Grammar files, PRED values, clause types, illocutionary force

Ling 567 February 8, 2010

Overview

- Grammar files, instances v. types
- PRED values
- Tdl style
- Illocutionary force
- Embedded clauses
- Lab 6 overview

Grammar files

- matrix.tdl, head-types.tdl: Type files (core grammar)
- your_language.tdl: Type file (language specific)
- rules.tdl: Instance file for phrase structure rules
- irules.tdl: Instance file for spelling changing lexical rules
- Irules.tdl: Instance file for non-spelling changing lexical rules
- lexicon.tdl: Instance file for lexical entries
- roots.tdl: Instance file for root condition(s)
- labels.tdl: Instance file for node labels
- lkb/: Directory of lisp files for lkb interaction
- your_langauge-pet.tdl: Grammar spec file for compilation with 'flop'
- pet/: Directory of files for pet interaction

Types v. instances

- Types define the feature geometry, possibilities for unification, and constraints inherited by instances.
- Instances are what the LKB actually uses to parse and generate.
- Types can have multiple supertypes.
- Instances can only inherit from one type.
- Types and instances exist in separate name spaces.

Features and types

- Features can only be "declared" for one type. Any type mentioning that feature must inherit from the declaring supertype.
- Features can only be "declared" at the outermost level.

```
• Good: type1 := supertype &
        [ FEATURE BOOL ].

type2 := type1 &
        [ FEATURE + ].

type2 := supertype &
        [ FEATURE + ].
```

type3 := type1 &

[PATH.NEW-FEAT +].

PRED values

- For the MT exercise, we need to coordinate on pred values.
- Convention is _English-lemma_pos_rel, where pos is drawn from {n, v, q, a, p}
- Grammar types don't have leading underscore: exist_q_rel
- Featural information isn't replicated in PRED values: *_went_v_rel, *_the_q_rel

Tdl style: Bad

Tdl style: Good

Illocutionary force: Why clausal semantics?

- Illocutionary force correlates with syntactic form.
- MRS representations should include all semantic information that is syntactically marked.

Aside: Perlocutionary, Locutionary, Illocutionary

- Locutionary act: The act of saying something
- Illocutionary act: The act of asking, asserting, commanding, etc. by saying something
- Perlocutionary act: The act of getting someone to do or believe something by asking, asserting, etc. something.

What's a clause?

- Syntactically complete
- Expresses some illocutionary force
- Contrasts with fragments, some of which can also carry illocutionary force
- Marking of illocutionary force is often associated with either the clause as a whole or with its head verb
- Clauses can be matrix or embedded
- Embedded clauses can be modifiers or arguments
- Embedded clauses can carry illocutionary force, too

Our general strategy

- Represent illocutionary force with a feature of events called 'SF'.
- Possible values of SF: command, prop-or-ques, proposition, question
- For Matrix clauses, non-branching rules at the top of the tree set SF depending on syntactic features. OR: Subject attaching rules constrain SF.
- For embedded clauses, elements higher up the tree (complementizers, selecting verbs) or unary constructions constrain SF.

Marking of embedded clauses

- Just like matrix clauses
- Special verbal inflection
- Complementizers
- Different word order
- ... others?

• The feature [MC bool] can be helpful here

Lab 6

- Check that matrix polar questions are working, and debug as necessary
- Add sentential complement verbs
- Get sentences with NP, PP, and AP predicates working
- Make sure MRSs are correct, and debug as necessary
- Make sure your grammar can generate (as well as parse), and debug as necessary

Lab 6 reminders

- I will be traveling from early Friday morning; it is more important than ever to get started early this week.
- Your write up should illustrate each analysis with IGT examples that parse with the grammar you turn in.
- You should test your grammar both with individual sentences one at a time in the LKB and with [incr tsdb()] processing of the whole test suite.
- Use [incr tsdb()] to see which examples are ambiguous according to the grammar, and check to see if the ambiguity is justified.
- Incremental development: If you have lots of similar items to enter, get one working first, then enter the rest.

Overview

- Grammar files, instances v. types
- PRED values
- Tdl style
- Illocutionary force
- Embedded clauses
- Lab 6 overview