

# Systems & Applications: Introduction

Ling 573  
NLP Systems and Applications  
March 31, 2015

# Roadmap

- Motivation
- 573 Structure
- Summarization
- Shared Tasks

# Motivation

- Information retrieval is very powerful
  - Search engines index and search enormous doc sets
  - Retrieve billions of documents in tenths of seconds
- But still limited!
  - Technically – keyword search (mostly)
  - Conceptually
    - User seeks information
      - Sometimes a web site or document
      - Sometimes the answer to a question
      - But, often a summary of document or document set

# Why Summarization?

- Even web search relies on simple summarization
  - Snippets!
    - Provide thumbnail summary of ranked document

- **Caldera - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia**  
[en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Caldera](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Caldera) ▾ Wikipedia ▾  
A **caldera** is a cauldron-like volcanic feature usually formed by the collapse of land following a volcanic eruption. They are sometimes confused with volcanic craters. The word comes from Spanish **caldera**, and this from Latin *caldaria*, meaning "cooking pot".  
[Volcanic crater](#) - [Yellowstone Caldera](#) - [Cauldron](#) - [Coatepeque Caldera](#)
- **How Volcanoes Work - Calderas**  
[www.geology.sdsu.edu/how.../Calderas.html](http://www.geology.sdsu.edu/how.../Calderas.html) ▾ San Diego State University ▾  
**CALDERAS**. When an erupting volcano empties a shallow-level magma chamber, the edifice of the volcano may collapse into the voided reservoir, thus forming ...
- **Caldera: Crater Formed by Volcanic Collapse or Explosion**  
[geology.com](http://geology.com) ▸ [Volcanoes](#) ▾  
**Calderas** are some of the most spectacular features on Earth. They are large volcanic craters that form by two different methods: 1) an explosive volcanic eruption; or, 2) collapse of surface rock into an empty magma chamber.

# Why Summarization?

- Complex questions go beyond factoids, infoboxes
  - Require explanations, analysis
    - E.g. In children with an acute febrile illness, what is the efficacy of single-medication therapy with acetaminophen or ibuprofen in reducing fever?
- Highest search hit is manually created summary site
  - Umich medical
    - Vs 5 articles cited in creating

# **Ibuprofen is More Likely to Normalize Temperature than Acetaminophen, Though Both are Safe and Effective Antipyretics for Short-Term Use in Children**

## **Question**

- In children with an acute febrile illness, what is the efficacy of single-medication therapy with acetaminophen or ibuprofen compared with combination therapy combining the two medications in reducing fever while avoiding adverse effects?

## **Clinical Bottom Lines**

1. Both acetaminophen and ibuprofen are effective antipyretics and are well-tolerated in short-term use in febrile children.
2. Ibuprofen is more effective at achieving temperature normalization than acetaminophen, though both effectively lower temperatures  $>1.5$  C in most patients with standard dosing.
3. There is no data currently available comparing the efficacy and tolerability alternating regimens with ibuprofen and acetaminophen to single-drug regimens.<sup>1</sup>

## **Summary of Key Evidence**

1. 628 children aged 6 months to 6 years with initial temperature  $>38.5$ C were randomized to receive ibuprofen, acetaminophen, or dipyrone (banned in the US) in a 1:1:1 ratio. The study was double-blinded and multinational. There was no placebo arm.

# Why Summarization?

- Complex questions go beyond factoids, infoboxes
  - Require explanations, analysis
    - E.g. In children with an acute febrile illness, what is the efficacy of single-medication therapy with acetaminophen or ibuprofen in reducing fever?
  - Summ: Ibuprofen provided greater temperature decrement and longer duration of antipyresis than acetaminophen when the two drugs were administered in approximately equal doses. (PubMedID: 1621668)

# Why Summarization?

- Huge scale, explosive growth in online content
  - 2-4K articles in PubMed daily, 41.7M articles/mo on WordPress alone (2014)
  - How can we manage it?
    - Lots of aggregation sites
      - Effective summarization rarer
- Recordings of meetings, classes, MOOCs
  - Slow to access linearly, awkward to jump around
  - Structured summary can be useful
    - Outline of: how-tos, to-dos,



# Perspectives on Summarization

- DUC, TAC (2001-...):
  - Single-, multi-document summarization
    - Readable concise summaries
    - Largely news-oriented
      - Later blogs, etc; also query-focused
- Text simplification:
  - Compress, simplify text for enhanced readability
    - Application to CALL, reading levels (e.g. Simple Wikipedia), assistive technology
      - Also aims to support greater automation

# Natural Language Processing and Summarization

- Rich testbed for NLP techniques:
  - Information retrieval
  - Named Entity Recognition
  - Word, sentence segmentation
  - Information extraction
  - Parsing
  - Semantics, etc..
  - Discourse relations
  - Co-reference
  - Generation
  - Paraphrasing
- Deep/shallow techniques; machine learning

# 573 Structure

- Implementation:
  - Create a summarization system
    - Extend existing software components
    - Develop, evaluate on standard data set
- Presentation:
  - Write a technical report
  - Present plan, system, results in class
  - Give/receive feedback

# Implementation: Deliverables

- Complex system:
  - Break into (relatively) manageable components
  - Incremental progress, deadlines
- Key components:
  - D1: Setup
  - D2: Baseline system, Content selection
  - D3: Content selection, Information ordering
  - D4: : Content selection, Information ordering, Surface realization, final results
- Deadlines:
  - Little slack in schedule; please keep to time
  - Timing: ~12 hours week; sometimes higher

# Presentation

- Technical report:
  - Follow organization for scientific paper
    - Formatting and Content
- Presentations:
  - 10-15 minute oral presentation for deliverables
  - Explain goals, methodology, success, issues
  - Critique each others' work
  - Attend **ALL** presentations

# Working in Teams

- Why teams?
  - Too much work for a single person
  - Representative of professional environment
- Team organization:
  - Form groups of 3 (possibly 2) people
  - Arrange coordination
  - Distribute work equitably
    - All team members receive the same grade
      - End-of-course evaluation

# First Task

- Form teams:
  - Email Glenn [gslayden@uw.edu](mailto:gslayden@uw.edu) with the team list

# Resources

- Readings:
  - Current research papers in summarization
  - Jurafsky & Martin/Manning & Schutze text
    - Background, reference, refresher
- Software:
  - Build on existing system components, toolkits
    - NLP, machine learning, etc
    - Corpora, etc



# Resources: Patas

- System should run on patas
  - Existing infrastructure
    - Software systems
    - Corpora
    - Repositories

# Shared Task Evaluations

- Goals:
  - Lofty:
    - Focus research community on key challenges
      - ‘Grand challenges’
  - Support the creation of large-scale community resources
    - Corpora: News, Recordings, Video
    - Annotation: Expert questions, labeled answers,...
  - Develop methodologies to evaluate state-of-the-art
    - Retrieval, Machine Translation, etc
  - Facilitate technology/knowledge transfer b/t industry/acad.

# Shared Task Evaluation

- Goals:
  - Pragmatic:
    - Head-to-head comparison of systems/techniques
      - Same data, same task, same conditions, same timing
    - Centralizes funding, effort
    - Requires disclosure of techniques in exchange for data
  - Base:
    - Bragging rights
    - Government research funding decisions