## THE KOCHEN-SPECKER/BELL/ FINE-TELLER THEOREM: a la PERES

We consider an EPR/Bohm spin-1/2 experiment, schematized below, where the  $\sigma$ s are the spin-component operators (or, ambiguously, the spin observables) defined on the two subsystems (System 1 & System 2).



The state  $\psi$  of the two-particle composite system is the "singlet state," which can be written as

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \phi_1^+(\alpha) \otimes \phi_2^-(\alpha) \right] - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \phi_1^-(\alpha) \otimes \phi_2^+(\alpha) \right]$$

where  $\alpha$  may be any direction (including x, y, or z) and where

$$\sigma_{\alpha}^{1}$$
 = the spin component in direction  $\alpha$  on System 1 =   

$$\begin{cases}
+1 & \text{in state } \phi_{1}^{+}(\alpha) \\
-1 & \text{in state } \phi_{1}^{-}(\alpha)
\end{cases}$$
and

$$\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}$$
 = the spin component in direction  $\alpha$  on System 2 =   

$$\begin{cases}
+1 & \text{in state } \phi_{2}^{+}(\alpha) \\
-1 & \text{in state } \phi_{2}^{-}(\alpha)
\end{cases}$$

In state  $\psi$  the total spin in any direction is zero; i.e., if the spin is found to be "up" (+1) in direction  $\alpha$  on one system it will be "down" (-1) in direction  $\alpha$  on the other system, and *vice versa*. (Put otherwise, the product of the spin components on the separate systems in the same direction is always -1.) Suppose we make a measurement of  $\sigma_x^1$  on System 1 and (simultaneously) of  $\sigma_y^2$  on System 2, finding, respectively, values **a** and **b**. We can then cross-infer the values for  $\sigma_x^2$  and for  $\sigma_y^1$ , to get the results as follows.

Observables:
$$\sigma_x^1$$
 $\sigma_y^2$  $\sigma_x^2$  $\sigma_y^1$ Values: $\mathbf{a}$  $\mathbf{b}$  $-\mathbf{a}$  $-\mathbf{b}$ 

Since the only possible values for **a** and **b** are  $\pm 1$ , if we multiply them together the product (**ab**) will also be  $\pm 1$ , and its square (**ab**)<sup>2</sup> = 1. So we have that

$$\operatorname{val} \left[ (\sigma_{x}^{1} \cdot \sigma_{y}^{2})(\sigma_{y}^{1} \cdot \sigma_{x}^{2}) \right] = \operatorname{val} \left( \sigma_{x}^{1} \cdot \sigma_{y}^{2} \right) \cdot \operatorname{val} \left( \sigma_{y}^{1} \cdot \sigma_{x}^{2} \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{val} \left( \sigma_{x}^{1} \right) \cdot \operatorname{val} \left( \sigma_{y}^{2} \right) \cdot \operatorname{val} \left( \sigma_{y}^{1} \right) \cdot \operatorname{val} \left( \sigma_{x}^{2} \right)$$
$$= \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot (-\mathbf{b}) \cdot (-\mathbf{a})$$
$$= (\mathbf{ab})^{2}.$$

Hence,

val 
$$[(\sigma_x^1 \cdot \sigma_y^2)(\sigma_y^1 \cdot \sigma_x^2)] = 1.$$

(\*)

However, by using standard relationships between the various spin operators we can calculate the value of the product  $[(\sigma_x^1 \cdot \sigma_y^2)(\sigma_y^1 \cdot \sigma_x^2)]$  in a second way. First of all, the spin operators in orthogonal directions (like "x" and "y" above) on a single system (say, System 2) anti-commute; that is,

$$(\sigma_x^2 \cdot \sigma_y^2) = -(\sigma_y^2 \cdot \sigma_x^2).$$

Also, spin in the z-direction is related to spin in the x- and y-directions by the equations

where 
$$\mathbf{i}^2 = -1$$
. Using  $(\sigma_x^2 \cdot \sigma_y^2) = -(\sigma_y^2 \cdot \sigma_x^2)$  we can rewrite the expression for  $\sigma_z^2$   
 $\sigma_z^2 = -\mathbf{i} (\sigma_y^2 \cdot \sigma_x^2)$ .

Using the fact that  $(\sigma_y^1 \cdot \sigma_y^2) = (\sigma_y^2 \cdot \sigma_y^1)$  we can readily calculate  $(\sigma_z^1 \cdot \sigma_z^2)$  from the above relations as follows.

$$(\sigma_z^1 \cdot \sigma_z^2) = \mathbf{i} (\sigma_x^1 \cdot \sigma_y^1) \cdot (-\mathbf{i}) (\sigma_y^2 \cdot \sigma_x^2) = -(\mathbf{i}^2) (\sigma_x^1 \cdot \sigma_y^1) (\sigma_y^2 \cdot \sigma_x^2) = (\sigma_x^1 \cdot \sigma_y^2) (\sigma_y^1 \cdot \sigma_x^2).$$

Thus, reading from far left to far right,

val  $(\sigma_z^1 \cdot \sigma_z^2) =$ val  $[(\sigma_x^1 \cdot \sigma_y^2)(\sigma_y^1 \cdot \sigma_x^2)].$ 

According to (\*), the RHS = +1.

Now we know that for direction z, like any other, the spin values on the two systems are opposite to one another; i.e., that

val 
$$(\sigma_z^1 \cdot \sigma_z^2) =$$
val  $(\sigma_z^1) \cdot$ val  $(\sigma_z^2) = -1.$  (\*\*)

(Indeed, the singlet state  $\psi$  is an eigenstate of  $(\sigma_z^1 \cdot \sigma_z^2)$  with eigenvalue -1, so the only possible value for  $(\sigma_z^1 \cdot \sigma_z^2)$  is -1.)

So from (\*\*) the LHS of the boxed equation = -1. Since  $-1 \neq 1$  (!), it follows that we cannot consistently assign values as above.

An examination of the calculations shows that the principles we used to assign values are just these:

1) The only possible values for an observable A of a system in a state  $\psi$  are the eigenvalues of A that have non-zero probability in  $\psi$ . (EIGENVALUE PRINCIPLE)

2) If A and B commute, then val  $(A \cdot B) = val (A) \cdot val (B)$ . (PRODUCT RULE)

Thus we have established the following "no-go" ("*Dass geht nicht*.") theorem. <u>THEOREM</u>:

THERE IS NO ASSIGNMENT OF EXACT VALUES TO THE QUANTUM OBSERVABLES THAT SATISFIES THE EIGENVALUE PRINCIPLE AND THE PRODUCT RULE.

## REFERENCES

- Fine, A. and P. Teller. 1978. Algebraic constraints on hidden variables. *Foundations of Physics* 8: 629-36.
- Bell, J. On the problem of hidden variables in quantum mechanics. 1966. *Review of Modern Physics* 38: 447.
- Kochen, S and E. Specker. 1967. The problem of hidden variables in quantum mechanics. *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics* 17: 59.
- Peres, A. Incompatible results of quantum measurements. 1990. *Physics Letters* A 151: 107-8.