

Ling 566
Jan. 23, 2006

How the Grammar Works

Overivew

- What we're trying to do
- The pieces of our grammar
- Two extended examples
- Reflection on what we've done, what we still have to do

What We're Trying To Do

- Objectives
 - Develop a theory of knowledge of language
 - Represent linguistic information explicitly enough to distinguish well-formed from ill-formed expressions
 - Be parsimonious, capturing linguistically significant generalizations.
- Why Formalize?
 - To formulate testable predictions
 - To check for consistency
 - To make it possible to get a computer to do it for us

How We Construct Sentences

- The Components of Our Grammar
 - Grammar rules
 - Lexical entries
 - Principles
 - Type hierarchy (very preliminary, so far)
 - Initial symbol (S, for now)
- We combine constraints from these components.

Q: *What says we have to combine them?*

A: The definition of well-formed structure

An Example

A cat slept.

- Can we build this with our tools?
- Given the constraints our grammar puts on well-formed sentences, is this one?

Lexical Entry for *a*

<i>word</i>	<i>a</i> ,																
SYN	<table><tr><td>HEAD</td><td><table><tr><td><i>det</i></td><td><i>3sing</i></td></tr><tr><td>AGR</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>COUNT</td><td>+</td></tr></table></td></tr><tr><td>VAL</td><td><table><tr><td>COMPS</td><td>$\langle \rangle$</td></tr><tr><td>SPR</td><td>$\langle \rangle$</td></tr><tr><td>MOD</td><td>$\langle \rangle$</td></tr></table></td></tr></table>	HEAD	<table><tr><td><i>det</i></td><td><i>3sing</i></td></tr><tr><td>AGR</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>COUNT</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	<i>det</i>	<i>3sing</i>	AGR		COUNT	+	VAL	<table><tr><td>COMPS</td><td>$\langle \rangle$</td></tr><tr><td>SPR</td><td>$\langle \rangle$</td></tr><tr><td>MOD</td><td>$\langle \rangle$</td></tr></table>	COMPS	$\langle \rangle$	SPR	$\langle \rangle$	MOD	$\langle \rangle$
HEAD	<table><tr><td><i>det</i></td><td><i>3sing</i></td></tr><tr><td>AGR</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>COUNT</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	<i>det</i>	<i>3sing</i>	AGR		COUNT	+										
<i>det</i>	<i>3sing</i>																
AGR																	
COUNT	+																
VAL	<table><tr><td>COMPS</td><td>$\langle \rangle$</td></tr><tr><td>SPR</td><td>$\langle \rangle$</td></tr><tr><td>MOD</td><td>$\langle \rangle$</td></tr></table>	COMPS	$\langle \rangle$	SPR	$\langle \rangle$	MOD	$\langle \rangle$										
COMPS	$\langle \rangle$																
SPR	$\langle \rangle$																
MOD	$\langle \rangle$																
SEM	<table><tr><td>MODE</td><td>none</td></tr><tr><td>INDEX</td><td><i>j</i></td></tr><tr><td>RESTR</td><td>$\langle \begin{matrix} \text{RELN} & \text{a} \\ \text{BV} & j \end{matrix} \rangle$</td></tr></table>	MODE	none	INDEX	<i>j</i>	RESTR	$\langle \begin{matrix} \text{RELN} & \text{a} \\ \text{BV} & j \end{matrix} \rangle$										
MODE	none																
INDEX	<i>j</i>																
RESTR	$\langle \begin{matrix} \text{RELN} & \text{a} \\ \text{BV} & j \end{matrix} \rangle$																


- Is this a fully specified description?
- What features are unspecified?
- How many word structures can this entry license?

Lexical Entry for *cat*


<i>word</i>	<i>noun</i>
HEAD	AGR [<i>3sing</i> GEND neut]
SYN	SPR D [COUNT +] [INDEX <i>k</i>]
VAL	COMPS ⟨ ⟩ MOD ⟨ ⟩
SEM	MODE ref INDEX <i>k</i> RESTR ⟨ [RELN cat] [INSTANCE <i>k</i>] ⟩

- Which feature paths are abbreviated?
- Is this a fully specified description?
- What features are unspecified?
- How many word structures can this entry license?


Effect of Principles: the SHAC




Description of Word Structures for *cat*




Description of Word Structures for *a*




Building a Phrase




Constraints Contributed by Daughter Subtrees




Constraints Contributed by the Grammar Rule




A Constraint Involving the SHAC




Effects of the Valence Principle




Effects of the Head Feature Principle




Effects of the Semantic Inheritance Principle




Effects of the Semantic Compositionality Principle





Is the Mother Node Now Completely Specified?



Lexical Entry for *slept*



Another Head-Specifier Phrase



Is this description fully specified?

<i>phrase</i>	
SYN	HEAD [11] VAL [SPR < >] [COMPS [12] [MOD [13]]
SEM	MODE [10] prop INDEX <i>s₁</i> RESTR [A ⊕ B ⊕ C]

<i>phrase</i>	
SYN	HEAD [6] [noun AGR [3sing GEND neut] CASE nom VAL [SPR < >] [COMPS [3< >] [MOD [4< >]
SEM	MODE [8ref INDEX <i>k</i> RESTR [A ⊕ B]

<i>word</i>	
SYN	HEAD [11] [verb AGR [9] VAL [SPR < [14]NP_k [AGR [9], CASE nom] >] [COMPS [12]< >] [MOD [13]< >]
SEM	MODE [10] prop INDEX <i>s₁</i> RESTR C [RELN sleep [SIT s₁] , ... [SLEEPER k]

Does the top node satisfy the initial symbol?


<i>phrase</i>	HEAD 11
SYN	SPR ⟨ ⟩ COMPS 12 MOD 13
SEM	MODE 10 prop INDEX s_1 RESTR A \oplus B \oplus C

<i>phrase</i>	HEAD 6 <i>noun</i>
SYN	AGR $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 3sing \\ GEND \ neut \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ CASE nom
VAL	SPR ⟨ ⟩ COMPS 3 ⟨ ⟩ MOD 4 ⟨ ⟩
SEM	MODE 8 ref INDEX k RESTR A \oplus B
<i>word</i>	HEAD 11 <i>verb</i> AGR 9
SYN	SPR ⟨ 14 NP _k [AGR 9 , CASE nom] ⟩ COMPS 12 ⟨ ⟩ MOD 13 ⟨ ⟩
VAL	MODE 10 prop INDEX s_1 RESTR C ⟨ <small>RELN sleep SIT s_1 SLEEPER k</small> ⟩, … ⟩


RESTR of the S node

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \text{RELN} & a \\ \text{BV} & k \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \text{RELN} & \text{cat} \\ \text{INST} & k \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \text{RELN} & \text{sleep} \\ \text{SIT} & s_1 \\ \text{SLEEPER} & k \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right\rangle$$


Another Example




Head Features from Lexical Entries




Head Features from Lexical Entries, plus HFP




Valence Features: Lexicon, Rules, and the Valence Principle




Required Identities: Grammar Rules




Two Semantic Features: the Lexicon & SIP



RESTR Values and the SCP




An Ungrammatical Example



What's wrong with this sentence?


An Ungrammatical Example



What's wrong with this sentence?


An Ungrammatical Example

The Valence Principle



An Ungrammatical Example

Head Specifier Rule



Exercise in Critical Thinking

- Our grammar has come a long way since Ch 2, as we've added ways of representing different kinds of information:
 - generalizations across categories
 - semantics
 - particular linguistic phenomena: valence, agreement, modification
- What else might we add? What facts about language are as yet unrepresented in our model?

Overivew

- What we're trying to do
- The pieces of our grammar
- Two extended examples
- Reflection on what we've done, what we still have to do
- Next time: Review (or Ch 7?)