

# Dialogue and Conversational Agents

Ling575  
Spoken Dialog Systems  
April 2, 2015

# Roadmap

- Dialog and Dialog Systems
- Facets of Conversation:
  - Turn-taking
  - Speech Acts
  - Cooperativity
  - Grounding
- Spoken Dialogue Systems:
  - Pipeline Architecture
  - Finite-State, Frame-based, Information State Systems
  - Evaluation

# Dialog Example

C<sub>1</sub>: ...I need to travel in May.

A<sub>1</sub>: And, what day in May did you want to travel?

C<sub>2</sub>: OK uh I need to be there for a meeting that's from the 12th to the 15th.

A<sub>2</sub>: And you're flying into what city?

C<sub>3</sub>: Seattle.

A<sub>3</sub>: And what time would you like to leave Pittsburgh?

C<sub>4</sub>: Uh hmm I don't think there's many options for non-stop.

A<sub>4</sub>: Right. There's three non-stops today.

C<sub>5</sub>: What are they?

A<sub>5</sub>: The first one departs PGH at 10:00am arrives Seattle at 12:05 their time. The second flight departs PGH at 5:55pm, arrives Seattle at 8pm. And the last flight departs PGH at 8:15pm arrives Seattle at 10:28pm.

C<sub>6</sub>: OK I'll take the 5ish flight on the night before on the 11th.

A<sub>6</sub>: On the 11th? OK. Departing at 5:55pm arrives Seattle at 8pm, U.S. Air flight 115.

C<sub>7</sub>: OK.

# Travel Planning

S1: Hello. You've reached the [Communicator]. Tell me your full name  
U2: *hi i'd like to fly to seattle tuesday morning*  
S3: Traveling to Seattle on Tuesday, August eleventh in the morning. Your full name?  
U4: *[John Doe]*  
S5: Hello, [John], I'm glad to hear from you again. You can now ask me for help.  
S6: OK. Let's see I have a United flight departing Pittsburgh at ten forty five a.m. arriving into Seattle at one fifty seven p.m. Is that OK?  
U7: *Yes*  
S8: Will you return to Pittsburgh from Seattle?  
U9: *no i will go to Los Angeles*  
S10: Traveling to Los Angeles. on what date  
U11: *Sorry can we go back to talk about the previous leg again*  
S12: For the first leg, you are on a United flight 1446 departing Pittsburgh at ten forty five A.M. tomorrow, arriving in Seattle at one fifty seven P.M. Do you want to take that?  
U13: *actually i want to leave on wednesday*  
S14: a flight on wednesday.  
S15: I have a flight departing Pittsburgh at ten forty five a.m., arrives Seattle at one fifty seven p.m. Is that OK?  
U16: *Yes*

**Figure 19.1** The travel domain: a fragment from a successful conversation between a user (U) and the Communicator system (S) of Xu and Rudnicky (2000).

# AT&T's How May I Help You?

---

S: How may I help you?  
U: *can you tell me how much it is to tokyo?*  
S: You want to know the cost of a call?  
U: *yes that's right*  
S: Please hold on for rate information.

---

S: How may I help you?  
U: *what's the area code for chicago?*  
S: You want an area code.  
U: *(silence)*  
S: The area code for Chicago is 312.

---

**Figure 19.2** The call routing/telephone information domain: two actual conversations between a user (U) and the AT&T How May I Help You (HMIHY) system (S) (Gorin et al., 1997).

# ItSpoke Tutoring System

S: I didn't understand. What force acts on all objects within close proximity to earth?

U: *uh the force of gravity*

S: Fine. Besides the Earth's gravitational force, are there any other forces acting on the person, keys, and elevator after the release?

U: *no*

S: Yep. Thus, keep in mind that after the release, the only force on the person, keys, and elevator is the force of gravity. If gravity is the only force acting on an object, will it be moving or staying still?

U: *it will be moving*

S: Fine. Not only are the person, keys, and elevator moving, they have only gravitational forces on them. When an object is falling and has only gravitational force on it, it is said to be in what?

U: *freefall*

**Figure 19.3** The tutoring domain: part of an actual conversation between a student user (U) and the ITSPoke system (S) of (Litman and Silliman, 2004), based on the Why2-Atlas text-based tutoring system (?).

# Dialogue is Different

- Two or more speakers
  - Primary focus on speech
- Issues in multi-party spoken dialogue
  - Turn-taking – who speaks next, when?
  - Collaboration – clarification, feedback,...
  - Disfluencies
  - Adjacency pairs, dialogue acts

# Conversations and Conversational Agents

- Conversation:
  - First and often most common form of language use
  - Context of language learning and use
  - Goal:
    - Describe, characterize spoken interaction
    - Enable automatic recognition, understanding
- Conversational agents:
  - Spoken dialog systems, spoken language systems
  - Interact with users through speech
    - Tasks: travel arrangements, call routing, planning



# Conversation

- Intricate, joint activity
  - Constructed from consecutive turns
  - Joint activity between speakers, hearer
  - Involves inferences about intended meaning
- SDS: simpler, but hopefully consistent

# Turn-Taking

- Multi-party discourse
  - Need to trade off speaker/hearer roles
    - Interpret reference from sequential utterances
- When?
  - End of sentence?
    - No: multi-utterance turns
  - Silence?
    - No: little silence in smooth dialogue: < 250ms
      - Gaps less than actual sentence planning time - anticipate
  - When other starts speaking?
    - No: relatively little overlap face-to-face: ~5%

# Turn-taking: Who & How

- At each TRP in each turn (Sacks 1974)
  - If speaker has selected A to speak, A must take floor
  - If speaker has selected no one to speak, anyone can
  - If no one else takes the turn, the speaker can
- Selecting speaker A:
  - By explicit/implicit mention: What about it, Bob?
    - By gaze, function
- Selecting others: questions, greetings, closing
  - (Traum et al., 2003)

# Turns and Structure

- Some utterances select others:
  - Adjacency pairs:
    - Greeting – Greeting, Question – Answer,
    - Compliment – Downplayer
  - Silence ‘dispreferred’ within adjacency pair
    - A: Is there something bothering you or not?
    - (1.0)
    - A: Yes or No?
    - (1.5)
    - A: Eh.
    - B: No.

# Turn-taking in HCI

- Human turn end:
  - Detected by 250ms (or longer) silence
- System turn end:
  - Signaled by end of speech
  - Indicated by any human sound
    - Barge-in
- Continued attention:
  - No signal
- Design problems create ambiguous silences
  - Problematic for SDS users
    - (Stifelman et al., 1993), (Yankelovich et al, 1995)

# Utterances as 3 Act Types

- Locutionary act:
  - utterance with some meaning
  - *“You can’t do that!”*
- Illocutionary act:
  - Act of asking, promising, answering, in utterance
  - *Protesting*
- Perlocutionary act:
  - Production of effects on feeling, beliefs of addressee
  - *Intend to prevent doing some action*
- Types: assertives, directives, commissives, expressives, declarations

# The 3 levels of act revisited

	Locutionary Force	Illocutionary Force	Perlocutionary Force
Can I have the rest of your sandwich?	Question	Request	Intent: You give me sandwich
I want the rest of your sandwich	Declarative	Request	Intent: You give me sandwich
Give me your sandwich!	Imperative	Request	Intent: You give me sandwich

# Collaborative Communication

- Speaker tries to establish and add to
  - “common ground” – “mutual belief”
- Presumed a joint, collaborative activity
  - Make sure “mutually believe” the same thing
- Hearer must ‘ground’ speaker’s utterances
  - Indicate heard and understood



# Closure

- Principle of closure:
  - Agents performing an action require evidence of successful performance
    - Also important to indicate failure or understanding
- Non-speech closure:
  - Push elevator button → Light turns on
- Two step process:
  - Presentation (speaker)
  - Acceptance (listener)

# Degrees of Grounding

- Weakest to strongest
- Continued attention:
  - Silence implies consent
- Next relevant contribution
- Acknowledgment:
  - Minimal response, continuer: *yeah, uh-huh, okay; great*
- Demonstrate:
  - Indicate understanding by reformulation, completion
- Display:
  - Repeat all or part

# Dialog Example

C<sub>1</sub>: ...I need to travel in May.

A<sub>1</sub>: And, what day in May did you want to travel?

C<sub>2</sub>: OK uh I need to be there for a meeting that's from the 12th to the 15th.

A<sub>2</sub>: And you're flying into what city?

C<sub>3</sub>: Seattle.

A<sub>3</sub>: And what time would you like to leave Pittsburgh?

C<sub>4</sub>: Uh hmm I don't think there's many options for non-stop.

A<sub>4</sub>: Right. There's three non-stops today.

C<sub>5</sub>: What are they?

A<sub>5</sub>: The first one departs PGH at 10:00am arrives Seattle at 12:05 their time. The second flight departs PGH at 5:55pm, arrives Seattle at 8pm. And the last flight departs PGH at 8:15pm arrives Seattle at 10:28pm.

C<sub>6</sub>: OK I'll take the 5ish flight on the night before on the 11th.

A<sub>6</sub>: On the 11th? OK. Departing at 5:55pm arrives Seattle at 8pm, U.S. Air flight 115.

C<sub>7</sub>: OK.

# Grounding

- Display:
  - C: I need to travel in May.
  - A: *And what day* in May did you want to travel?
- Acknowledgment + Next relevant contribution:
  - *And what day* in May did you want to travel?
  - *And you are flying* into what city?
  - *And what time* would you like to leave Pittsburgh?

# Travel Planning

S1: Hello. You've reached the [Communicator]. Tell me your full name  
U2: *hi i'd like to fly to seattle tuesday morning*  
S3: Traveling to Seattle on Tuesday, August eleventh in the morning. Your full name?  
U4: *[John Doe]*  
S5: Hello, [John], I'm glad to hear from you again. You can now ask me for help.  
S6: OK. Let's see I have a United flight departing Pittsburgh at ten forty five a.m. arriving into Seattle at one fifty seven p.m. Is that OK?  
U7: *Yes*  
S8: Will you return to Pittsburgh from Seattle?  
U9: *no i will go to Los Angeles*  
S10: Traveling to Los Angeles. on what date  
U11: *Sorry can we go back to talk about the previous leg again*  
S12: For the first leg, you are on a United flight 1446 departing Pittsburgh at ten forty five A.M. tomorrow, arriving in Seattle at one fifty seven P.M. Do you want to take that?  
U13: *actually i want to leave on wednesday*  
S14: a flight on wednesday.  
S15: I have a flight departing Pittsburgh at ten forty five a.m., arrives Seattle at one fifty seven p.m. Is that OK?  
U16: *Yes*

**Figure 19.1** The travel domain: a fragment from a successful conversation between a user (U) and the Communicator system (S) of Xu and Rudnicky (2000).

# Grounding in HCI

- Key factor in HCI:
  - Users confused if system fails to ground, confirm
    - (Stifelman et al., 1993), (Yankelovich et al, 1995)
  - S: Did you want to review some more of your profile?
  - U: No.
  - S: What's next?
- S: Did you want to review some more of your profile?
- U: No.
- S: Okay, what's next?

# Conversational Implicature

- Meaning more than just literal contribution
  - *A: And, what day in May did you want to travel?*
  - *C: OK uh I need to be there for a meeting the 12-15<sup>th</sup>*
    - Appropriate? Yes
    - Why?
- Inference guides

# Grice's Maxims

- Cooperative principle:
  - Tacit agreement b/t conversants to cooperate
- Grice's Maxims
  - Quantity: Be as informative as required
  - Quality: Be truthful
    - Don't lie, or say things without evidence
  - Relevance: Be relevant
  - Manner: "Be perspicuous"
    - Don't be obscure, ambiguous, prolix, or disorderly



# Relevance

- Client: **I need to be there for a meeting that's from the 12th to the 15th**
  - Hearer thinks: **Speaker is following maxims, would only have mentioned meeting if it was relevant. How could meeting be relevant? If client meant me to understand that he had to depart in time for the mtg.**

# Quantity

- A: How much money do you have on you?
- B: I have 5 dollars
  - Implication: not 6 dollars
- A: Did you do the reading for today's class?
- B: I intended to
  - Implication: No
  - B's answer would be true if B intended to do the reading AND did the reading, but would then violate maxim

# From Human to Computer

- Conversational agents
  - Systems that (try to) participate in dialogues
  - Examples: Directory assistance, travel info, weather, restaurant and navigation info
- Issues:
  - Limited understanding: ASR errors, interpretation
  - Computational costs

# Dialogue System Architecture

