CKY Parsing

Ling571 Deep Processing Approaches to NLP January 12, 2015

Roadmap

- Motivation:
 - Inefficiencies of parsing-as-search
- Strategy: Dynamic Programming
- Chomsky Normal Form
 - Weak and strong equivalence
- CKY parsing algorithm

Top-down parsing (DFS)



Bottom-Up Search



Parsing Challenges

- Ambiguity
- Repeated substructure

• Recursion

Parsing Ambiguity

- Many sources of parse ambiguity
 - Lexical ambiguity
 - Book/N; Book/V

Parsing Ambiguity

- Many sources of parse ambiguity
 - Lexical ambiguity
 - Book/N; Book/V
 - Structural ambiguity: Main types:
 - Attachment ambiguity
 - Constituent can attach in multiple places
 - I shot an elephant in my pyjamas.

Parsing Ambiguity

- Many sources of parse ambiguity
 - Lexical ambiguity
 - Book/N; Book/V
 - Structural ambiguity: Main types:
 - Attachment ambiguity
 - Constituent can attach in multiple places
 - I shot an elephant in my pyjamas.
 - Coordination ambiguity
 - Different constituents can be conjoined
 - Old men and women

Ambiguity



Speech and Language Processing -

- Global ambiguity:
 - Multiple complete alternative parses
 - Need strategy to select correct one
 - Approaches exploit other information

- Global ambiguity:
 - Multiple complete alternative parses
 - Need strategy to select correct one
 - Approaches exploit other information
 - Statistical
 - Some prepositional structs more likely to attach high/low
 - Some phrases more likely, e.g., (old (men and women))

- Global ambiguity:
 - Multiple complete alternative parses
 - Need strategy to select correct one
 - Approaches exploit other information
 - Statistical
 - Some prepositional structs more likely to attach high/low
 - Some phrases more likely, e.g., (old (men and women))
 - Semantic

- Global ambiguity:
 - Multiple complete alternative parses
 - Need strategy to select correct one
 - Approaches exploit other information
 - Statistical
 - Some prepositional structs more likely to attach high/low
 - Some phrases more likely, e.g., (old (men and women))
 - Semantic
 - Pragmatic
 - E.g., elephants and pyjamas
 - Alternatively, keep all

- Global ambiguity:
 - Multiple complete alternative parses
 - Need strategy to select correct one
 - Approaches exploit other information
 - Statistical
 - Some prepositional structs more likely to attach high/low
 - Some phrases more likely, e.g., (old (men and women))
 - Semantic
 - Pragmatic
 - E.g., elephants and pyjamas
 - Alternatively, keep all
- Local ambiguity:
 - Ambiguity in subtree, resolved globally

Repeated Work

- Top-down and bottom-up parsing both lead to repeated substructures
 - Globally bad parses can construct good subtrees
 - But overall parse will fail
 - Require reconstruction on other branch
 - No static backtracking strategy can avoid

Repeated Work

- Top-down and bottom-up parsing both lead to repeated substructures
 - Globally bad parses can construct good subtrees
 - But overall parse will fail
 - Require reconstruction on other branch
 - No static backtracking strategy can avoid
- Efficient parsing techniques require storage of shared substructure
 - Typically with dynamic programming

Repeated Work

- Top-down and bottom-up parsing both lead to repeated substructures
 - Globally bad parses can construct good subtrees
 - But overall parse will fail
 - Require reconstruction on other branch
 - No static backtracking strategy can avoid
- Efficient parsing techniques require storage of shared substructure
 - Typically with dynamic programming
- Example: a flight from Indianapolis to Houston on TWA



Speech and Language Processing -

1/10/15



Shared Sub-Problems



Shared Sub-Problems



Recursion

- Many grammars have recursive rules
 - E.g., $S \rightarrow S$ Conj S
- In search approaches, recursion is problematic
 - Can yield infinite searches
 - Esp., top-down

Dynamic Programming

Challenge: Repeated substructure → Repeated work

Dynamic Programming

- Challenge: Repeated substructure → Repeated work
- Insight:
 - Global parse composed of parse substructures
 - Can record parses of substructures

Dynamic Programming

- Challenge: Repeated substructure → Repeated work
- Insight:
 - Global parse composed of parse substructures
 - Can record parses of substructures
- Dynamic programming avoids repeated work by tabulating solutions to subproblems
 - Here, stores subtrees

Parsing w/Dynamic Programming

- Avoids repeated work
- Allows implementation of (relatively) efficient parsing algorithms
 - Polynomial time in input length
 - Typically cubic (n^3) or less

Parsing w/Dynamic Programming

- Avoids repeated work
- Allows implementation of (relatively) efficient parsing algorithms
 - Polynomial time in input length
 - Typically cubic (n^3) or less
- Several different implementations
 - Cocke-Kasami-Younger (CKY) algorithm
 - Earley algorithm
 - Chart parsing

Chomsky Normal Form (CNF)

- CKY parsing requires grammars in CNF
- Chomsky Normal Form
 - All productions of the form:
 - $A \rightarrow B C$, or
 - A → a

Chomsky Normal Form (CNF)

- CKY parsing requires grammars in CNF
- Chomsky Normal Form
 - All productions of the form:
 - $A \rightarrow B C$, or
 - A → a
- However, most of our grammars are not of this form
 - E.g., $S \rightarrow Wh-NP Aux NP VP$

Chomsky Normal Form (CNF)

- CKY parsing requires grammars in CNF
- Chomsky Normal Form
 - All productions of the form:
 - $A \rightarrow B C$, or
 - A → a
- However, most of our grammars are not of this form
 - E.g., S \rightarrow Wh-NP Aux NP VP
- Need a general conversion procedure
 - Any arbitrary grammar can be converted to CNF

• Three main conditions:

- Three main conditions:
 - Hybrid rules:
 - INF-VP \rightarrow to VP

- Three main conditions:
 - Hybrid rules:
 - INF-VP \rightarrow to VP
 - Unit productions:



- Three main conditions:
 - Hybrid rules:
 - INF-VP → to VP
 - Unit productions:
 - $A \rightarrow B$
 - Long productions:
 - $A \rightarrow B C D$

- Hybrid rule conversion:
 - Replace all terminals with dummy non-terminals
 - E.g., INF-VP → to VP

- Hybrid rule conversion:
 - Replace all terminals with dummy non-terminals
 - E.g., INF-VP \rightarrow to VP
 - INF-VP \rightarrow TO VP; TO \rightarrow to
- Hybrid rule conversion:
 - Replace all terminals with dummy non-terminals
 - E.g., INF-VP → to VP
 - INF-VP \rightarrow TO VP; TO \rightarrow to
- Unit productions:
 - Rewrite RHS with RHS of all derivable non-unit productions
 - If $A \Rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow w$, then add $A \rightarrow w$

- Long productions:
 - Introduce new non-terminals and spread over rules
 - S \rightarrow Aux NP VP

- Long productions:
 - Introduce new non-terminals and spread over rules
 - S \rightarrow Aux NP VP
 - $S \rightarrow X1 VP; X1 \rightarrow Aux NP$

- Long productions:
 - Introduce new non-terminals and spread over rules
 - S \rightarrow Aux NP VP
 - $S \rightarrow X1 VP; X1 \rightarrow Aux NP$
- For all non-conforming rules,
 - Convert terminals to dummy non-terminals
 - Convert unit productions
 - Binarize all resulting rules

\mathscr{L}_1 in CNF

 $S \rightarrow VP$

 $NP \rightarrow Pronoun$ $NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$ $NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$ $Nominal \rightarrow Noun$ $Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun$ $Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$

 $VP \rightarrow Verb PP$ $VP \rightarrow VP PP$ $PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$

\mathscr{L}_1 Grammar	\mathscr{L}_1 in CNF
$S \rightarrow NP VP$	$S \rightarrow NP VP$
$S \rightarrow Aux NP VP$	
$S \rightarrow VP$	
$NP \rightarrow Pronoun$	
$NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$	
$NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$	
$Nominal \rightarrow Noun$	
Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun	
Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP	
$VP \rightarrow Verb$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb PP$	
$VP \rightarrow VP PP$	
$PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$	

\mathscr{L}_1 Grammar	\mathscr{L}_1 in CNF
$S \rightarrow NP VP$	$S \rightarrow NP VP$
$S \rightarrow Aux NP VP$	$S \rightarrow X1 VP$
	$X1 \rightarrow Aux NP$
$S \rightarrow VP$	
$NP \rightarrow Pronoun$	
$NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$	
$NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$	
Nominal \rightarrow Noun	
Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun	
Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP	
$VP \rightarrow Verb$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb PP$	
$VP \rightarrow VP PP$	
$PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$	

\mathscr{L}_1 Grammar	\mathscr{L}_1 in CNF
$S \rightarrow NP VP$	$S \rightarrow NP VP$
$S \rightarrow Aux NP VP$	$S \rightarrow X1 VP$
	$X1 \rightarrow Aux NP$
$S \rightarrow VP$	$S \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$

 $NP \rightarrow Pronoun$ $NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$ $NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$ $Nominal \rightarrow Noun$ $Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun$ $Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$

 $VP \rightarrow Verb PP$ $VP \rightarrow VP PP$ $PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$

\mathscr{L}_1 Grammar	\mathscr{L}_1 in CNF
$S \rightarrow NP VP$	$S \rightarrow NP VP$
$S \rightarrow Aux NP VP$	$S \rightarrow X1 VP$
	$X1 \rightarrow Aux NP$
$S \rightarrow VP$	$S \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$
	$S \rightarrow Verb NP$
	$S \rightarrow X2 PP$
	$S \rightarrow Verb PP$
	$S \rightarrow VP PP$
$NP \rightarrow Pronoun$	
$NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$	
$NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$	
Nominal \rightarrow Noun	
Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun	
Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP	
$VP \rightarrow Verb$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb PP$	
$VP \rightarrow VP PP$	
$PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$	_

\mathscr{L}_1 Grammar	\mathscr{L}_1 in CNF
$S \rightarrow NP VP$	$S \rightarrow NP VP$
$S \rightarrow Aux NP VP$	$S \rightarrow X1 VP$
	$X1 \rightarrow Aux NP$
$S \rightarrow VP$	$S \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$
	$S \rightarrow Verb NP$
	$S \rightarrow X2 PP$
	$S \rightarrow Verb PP$
	$S \rightarrow VP PP$
$NP \rightarrow Pronoun$	$NP \rightarrow I \mid she \mid me$
$NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$	$NP \rightarrow TWA \mid Houston$
$NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$	$NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$
Nominal \rightarrow Noun	Nominal \rightarrow book flight meal money
Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun	Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun
Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP	Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP
$VP \rightarrow Verb$	$VP \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP$	$VP \rightarrow Verb NP$
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$	$VP \rightarrow X2 PP$
	$X2 \rightarrow Verb NP$
$VP \rightarrow Verb PP$	$VP \rightarrow Verb PP$
$VP \rightarrow VP PP$	$VP \rightarrow VP PP$
$PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$	$PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$

CKY Parsing

- Cocke-Kasami-Younger parsing algorithm:
 - (Relatively) efficient bottom-up parsing algorithm based on tabulating substring parses to avoid repeated work

CKY Parsing

- Cocke-Kasami-Younger parsing algorithm:
 - (Relatively) efficient bottom-up parsing algorithm based on tabulating substring parses to avoid repeated work
 - Approach:
 - Use a CNF grammar
 - Build an (n+1) x (n+1) matrix to store subtrees
 - Upper triangular portion
 - Incrementally build parse spanning whole input string

Dynamic Programming in CKY

- Key idea:
 - For a parse spanning substring [i,j], there exists some k such there are parses spanning [i,k] and [k,j]
 - We can construct parses for whole sentence by building up from these stored partial parses

Dynamic Programming in CKY

- Key idea:
 - For a parse spanning substring [i,j], there exists some k such there are parses spanning [i,k] and [k,j]
 - We can construct parses for whole sentence by building up from these stored partial parses
- So,
 - To have a rule $A \rightarrow B C$ in [i,j],
 - We must have B in [i,k] and C in [k,j], for some i<k<j
 - CNF grammar forces this for all j>i+1

CKY

- Given an input string S of length n,
 - Build table (n+1) x (n+1)
 - Indexes correspond to inter-word positions
 - E.g., 0 Book 1 That 2 Flight 3

CKY

- Given an input string S of length n,
 - Build table (n+1) x (n+1)
 - Indexes correspond to inter-word positions
 - E.g., 0 Book 1 That 2 Flight 3
- Cells [i,j] contain sets of non-terminals of ALL constituents spanning i,j
 - [j-1,j] contains pre-terminals
 - If [0,n] contains Start, the input is recognized

CKY Algorithm

function CKY-PARSE(words, grammar) returns table

```
for j \leftarrow from 1 to LENGTH(words) do

table[j-1, j] \leftarrow \{A \mid A \rightarrow words[j] \in grammar\}

for i \leftarrow from j-2 downto 0 do

for k \leftarrow i+1 to j-1 do

table[i,j] \leftarrow table[i,j] \cup

\{A \mid A \rightarrow BC \in grammar,

B \in table[i,k],

C \in table[k, j]\}
```

Is this a parser?

CKY Parsing

- Table fills:
 - Column-by-column
 - Left-to-right
 - Bottom-to-top
- Why?

CKY Parsing

- Table fills:
 - Column-by-column
 - Left-to-right
 - Bottom-to-top
- Why?
 - Necessary info available (below and left)
 - Allows online sentence analysis
 - Works across input string as it arrives

CKY Table

Book the flight through Houston

	nign	through	Houston
	S,VP,X2		S, VP
0,2]	[0,3]	[0,4]	[0,5]
Det	NP		NP
1,2]	[1,3]	[1,4]	[1,5]
	Nominal, Noun		Nominal
_	[2,3]	[2,4]	[2,5]
		Prep	PP
		[3,4]	[3,5]
			NP, Proper- Noun
			[4,5]
),2] Det 1,2]	S,VP,X2 [0,3] Det NP 1,2] [1,3] Nominal, Noun [2,3]	S,VP,X2 0,2] [0,3] [0,4] Det NP [1,4] 1,2] [1,3] [1,4] Nominal, Noun [2,3] [2,4] Prep [3,4]



Filling CKY cell



Book	the	Flight	through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0,1]				

Book	the	Flight	through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0,1]				
	Det [1,2]			

Book	the	Flight	Through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0,1]	[0,2]			
	Det [1,2]			

Book	the	Flight	through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0,1]	[0,2]			
	Det [1,2]			
		NN, Nominal [2,3]		

Book	the	Flight	through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0,1]	[0,2]			
	Det [1,2]	NP [1,3]		
		NN, Nominal [2,3]		

Book	the	Flight	Through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0,1]	[0,2]	S, VP, X2 [0,3]		
	Det [1,2]	NP [1,3]		
		NN, Nominal [2,3]		

Book	the	Flight	Through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0,1]	[0,2]	S, VP, X2 [0,3]		
	Det [1,2]	NP [1,3]		
		NN, Nominal [2,3]		
			Prep	
			[3,4]	

Book	the	Flight	Through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S	[0 2]	S, VP, X2	ΓO <i>4</i> 1	
[0,1]	[0,2] Det [1,2]	[0,3] NP [1,3]	[1,4]	
		NN, Nominal [2,3]	[2,4]	
			Prep	
			[3,4]	
			and the second second	

Book	the	Flight	Through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0 1]	[0 2]	S, VP, X2	[0 4]	
[0,1]	Det [1,2]	NP [1,3]	[1,4]	
		NN, Nominal [2,3]	[2,4]	
			Prep	
			[3,4]	NNP, NP [4,5]

Book	the	Flight	Through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0 1]	[0 2]	S, VP, X2	[0 4]	
[0,1]	Det [1,2]	NP [1,3]	[1,4]	
		NN, Nominal [2,3]	[2,4]	
			Prep	PP
			[3,4]	[3,5]
				NNP, NP [4,5]

Book	the	Flight	Through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0.1]	[0.2]	S, VP, X2	[0.4]	
	Det [1,2]	NP [1,3]	[1,4]	
		NN, Nominal [2,3]	[2,4]	Nominal [2,5]
			Prep	PP
			[3,4]	[3,5]
				NNP, NP [4,5]

Book	the	Flight	Through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0,1]	[0,2]	S, VP, X2 [0,3]	[0,4]	
	Det [1,2]	NP [1,3]	[1,4]	NP [1,5]
		NN, Nominal [2,3]	[2,4]	Nominal [2,5]
			Prep	PP
			[3,4]	[3,5]
				NNP, NP [4,5]

Book	the	Flight	Through	Houston
NN, VB, Nominal, VP, S [0,1]	[0,2]	S, VP, X2 [0,3]	[0,4]	S, VP, X2 [0,5]
	Det [1,2]	NP [1,3]	[1,4]	NP [1,5]
		NN, Nominal [2,3]	[2,4]	Nominal [2,5]
			Prep	PP
			[3,4]	[3,5]
				NNP, NP [4,5]

From Recognition to Parsing

• Limitations of current recognition algorithm:
- Limitations of current recognition algorithm:
 - Only stores non-terminals in cell
 - Not rules or cells corresponding to RHS

- Limitations of current recognition algorithm:
 - Only stores non-terminals in cell
 - Not rules or cells corresponding to RHS
 - Stores SETS of non-terminals
 - Can't store multiple rules with same LHS

- Limitations of current recognition algorithm:
 - Only stores non-terminals in cell
 - Not rules or cells corresponding to RHS
 - Stores SETS of non-terminals
 - Can't store multiple rules with same LHS
- Parsing solution:
 - All repeated versions of non-terminals

- Limitations of current recognition algorithm:
 - Only stores non-terminals in cell
 - Not rules or cells corresponding to RHS
 - Stores SETS of non-terminals
 - Can't store multiple rules with same LHS
- Parsing solution:
 - All repeated versions of non-terminals
 - Pair each non-terminal with pointers to cells
 - Backpointers

- Limitations of current recognition algorithm:
 - Only stores non-terminals in cell
 - Not rules or cells corresponding to RHS
 - Stores SETS of non-terminals
 - Can't store multiple rules with same LHS
- Parsing solution:
 - All repeated versions of non-terminals
 - Pair each non-terminal with pointers to cells
 - Backpointers
 - Last step: construct trees from back-pointers in [0,n]

Filling column 5

Book	the	flight	through	Houston
S, VP, Verb, Nominal, Noun		S,VP,X2		
[0,1]	[0,2]	[0,3]	[0,4]	[0,5]
	Det	NP		
	[1,2]	[1,3]	[1,4]	[1,5]
		Nominal, Noun		Nominal
		[2,3]	[2,4]	[2,5]
			Prep	
			[3,4]	[3,5]
				NP, Proper- Noun
				[4,5]

Book	the	flight	through	Houston
S, VP, Verb, Nominal, Noun		S,VP,X2		
[0,1]	[0,2]	[0,3]	[0,4]	[0,5]
	Det	NP		NP
	[1,2]	[1,3]	[1,4]	[1,5]
		Nominal, Noun		
		[2,3]	[2,4]	[2,5]
			Prep <	— PP

Book	the	flight	through	Houston
S, VP, Verb, Nominal, Noun		S,VP,X2		
[0,1]	[0,2]	[0,3]	[0,4]	[0,5]
	Det	NP		NP
	[1,2]	[1,3]	[1,4]	[1,5]
		Nominal, ∢ Noun		-Nominal
		[2,3]	[2,4]	[2,5]
			Prep	PP
			[3,4]	[3,5]
				NP, Proper- Noun
				[4,5]

Book	the	flight	through	Houston
S, VP, Verb, Nominal, Noun		S,VP,X2		
[0,1]	[0,2]	[0,3]	[0,4]	[0,5]
	Det <			
	[[1,2]	Nominal, Noun	[1,4]	Nominal
		[2,3]	[2,4]	[2,5]
			Prep	PP
			[3,4]	[3,5]
				Proper- Noun
				[4,5]



• Running time: $O(n^3)$

• Running time:

• $O(n^3)$ where *n* is the length of the input string

- Running time:
 - $O(n^3)$ where *n* is the length of the input string
 - Inner loop grows as square of # of non-terminals
- Expressiveness:

- Running time:
 - $O(n^3)$ where *n* is the length of the input string
 - Inner loop grows as square of # of non-terminals
- Expressiveness:
 - As implemented, requires CNF
 - Weakly equivalent to original grammar
 - Doesn't capture full original structure
 - Back-conversion?

- Running time:
 - $O(n^3)$ where *n* is the length of the input string
 - Inner loop grows as square of # of non-terminals
- Expressiveness:
 - As implemented, requires CNF
 - Weakly equivalent to original grammar
 - Doesn't capture full original structure
 - Back-conversion?
 - Can do binarization, terminal conversion
 - Unit non-terminals require change in CKY