Please rate each category on a scale of 1-9 with 1 being the highest score. Use objective words for comments. Use an additional page if needed.

PI:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Significance (score:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_)

Comments (focus on these questions: Was the gap in knowledge/problem clearly spelled out? Does this problem have a strong scientific premise? Was the objective for proposal clear? Was the hypothesis well grounded? Will the accomplishment of this project significantly advance the field?):

Approaches (score:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_)

Comments (focus on: Are the overall strategy and methodology well justified to accomplish the aims? Are these methodologies feasible in the PI’s hands? Are the approaches presented in a robust and unbiased way? Are biological variables properly considered? Are potential problems, alternative strategies, and benchmarks for success properly discussed?):

Overall score:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Comment (most important aspects that drove your score of the proposal):

After the in-class presentation by the PI and in-class discussion, would you change your opinion and score on this proposal? What could have been added to the original proposal to make it more convincing?