## Poster Evaluation Criteria

Poster Author (Last Name):

Judge's Name:

l.	<b>Technical</b> : Please score each item on a $1$ - $4$ scale, with $1$ = marginally adequate, and $4$ = excellent					
	Legibility (adequate font size, quality of printing, etc.)	1	2	3	4	
	Materials (materials create a polished presentation)	1	2	3	4	
	Overall visual appeal (layout of text & figures)	1	2	3	4	
	(1) Total tech	echnical score:				
II.	Content: Please score each item as indicated [1 - 4 scale, with 1 = marginally adequate, and 4 = Yes/No for items thusly rated].  Judges: Please note that due to the timing of the Symposium, some projects will not have been completed. should focus on what the student did and learned, and his/her understanding of the project's scope.	ote that due to the timing of the Symposium, some projects will not have been completed. Such posters				
	Title (Effectively highlights the poster's subject matter)	1	2	3	4	
	Authors (Student + others listed; institutional affiliations listed)	No	$\mathbf{o} = 0$	, Y	es = 1	
	Abstract (Succinctly summarizes of project)	1	2	3	4	
	Introduction (Adequate background given constraints of medium)	1	2	3	4	
	Methods (Clear outline of procedures)	No	=0	, Y	es = 1	
	Results (Adequate/convincing summary of findings? Reference to tables/figures as needed?)	No	$\mathbf{o} = 0$	, Y	es = 1	
	Tables & Figures (Effectively communicate key facts and concepts? Are captions next to corresponding table or figure?)	1	2	3	4	
	Conclusions/Future Directions	1	2	3	4	
	Acknowledgements / Funding Attributions listed (grant # displayed?)	No	=0	, Y	es = 1	
	(2) Total content score:					
III.	Oral Presentation: Please score each item as indicated [1 - 4 scale, with 1 = marginally adequate, and 4 = excellent; or select Yes/No for items thusly rated] sudges: Note that students are often hesitant when they are first approached and become more comfortable/animated as their presentation progresses.					
	Student was available to present the poster	No	=0,	Y	es = 1	

(3) Total presentation score:

TOTAL SCORE (1 + 2 + 3):

Judge's Pick: A check on this box indicates this was the judge's favorite poster presentation among all posters he/she evaluated in a given judging session. This selection need not correspond with the poster that received the highest total score.

(please visit poster at least twice before awarding a score of zero)

Student engaged the audience (Volunteered information or asked questions)

Student's grasp/understanding of materials

JUDGES: feel free to add comments on the back of this form.