Name:	Experiment:	Points: /10	0
Each section is marked on a finite	numerical scale, ranging from exceller	nt (top), to unacceptable (bottom), wit	h

Format

- **Expansion report** cover is used. Different lab reports are separated by **notebook dividers** •
- Original in-class notes, not recopies, are provided, with handwritten notes on engineering paper.
- Contents are in correct order: in-class notes followed by analysis section, ending with written section

missing = 0. Particular criteria needing work are highlighted. The most important criteria are in italics.

#### **Record Keeping**

#### In-class notes (5 pts.) 5 4 3 2 1 0

- Notes are *easy to follow*: an outsider could tell what was being recorded and why.
- Notes are **complete**: operations or conditions that affect the interpretation or analysis of data are given.
- First page includes name of experiment, names of all partners, and dates beginning and ending experiment.
- Each page is **numbered** and **dated**.
- Notes are **neatly kept** and are recorded in **pen**.

#### Apparatus diagrams and annotations (10 pts.) 10 6 8 4 2 0

- The diagrams + annotations succeed in communicating how the apparatus works and how it was used.
- Diagrams are **functionally clear**: the diagrams would make sense to other students in the course.
- Diagrams are correct and well annotated, indicating the use and/or function of each important component and sub-component, clear signal paths, and important physical features (e.g. magnet orientation, important dimensions).
- Diagrams are original drawings taken from the apparatus itself, not merely copied from the instructions.

#### Data (15 pts.) 15 13 11 9 7 5 3 1

- Raw data are correct: no significant mistakes in collection of data. [Note you should annotate corrected mistakes]
- The data set is sufficient to calculate all important results and random uncertainty.
- Relevant conditions pertaining to data sets (e.g., sample type, run number, equipment settings) are present.
- Tables of data include an estimate of uncertainty along with reasons for assigning that uncertainty.
- Raw data are recorded neatly, with correct units.
- Copies of original data (XY plots, computer printouts, tables, etc.) are complete and annotated with information describing the sample, conditions or other information pertaining to it.

#### **Analysis and Results**

#### Analysis of data (15 pts) 15 13 11 9 7 5 3 1 0

- All data taken are analyzed.
- Analysis of data is correct.
- **Plotting and fitting** of data to obtain results **is used** when appropriate.
- All calculations performed, in whatever manner (spreadsheets, code, by hand), are fully and clearly described with annotations.
- Graphs are at least 1/2 page in size and easy to read: one could estimate data points from the graph itself.
- Graphs follow these basic formatting conventions: Legends are given for graphs with multiple data sets and/or curves; data points are bare—point symbols not connected with lines; when applicable points include error bars; theoretical curves and/or fits are shown as lines (not points); axes are labeled with quantity and correct **units**; there is a clear **title** explaining the graph's purpose.
- Spreadsheet **printouts** are **clearly laid out** with **labeled** columns and rows, including **quantities** and **units**.
- Computer **code** (Matlab, Mathematica, IDL, etc.), if used, is printed out and **included**.
- Formulas that are used are written near relevant parts of the report, with all variables either obvious (i.e., standard constants) or defined.

#### Uncertainty analysis and calculation (10 pts.) 10 8 2 0

- **Uncertainty** is calculated for numerical results.
- **Reasoning** and **method** used to derive uncertainty in final results is **clearly presented** and **correctly applied**.
- Uncertainty **calculations** themselves are clearly shown (either in entirety or with examples).

#### Final results: assessment and presentation & Solutions to exercises (15 pts.) 15 13 11 9 7 5 1 0 3

- Final results are critically evaluated within the notes: e.g., different results are compared to each other, noting trends or patterns; results are compared to literature or expected values and agreement is discussed.
- Evidence, or lack thereof, for systematic error is noted and described in the notes.
- Solutions to all exercises are present and correct; they are commented on: significance to experiment is discussed. NOTE: exercises are found both in the main experimental instructions and on the course website.
- Numerical results are clearly stated in the notes with correct format, units, significant digits and uncertainty.
- Sources for literature values are cited in sufficient detail.

/40

Points

/30 Points

Points

/5

### Summary Abstract (Roughly one page, single spaced)

#### General (2 pts.) 2 1 0

- Overall, it is *clear* that the *writer understands* the experiment.
- Writing is clear and logically structured.
- **English** is **correct** in terms of spelling, grammar, word choice and usage.
- **Style** of writing follows conventions **appropriate** to a scientific **journal**.

#### First paragraph - Statement of purpose (3 pts.) 3 2 1 0

- *First sentence (or two) states the purpose of the experiment in a general way.*
- The statement of purpose **highlights** the **essential physics** studied by the experiment.
- The statement of purpose names the central technique used in the experiment (e.g., pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance).
- The statement would be **easily understood** to **other students** in the course.
- Phrases such as "The purpose of this experiment...", or "In this lab, we..." are avoided.

Experiment:

#### Second paragraph - Method (4 pts.) 4 3 2 1 0

- The *method* is described in a *general way*.
- The description clearly indicates the chain of cause and effect: how the experiment works.
- Trivial details that have no bearing on the interpretation of the results are omitted.
- Important conditions that would affect the interpretation and understanding of the results are included.

0

### Third paragraph - Results (3 pts.) 2 1 0

- The statement of results is **complete**.
- The statement of results is correct.
- Numerical results are presented with correct units and format.
- Numerical results are presented with correct significant digits and uncertainty.

### Final paragraph(s) - Assessment/Discussion (4 pts) 4 3 2 1

- The discussion is **complete:** all results are assessed in sufficient depth.
- The discussion is correct: arguments made are based on sound physical reasoning.
- When possible, **Results** are compared to **literature**.
- **Different results** within the experiment are **compared to each other** and **interpreted**.
- The **discussion** would be easily understood by **other students** in the course.
- Literature comparisons are made correctly.
- If they exist, systematic **trends** are adequately **noted** and **interpreted**.
- Evidence of systematic error, or lack thereof, is adequately noted and described.

#### Discussion of Uncertainty

Overall, the discussion of uncertainty is clear. (3 pts.) 3 2 1 0

- State the uncertainty in the important quantities, either by magnitude or percentage.
- List the most important contributors to the uncertainties along with reasons for the size of their contribution.
- Note any disagreement between measured and expected results and present argument for this disagreement.

## The uncertainty discussion is consistent with the data and analysis portion of the report. (1 pt.) 1 $\,$ 0

• No new sources of uncertainty should be introduced in the discussion.

### The discussion correctly distinguishes between systematic and random uncertainty. (2 pts.) 2 1 0

- Unless otherwise stated, all quoted uncertainties are assumed to be random.
- Disagreements between expected and calculated results are, by definition, due to systematic error.

### The sources (that is, causes) of the random uncertainty are correctly identified. (2 pt.) 2 1 0

• The *source* of an uncertainty is the reason that a contributor has the uncertainty that it does. For example, in an energy measurement, a contributor to the uncertainty may come from the width of a peak; the source of this width is the energy resolution of the detection system and/or lifetime broadening of the peak.

# Evidence (or lack thereof) for systematic error is shown to exist (or not exist) within the data and results. If systematic error exists, the discussion presents believable candidates for its cause. (2 pt.) 2 1 0

- Evidence for systematic error exists whenever a measurement disagrees with expectations (e.g.,  $\Delta > 3\sigma$  from the literature value). Other evidence might be a skewed overall trend in the data. The reasons may be due a problem with the measurement procedure, apparatus, method of data analysis, or a theoretical model that is insufficiently complete. If no evidence for systematic error exists, this should also be demonstrated.
- Identify (and support with physical reasoning) likely causes of systematic error. Assess them quantitatively with respect to the data.

Points: /100

Points<u>/10</u>