Grammar and Processing
Passive Construction
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What does grammar have to do with psychology?

Three ways it could be relevant:

- It provides insight into how children acquire language.
- It provides insight into how speakers produce utterances.
- It provides insight into how listeners understand utterances.
Our model: Key characteristics

- Surface-oriented
- Constraint-based
- Lexicalist
Chomsky’s position:

- Grammar represents knowledge of language (“competence”).
- This is distinct from use of language (“performance”).
- We can draw a strong conclusion about language acquisition, namely, most grammatical knowledge is innate and task-specific.
- Serious study of language use (production and comprehension) depends on having a well-developed theory of competence.
Brief remarks on language acquisition

- Chomsky’s nativism is very controversial
  - It is based on the “poverty of the stimulus” argument, and a model of learning as hypothesis testing.
  - The environment may be more informative than he assumes.
  - There may be more powerful learning methods than he assumes.
- There has not been much work on language acquisition using constraint-based lexicalist theories like ours; but
  - Explicit formulation is a prerequisite for testing learning models
  - Our feature structures could model richer context information.
- We’re neutral with respect to this controversy.
Disfluencies are sensitive to structure:

Repeat rate of *the* varies with position and complexity of the NP it introduces:

![Bar chart showing repeat rate of *the* in different positions and complexity of the NP it introduces.](chart.png)
Production errors are sensitive to syntactic structure

Agreement errors are more common with PP complements than sentential complements: errors like (2) are significantly more common than errors like (1).

(1) *The claim that the wolves had raised the babies were rejected.

vs.

(2) *The claim about the newborn babies were rejected.
Some high-level sentence planning is necessary, too

- *Ich habe dem Mann, den ich gesehen habe geholfen.*
  I have the-dat man who-acc I seen have helped
  “I helped the man I saw”

- *Ich habe den Mann, dem ich geholfen habe gesehen.*
  I have the-acc man who-dat I helped have seen.
  “I saw the man I helped”

- The choice between *dem* and *den* depends on the choice of verbs several words later.
A production model should allow interaction of top-down and left-to-right information

- Grammar plays a role in production.
- Partial grammatical information should be accessible by the production mechanism as needed.
- This argues against grammatical theories that involve sequential derivations with fixed ordering.
- Our theory of grammar has the requisite flexibility.
Comprehension

- Early work tried to use transformational grammar in modeling comprehension

- The Derivational Theory of Complexity: The psychological complexity of a sentence increases with the number of transformations involved in its derivation.

- Initial results seemed promising, but later work falsified the DTC.
Some relevant quotes

- “The results show a remarkable correlation of amount of memory and number of transformations”
  – Chomsky, 1968

- “[I]nvestigations of DTC…have generally proved equivocal. This argues against the occurrence of grammatical derivations in the computations involved in sentence recognition”
  – Fodor, Bever, & Garrett, 1974
Another quote

• “Experimental investigations of the psychological reality of linguistic structural descriptions have...proved quite successful.” – Fodor, Bever, & Garrett, 1974

• In particular, they concluded that “deep structures” and “surface structures” were psychologically real, but the transformations relating them weren’t.
Early Evidence for the Psychological Reality of Deep Structures

• The proposed DS for (2) had three occurrences of the detective, while the proposed DS for (1) had only two:

  (1) The governor asked the detective to prevent drinking.
  (2) The governor asked the detective to cease drinking.

• In a recall experiment, detective was significantly more effective in prompting people to remember (2) than (1)
Typical Problem Cases for the DTC

(1) *Pat swam faster than Chris swam.*
(2) *Pat swam faster than Chris did.*
(3) *Pat swam faster than Chris.*

- The DTC predicts that (1) should be less complex than (2) or (3), because (2) and (3) involve an extra deletion transformation.

- In fact, subjects responded more slowly to (1) than to either (2) or (3).
What should a psychologically real theory of grammar be like?

- The “deep structure” distinctions that are not evident on the surface should be represented.
- The transformational operations relating deep and surface structures should not be part of the theory.
- Our information-rich trees include all of the essential information in the traditional deep structures, but without the transformations.
Jerry Fodor claims the human mind is “modular”

“A module is…an informationally encapsulated computational system -- an inference-making mechanism whose access to background information is constrained by general features of cognitive architecture.”

-- Fodor, 1985

A central issue in psycholinguistics over the past 20 years has been whether language is processed in a modular fashion.
Tanenhaus’s Eye-Tracking Experiments

- Participants wear a device on their heads that makes a videotape showing exactly what they’re looking at.
- They listen to spoken instructions and carry out various tasks.
- They eye-tracking provides evidence of the cognitive activity of participants that can be correlated with the linguistic input.
Non-linguistic visual information affects lexical access

- Participants’ gaze settled on a referent before the word was completed, unless the initial syllable of the word was consistent with more than one object.

- For example, participants’ gaze rested on the pencil after hearing

  *Pick up the pencil*

  more slowly when both a pencil and a penny were present.
Non-linguistic visual information affects syntactic processing

• Eye movements showed that people hearing (1) often temporarily misinterpreted *on the towel* as the destination.

  (1) *Put the apple on the towel in the box.*

• When *on the towel* helped them choose between two apples, such misparses were significantly less frequent than when there was only one apple.
General Conclusion of Eye-Tracking Studies

- People use whatever information is available as soon as it is useful in interpreting utterances.
- This argues against Fodorian modularity.
- It argues for a model of language in which information is represented in a uniform, order-independent fashion.
Speakers know a great deal about individual words

- Individual lexical items have many idiosyncrasies in where they can occur, and in where they tend to occur.

- For example, the verb *behoove* occurs only with the subject *it* (and only in certain verb forms), and the verb *beware* has only the base form.

- We also know that the transitive use of *walk* is much rarer than the intransitive.
V-NP-NP vs. V-NP-PP Frequency in the *NYT*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tell</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>give</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>show</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hand</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fax</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bring</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>send</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sell</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lexical biases influence processing

- Wasow et al ran a production experiment to test whether ambiguity avoidance would influence speakers’ choice between (1) and (2):
  (1) *They gave Grant’s letters to Lincoln to a museum.*
  (2) *They gave a museum Grant’s letters to Lincoln.*

- Lexical bias of the verbs turned out to be a significant predictor of which form speakers used (and ambiguity avoidance turned out not to be).
Experimental Method

1. Speaker silently reads a sentence:

A museum in Philadelphia received Grant's letters to Lincoln from the foundation.
Experimental Method, continued

2. The sentence disappears from the screen.
   The listener reads the next question from a list.
3. The speaker answers the listener’s question.

   The listener chooses the correct response on a list (from two choices).
Experimental Results on Local Ambiguity

No potential local ambiguity

Potential local ambiguity

V-NP-PP bias
V-NP-NP bias
A psychologically real grammar should be lexicalist

- Early generative grammars downplayed the lexicon.

- Now, however, the importance of the lexicon is widely recognized.

- This aspect of grammar has been developed in greater detail in our theory than in any other.

- It would be easy to add frequency information to our lexicon, though there is debate over the wisdom of doing so.
Conclusion

- Grammatical theory should inform and be informed by psycholinguistic experimentation.

- This has happened less than it should have.

- Existing psycholinguistic evidence favors a constraint-based, lexicalist approach (like ours).
Universals?

- **P&P (top-down):** attempts to related multiple typological properties to single parameters.

- **Grammar Matrix (bottom-up):** attempts to describe many languages in a consistent framework and then takes stock of common constraints.
The Passive in Transformational Grammar

• Passive was the paradigmatic transformation in early TG.

• Motivations
  • Near paraphrase of active/passive pairs.
  • Simplified statement of cooccurrence restrictions.
    • E.g. *devour* must be followed by an NP, *put* by NP-PP
    • Such restrictions refer to pre-transformational (“deep”) structure.
  • Intuition that active forms were more basic, in some sense.

• Its formulation was complex:
  • Promote object
  • Demote subject, inserting *by*
  • Insert appropriate form of *be*, changing main verb to a participle.
But transforming whole sentences is overkill

- Passive sentences look an awful lot like some actives:
  
  \[ \text{The cat was chased by the dog} \]
  
  vs

  \[ \text{The cat was lying by the door} \]

- Passives occur without \textit{be} and without the \textit{by} phrase:

  \[ \text{Cats chased by dogs usually get away.} \]

  \[ \text{My cat was attacked.} \]
So a lexical analysis seems called for

- What really changes are the verb’s form and its cooccurrence restrictions (that is, its valence).
- There are lexical exceptions
  - Negative:
    
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    & Pat resembles Bo \quad \text{but} \quad *Bo \text{ is resembled by Pat} \\
    & That look suits you \quad \text{but} \quad *You \text{ are suited by that look}
    \end{align*}
    \]
  - Positive
    
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    & Chris \text{ is rumored to be a spy} \quad \text{but} \\
    & *They \text{ rumor Chris to be a spy}
    \end{align*}
    \]
We posit a lexical rule

• Why not just list passive participles individually?
  • To avoid redundancy
  • To capture productivity (for example?)

• We make it a derivational (lexeme-to-lexeme) rule. Why?
  • Our constraints on lexeme-to-word rules wouldn’t allow us to make Passive one.
  • In some languages, further inflections can be added to passive verb forms.
The Passive Lexical Rule

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
d\text{-rule} \\
\text{INPUT} \quad \langle [1], \left[ tv-lxm \right. \text{ARG-ST} \quad \langle [\text{INDEX } i] \rangle \oplus [A] \rangle \rangle \\
\text{OUTPUT} \quad \langle F_{PSP}(1), \left[ \text{part-lxm SYN} \right. \text{[HEAD [FORM pass]]} \rangle \\
\text{ARG-ST} \quad A \oplus \langle \left( \left[ \text{PP FORM by] \right. \right. \text{INDEX } i \right. \rangle \rangle \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Questions About the Passive Rule

- Why is the morphological function $F_{PSP}$?
- Why do we have a separate FORM value pass? Why not say the output is $[\text{FORM psp}]$?
- What kind of a PP is the $by$-phrase (that is, argument-marking or predicational)?
More Questions

- What makes the object turn into the subject?
- Why is the type of the input tv-lxm?
- What would happen if it were just verb-lxm?
Intransitives have passives in German

*In der Küche wird nicht getanzt.*
in the kitchen is not danced
‘There is no dancing in the kitchen.’

NB: The exact analysis for such examples is debatable, but German, like many other languages, allows passives of intransitives, as would be allowed by our analysis if the input type in the Passive LR is *verb-lxm.*
The \textit{be} that Occurs with Most Passives

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \text{be} , \text{be-lxm} \rangle &\quad \langle \text{ARG-ST} \rangle \\
&\quad \langle 1 , \text{SYN} \rangle \\
&\quad \langle \text{HEAD} \rangle \\
&\quad \langle \text{verb} \rangle \\
&\quad \langle \text{FORM} \text{ pass} \rangle \\
&\quad \langle \text{SPR} \langle 1 \rangle \rangle \\
&\quad \langle \text{COMPS} \langle \rangle \rangle \\
&\quad \langle \text{SEM} \langle \text{INDEX s} \rangle \rangle \\
&\quad \langle \text{SEM} \langle \text{RESTR} \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle
\end{align*}
\]
Questions About the Entry for *be*

- Why doesn’t it include valence features?
- What is the category of its complement (i.e. its 2nd argument)?
- What is its contribution to the semantics of the sentences it appears in?
- Why is the first argument tagged as identical to the second argument’s SPR value?
Kim is loved by everyone
More Questions

• Why do we get

  *They are noticed by everyone*

  and not

  *Them are noticed by everyone?*

• Why don’t we get

  *They is noticed by everyone?*

• What would facts like these entail for a transformational analysis?
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