eye images require Vignetting correction to adjust for the light fall-off across the fish eye lens. The Sigma fish eye Vignetting correction mask was pre-calculated using empirical techniques for multiple aperture settings. For this case study, the F5.6 mask was used and applied from the Edit > Vignetting Correction > Use Maskfile menu item in hdrscope.

![Figure 37 Test Case: Vignetting Correction](image)
Image Crop
All irrelevant pixels that do not participate in the lighting analysis of the task space may be removed. The crop feature is used to remove extraneous pixels surrounding the circular fish eye region. It helps to enable guidelines to ensure that the circular fish eye is bound exactly by the selection rectangle tool and the cropped image is square.

![Figure 38 Test Case: Image Crop](image-crop-example.jpg)

Image Resize
Following cropping, the image is resized to a square image of resolution 1024x1024. This is done to ensure that further processing times are reduced during analysis while still maintaining adequate resolution in the image for accuracy.

![Figure 39 Test Case: Image Resizing](image-resize-example.jpg)
Image Masking

To eliminate the additional pixels surrounding the circular region of the fish eye image that remain after cropping, the image is masked using a predefined binary mask 1024x1024 size. The mask file is provided as a HDR image where black pixels are masked to 0.0 cd/m² and white pixels retain the source image pixels. The mask file is selected from Edit > Image Mask > Apply Maskfile option. The following is the fish eye mask file applied at this stage and the resulting image:

![Fish eye mask file applied](image)

Figure 40 Test Case: Image Fish eye Masking

5.1.3 Image Calibration

The masked HDR image is the basis for further analysis and corrections involving the luminance information in the scene. In order to calibrate the luminance values to achieve higher absolute accuracy of measured values, the luminance calibration wizard in hdrscope was used. In the test setup, a gray target (shown below) was placed on the task surface and HDR image was captured while simultaneously measuring the luminance value of a known gray target square using a luminance meter.
Using the luminance calibration wizard, the images were calibrated by specifying the gray square using the polygon selection tool.

5.2 Lighting Analysis

The calibrated image may now be used to perform statistical analysis of the scene under study. In order to perform the analysis, the following analysis methods are used along with the given criteria:

- Luminance values on the task surface (<2000 cd/m²)
- Luminance ratio between the task (computer screen) and the window (< 1:40)
- Luminance contrast on the paper task (white as the background, and black as the foreground (contrast >0.6)
- Glare analysis (Daylight Glare Probability < 25%)

Note that the analysis types and the criteria used here are chosen to demonstrate the use of hdrscope, and both the analysis type and the criteria could be varied depending on the space, functionality, user demographics etc. To begin with the analysis, the first goal (maintaining task surface luminance values within 2000 cd/m²) can be considered a starting point. For this design goal, the analysis wizard is called from Tools > Analysis and the “Single Region of Interest” analysis option is selected.
The polygon selection tool was used to select the desk surface (Figure 43).

Once a valid region has been found by the wizard, the criterion rating option may be enabled to further analyze the pixels that exceed the design goal. The criterion was set to “greater than 2000 cd/m²”. Once the wizard is setup, the results are displayed in the final page of the wizard:
From the histogram and statistical data in Fig. 44, it is clear that more than 50% pixels of the selected region are above the given criteria. The mean luminance value over the task surface is also over the given criteria (2336 cd/m²). The above process of image post-processing, calibration and analysis were repeated for the HDR image with the blinds rolled down. The results obtained were as follows:
Figure 45 Test Case: Image Luminance Analysis (Results – with blinds control)

With the blinds rolled down, the mean luminance drops down to 254 cd/m$^2$ and there are no pixels greater than the specified criteria. The example demonstrates that the blinds in the space were effective shading devices at this particular date and time.

Luminance ratios between a task and immediate background can be analyzed using the “Multiple Region Analysis” option in the analysis wizard. Two regions may be chosen – one with the computer screen as the foreground and the other with the windows as the background.

Figure 46 Test Case: Image Contrast Analysis (Selecting foreground and background)
The polygon selection tool can be used to choose to select the various regions. Once valid regions are selected the next page in the wizard provides options to enable contrast calculations.

![Analysis Wizard](image)

**Figure 47 Test Case: Image Contrast Analysis (Statistics options)**

The results for the contrast calculations are presented in the final page in the wizard. For this case study, the following results were observed: The ratio of the mean luminance values between the task and the window is calculated as 1:100.

![Statistical Results](image)

**Figure 48 Test Case: Image Ratio Analysis (Results - 1)**
For the contrast calculation, the foreground and background were chosen for the paper task on the target (Figure 50). The contrast value for the task above was calculated to be 0.90.

As a final analysis, the glare analysis for the space is performed under both blind positions. The glare analysis option from the analysis wizard was chosen from Tools > Analysis. No specific task area was specified and all other Evalglare options were left to the default values.
For the image with blinds not deployed, the DGP was calculated as 81% and for the image with blinds rolled down completely the DGP was calculated as 42%. The Daylight Glare Probability of 81% means that 81 people out of 100 would view that space as visually uncomfortable because of potential glare sources. Figure 52 illustrates the images that were generated to identify the glare sources.
Conclusions

6.1 Summary
This primary purpose of the thesis was to develop a software for architects and lighting professionals that provides a transition from the currently popular tools in their scripted format to a more user friendly and interactive graphical user interface. Hdrscope offers several key advantages over current lighting analysis tools. Not only is the software easy to use for novice users, it also provides several features such as flexible region of interest selection, automated image capture for HDR, interactive wizards and an integrated environment for lighting analysis. The aim of the software is to lower the entry barrier for professionals and adopt lighting analysis in early design, development stages as well as post occupancy analysis. The fundamental algorithms and programs running behind the scenes within hdrscope are software functions derived from Radiance and Evalglare. Through wider adoption, the expectation is that hdrscope would have a significant impact in enabling professionals to make informed decisions in terms of visual comfort and performance of daylit and electric lit spaces.

The chapters in this thesis work are organized in a way so as to inform the reader about the theory behind lighting simulations, the tools in use and detailed explanation of hdrscope. In Chapter 1, the science behind lighting simulations was elaborated through an introduction to lighting simulations and measurements techniques. Through a better understanding of the theory, the user can utilize software programs efficiently.

Chapter 2 introduced various lighting simulation and analysis tools such as Radiance, Photosphere, Evalglare and specialized software programs such as DIVA, Daysim, Matlab and etc. This chapter was an introductory section to explain the current state of the art of lighting simulations and analysis tools, and briefly discusses the advantages and drawbacks of these tools.

Chapter 3 introduces hdrscope – the main theme of this thesis work. This chapter may be considered as a detailed user manual on the supported features of hdrscope, the commands issued for each feature and the usage of various interactive tools.

Chapter 4 provides a step-by-step walkthrough of a sample case study. The case study was for post-occupancy evaluation of daylighting performance of a laboratory space. Using hdrscope, the key lighting metrics were calculated and using the available data from the various analysis tools in hdrscope, the designer can evaluate the performance of the building and its occupants.

6.2 Key Contributions
Hdrscope has several features that are novel and it also provides user friendly options for existing features in current lighting analysis tools. The following are some of the important contributions of the software:
- Provides an integrated and user friendly GUI, thereby enabling professionals to adopt lighting analysis without the need for learning commands and scripting. Hdrscone also obviates the need for running several tools and data interchange.

- Incorporates interactive tools such as selection tools, analysis wizards and standard user interfaces. Previously these were done through lengthy text based inputs which were cumbersome.

- Provides a front end to several common Radiance picture processing and calibration features

- Automates several repetitive tasks such as camera image capture for HDR assembly (for Canon Digital SLR cameras)

- Provides an easy way to visualize HDR images through Tonemapping and Falsecolor images.

6.3 Future Work

The following improvements to the software could further strengthen adoption:

- The software could benefit from more efficient and higher speed of operation. Currently Radiance binaries are directly invoked as threads. By directly incorporating Radiance functions within the code of hdrscope, there is scope for more optimization that would lead to faster performance.

- Support for more connected cameras such as Nikon, Point and shoot cameras, mirror-less cameras and portable smartphone cameras would enable users to utilize any low cost camera for HDR capture. Current support is for connected cameras that are normally used for professional or research purposes and hence are expensive.

- Future work would also involve working on user feedback such as feature requests and bug fixes that would ensure smoother operation of hdrscope.
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