## MRS

Ling 567 February 3, 2025

### Overview

- MRS
  - Goals, design principles
  - Flat semantics
  - Underspecified quantifier scope
  - Linguistic questions
  - MRS in feature structures
- Lab 5 preview

### We've arrived at MRS!

- Flat structure
- Underspecification & partial specification of quantifier scope are possible

## Linguistic Questions

- How do we build MRS representations compositionally?
- Is it linguistically adequate to insist that no process suppress relations?
- Under what circumstances do NLs (partially) constrain scope?
- Is it linguistically adequate to give scopal elements (esp. quantifiers, but also scopal modifiers) center-stage?

#### MRS in feature structures

- RELS: List (append-list) of relations
- HCONS: List (append-list) of handle constraints
- ICONS: List (append-list) of individual constraints
- HOOK: Collection of features 'published' for further composition: INDEX, LTOP, XARG
- ARGn: Roles within relations

## Quick comparison to 566

- SWB RESTR = Matrix RELS
- SWB INDEX = Matrix HOOK.INDEX
- New here:
  - HCONS, ICONS
  - HOOK.LTOP, HOOK.XARG
  - C-CONT

## Anatomy of an MRS

- An MRS consists of:
  - A top handle
  - A list of relations, each labeled by a handle
  - A list of handle constraints
  - (A list of individual constraints)
  - An (underspecified) MRS is well-formed iff the constraints can be resolved to form one or more trees (singly-rooted, connected, directed acyclic graphs).

## Anatomy of a relation

- A relation has:
  - A predicate (string or type)
  - A label (handle)
  - One or more arguments:
     ARG0-n (ARG0 canonically being the event or individual introduced by the relation)

- The value of each ARGn is either:
  - An index, canonically identified with the ARG0 of another relation
  - A handle: identified with the label of another relation, the HARG of a handle constraint, or not identified with anything

## Anatomy of a handle constraint

- Current sole handle constraint type: qeq
- 'Equal modulo quantifiers'
- Features: HARG, LARG
- → Unless some quantifier scopes in between, the value of this ARGn is the same as the label of that relation.
- When the label of a relation is the value of an ARGn, this corresponds to a branch in an MRS tree.
- When the value of an ARGn is qeq the label of a relation, this corresponds to a 'dotted' branch i.e., a dominance relation.

#### When else are handles identified?

- Relations with the same handle value share the same scope.
- Typically, we see this with non-scopal modifiers (adverbs, adjectives, PPs) which share their handles with their modifiees.

# Composition: Overview

- RELS and HCONS (and ICONS) on mother nodes
- HOOK, LKEYS
- ARGn <> indices
- ARGn <> handles
- LBL <> LBL
- Building qeqs

#### RELS and HCONS on mother nodes

- The RELS and HCONS (and ICONS) value of the mother is the append of the values from the daughter(s) and the C-CONT of the mother.
- C-CONT is the 'constructional content': allows phrase structure rules to introduce relations.
- Examples?
- From a semantic point of view, the C-CONT is just another daughter.

### Semantic compositionality in action

```
basic-unary-phrase := phrase &
  [ STEM #stem,
    SYNSEM [ L-PERIPH #lperiph,
        R-PERIPH #rperiph,
        LOCAL [ CAT.MKG #mkg,
                CONT [ RELS.APPEND < #r1, #r2 >,
                       HCONS.APPEND < #h1, #h2 >,
                       ICONS.APPEND < #i1, #i2 > ] ],
    C-CONT [ RELS #r1,
             HCONS #h1,
             ICONS #i1 ],
    ARGS < sign & [ STEM #stem,
           SYNSEM [ L-PERIPH #lperiph,
                     R-PERIPH #rperiph,
                     LOCAL local &
                           [ CAT.MKG #mkg,
                             CONT [ RELS #r2,
                                    HCONS #h2,
                                    ICONS #i2 ] ] ] > 1.
```

#### Now what?

- Phrase structure rules (and lexical rules) gather up RELS and HCONS from daughters.
- Phrase structure rules also (optionally) introduce further RELS and HCONS.
- How do we link the ARGn positions of the relations to the right things?
- How do we link the HARG/LARG of qeqs to the right things?

#### HOOK

- The CONT.HOOK is the information that a given sign exposes for further composition.
- By hypothesis, this includes only:
  - INDEX (the individual or event denoted by the sign, linked to some ARG0)
  - LTOP (the local top handle of the sign)
  - XARG (the external argument of the sign)

- The HOOK of a sign is identified its with the C-CONT.HOOK.
- The C-CONT.HOOK in turn is identified with the semantic head daughter, if there is one.
- Otherwise, the LTOP, INDEX, and XARG inside C-CONT.HOOK need to be constrained appropriately.

#### **LKEYS**

- The feature LKEYS houses pointers to important relations on the RELS list, most notably LKEYS.KEYREL.
- Only appropriate for lexical items.
- Serves as a uniform place to state linking constraints.
- Linking constraints: equality between HOOK.INDEX or HOOK.LTOP of arguments/modifiees and LKEYS.KEYREL.ARGn.

#### ARGn <> indices

```
intransitive-lex-item := basic-one-arg-no-hcons &
  [ ARG-ST < [ LOCAL.CONT.HOOK.INDEX ref-ind &
                                       #ind ] >,
    SYNSEM.LKEYS.KEYREL.ARG1 #ind ].
intersective-mod-lex := no-hcons-lex-item &
  [ SYNSEM [ LOCAL.CAT.HEAD.MOD
                    < [ ...INDEX #ind ]] >,
             LKEYS.KEYREL.ARG1 #ind ] ].
```

## ARGn <> handles (1/2)

## ARGn <> handles (2/2)

```
basic-determiner-lex := norm-hook-lex-item &
  [ SYNSEM [ LOCAL
     [ CAT [ HEAD det,
             VAL..HOOK [ INDEX #ind,
                          LTOP #larg ]],
       CONT [ HCONS <! geq &
                      [ HARG #harg,
                        LARG #larg ] !>,
              RELS <! relation !> ] ],
       LKEYS.KEYREL quant-relation &
                     [ ARG0 #ind,
                      RSTR #harg ] ].
```

#### LBL <> LBL

```
isect-mod-phrase :=
  head-mod-phrase-simple &
  head-compositional &
  [ HEAD-DTR.SYNSEM.LOCAL.CONT.HOOK.LTOP #hand ],
    NON-HEAD-DTR.SYNSEM.LOCAL.CONT.HOOK.LTOP #hand
```

- The rule for non-scopal modifiers identifies the LTOP of the two daughters, and thus the LBL of the main relation introduced by each.
- The HOOK value of the whole thing comes from the syntactic head, thanks to the type head-compositional.

## Scopal modifiers (1/2)

- No identification of LTOPs.
- Non-head (adjunct) daughter is the semantic head.

## Scopal modifiers (2/2)

```
scopal-mod-lex := lex-item &
  [ SYNSEM [ LOCAL [
     CAT.HEAD.MOD < [ LOCAL scopal-mod &
                        [ ..LTOP #larg ]] >,
     CONT.HCONS <! qeq &
                    [ HARG #harg,
                     LARG #larg ] !> ],
     LKEYS.KEYREL.ARG1 #harg ]].
```

Builds qeq between its ARG1 and the MOD's LTOP

# Building qeqs

- Determiners
- Scopal adverbs
- Clausal complement verbs (and nouns, adjectives, adpositions...)

## Summary

- Phrase structure and lexical rules:
  - ... gather up RELS and HCONS (and ICONS)
  - ... potentially add further RELS and HCONS
  - ... unify elements on valence/ mod lists with signs

- ... pass up and/or modify HOOK information
- Lexical entries:
  - ... orchestrate the linking between valence/mod lists and the ARGn positions in the relations they contribute
  - ... expose certain information in the HOOK

# Composition: Overview

- RELS and HCONS (and ICONS) on mother nodes
- HOOK, LKEYS
- ARGn <> indices
- ARGn <> handles
- LBL <> LBL
- Building qeqs

### Overview

- MRS
  - Goals, design principles
  - Flat semantics
  - Underspecified quantifier scope
  - Linguistic questions
  - MRS in feature structures
- Lab 5 preview