

## Some General Concepts

I will assume the intuitive notion of an “effective procedure”.

Defn: A set  $\Sigma$  of expressions is DECIDABLE iff there is an effective procedure such that, given a putative member  $x$  of  $\Sigma$ , the procedure will decide whether or not  $x$  actually is in  $\Sigma$ .

Question: how many effective procedures are there?

Answer: Countably many. For each procedure must be finitely describable and there are only countably many finite sequences.

Example.

- (1) In any formal system the set of well formed expressions is decidable.
- (2) In any formal system the set of proofs (or derivations) is decidable.
- (3) The set of tautologies of sentential logic is decidable. Truth tables do it. Given the completeness theorem, it follows that the set of theorems is decidable.

Defn: A formal system is decidable iff the set of its theorems is decidable.

So sentential logic is decidable.

Monadic logic is decidable. (Need only look at models of size  $2^n$ , where  $n$  is the number of distinct predicate and sentence letters.)

But polyadic logic is not decidable (Church's Theorem). Indeed the logic of 2-place predicates is not decidable.

Defn: A set  $\Sigma$  is effectively enumerable (e/e) iff there is some effective procedure that generates all of  $\Sigma$ .

Theorem: If the putative members of  $\Sigma$  are (e/e), then if  $\Sigma$  is decidable, it is e/e too.

Proof. Effectively enumerate all the putative members and decide for each one whether it belongs to  $\Sigma$ !

Theorem. If both  $\Sigma$  and its complement  $\Sigma'$  are e/e, then  $\Sigma$  is decidable.

Proof. Consider any putative member  $x$  of  $\Sigma$ . We can begin an enumeration of  $\Sigma$  and of  $\Sigma'$  in tandem. Then, after finitely many steps  $x$  will turn up in one of those lists, which decides the case.

Cor. In any FS, if the non-theorems are e/e, the system is decidable.

Defns.

In any formal system a set  $\Sigma$  of formulas is deductively closed iff  $\Sigma \vdash \phi$  implies that  $\phi \in \Sigma$ .

A formal system FS (with negation) is *negation complete* iff for every closed formula of the system either  $FS \vdash \phi$  or  $FS \vdash \sim \phi$ .

Fact. Sentential logic is not negation complete. E.G., neither  $P$  nor  $\sim P$  is a theorem. Thus in general predicate logic is not negation complete.

Theorem. If  $\Sigma$  is consistent, e/e, deductively closed and negation complete, then  $\Sigma$  is decidable.

Proof. Since  $\Sigma$  is e/e it follows that all the consequences (under  $\vdash$ ) of  $\Sigma$  are also e/e. (We need to prove this!) Let  $\phi$  be any formula of the system. We want to decide whether or not  $\phi \in \Sigma$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is deductively closed it is sufficient to determine whether  $\Sigma \vdash \phi$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is negation complete in the e/e enumeration of the consequences of  $\Sigma$  either  $\phi$  or  $\sim \phi$  will turn up after a finite stretch, and not both since  $\Sigma$  is consistent. This gives us an effective procedure for deciding whether or not  $\phi$  belongs to  $\Sigma$ .

Cor. If a consistent formal system is undecidable, then it is negation incomplete; i.e., there is a closed  $\phi$  such that neither  $\Sigma \vdash \phi$  nor  $\Sigma \vdash \sim \phi$ .