Radiation Units and Dosimetry

Kalpana Kanal, Ph.D., DABR
Associate Professor
Director of Resident Physics Education
Dept. of Radiology
UW Medicine

a copy of this lecture may be found at: http://courses.washington.edu/radxphys/

Introduction

 Radiation dose quantities are used as indicators of the risk of biologic damage to patients from x-rays and thus a good knowledge of the different dose parameters and dose values is essential

Kalpana M. Kanal. Ph.D., DABR

Stochastic and Non-Stochastic Effect

- Radiation dose quantities serve as indicators of the risk of biologic damage to the patient
- The biologic effects of radiation can be classified as either deterministic (non-stochastic) or stochastic

Kalpana M. Kanal, Ph.D., DABF

Stochastic Effect


- * A stochastic effect is
 - * cancer and hereditary effects of radiation
 - probability of a stochastic effect, instead of its severity increases with dose
 - No dose thresholds below which the effects cannot occur

Kalpana M. Kanal, Ph.D., DABR


Deterministic (Non-Stochastic) Effect


- Deterministic or non-stochastic effects
 - effects include terratogenic effects to the embryo or fetus, skin damage and cataracts
 - a threshold can be defined below which the effect will not occur
 - for doses greater than the threshold dose, the severity of the effect increases with the dose
 - to assess the likelihood of a deterministic effect on an organ from an imaging procedure, the dose to that organ is estimated

Kalpana M. Kanal, Ph.D., DABR



Radiation Dose Occupational Limits





Average Effective Dose (mSv) for Dx Rad Procedures							
Adult Effective Doses for Various Diagnost	tic Radiology Procedures	.					
Examination	Average Effective Dose (mSv)	Values Reported in Literature (mSv)					
Skull	0.1	0.03-0.22					
Cervical spine	0.2	0.07-0.3					
Thoracic spine	1.0	0.6-1.4					
Lumbar spine	1.5	0.5-1.8					
Posteroanterior and lateral study of chest	0.1	0.05-0.24					
Posteroanterior study of chest	0.02	0.007-0.050					
Mammography	0.4	0.10-0.60					
Abdomen	0.7	0.04-1.1					
Pelvis	0.6	0.2-1.2					
Hip	0.7	0.18-2.71					
alpana M. Kanal, Ph.D., DABR		Radiology 2008, 248(1):254-2					

Adult Effective Doses for Va Examination	Average Effective Dose	
Head	2	0.9–4.0
Neck	3	• • •
Chest	7	4.0–18.0
Chest for pulmonary embolism	15	13-40
Abdomen	8	3.5–25
Pelvis	6	3.3–10
Three-phase liver study	15	
Spine	6	1.5–10
Coronary angiography	16	5.0-32
Calcium scoring	3	1.0-12
Virtual colonoscopy	10	4.0-13.2

Organ Dose

- * Organ Doses (from Huda book)
 - It is possible to estimate organ doses from a given entrance skin exposure (ESE)
 - * Organ doses are substantially lower than skin dose
 - * Organs not in direct field of view receive only scatter radiation

Kalpana M. Kanal, Ph.D., DABR

	TED KIN	IGDOM						SEVER#			1	
	Active bone marrow		Breasts		Uterus (embryo, fetus)		Thyroid		Gonads*		Effective dose	
Examination	(mGy)	(mrad)	(mGy)	(mrad)	(mGy)	(mrad)	(mGy)	(mrad)	(mGy)	(mrad)	(mSv)	(mrem)
hest	0.04	4	0.09	9			0.02	2			0.04	4
T chest	5.9	590	21	2100	0.06	6	2.3	230	0.08, *	8, *	7.8	780
kull	0.2	20		*	*	•	0.4	40	•	:	0.1	10 180
T head	2.7	270	0.03	3	•		1.9	190		220, 40	1.8	120
bdomen	0.4	40	0.03	3	2.9	290	0.05	5	2.2, 0.4 8.0. 0.7	800, 70	7.6	760
T abdomen	5.6	560	0.7	70 130	8.0	800	1.5	150	8.0, 0.7	*	1.0	100
horacic spine	0.7	70	1.3	130	3.5	350	1.5	130	4.3. 0.06	430, 6	2.1	210
umbar spine	1.4	140	0.07		1.7	170			1.2. 4.6	120, 460	1.1	110
Pelvis	0.2 5.6	20 560	0.03	3	26	2600			23, 1,7	2300, 170	7.1	710
T pelvis	1.9	190	3.9	390	3.6	360	0.4	40	3.6, 4.3	360, 430	4.2	420
ntravenous urography Parium enema (including fluoro)	8.2	820	0.7	70	16	1600	0.2	20	16, 3.4	1600, 340	8.7	870
	*	040	2	200							0.1	10

Expressing Cancer Risk (BEIR VII Report)

- The BEIR VII report addresses the effects of low-dose ionizing radiation to humans
- * This report provides the strongest scientific evidence to date regarding potential cancer risks as a result of ionizing radiation from medical imaging
- * The BEIR VII lifetime risk model predicts that approximately 1 individual in 1000 would be expected to develop cancer when exposed to a dose of 10 mSv and
- 42 of 100 would be expected to develop solid cancer or leukemia from other causes
- * This risk is proportional to dose

BEIR VII report can be obtained at http://www.nap.edu/catalog/11340.html

Kalpana M. Kanal, Ph.D., DABF

Effec	ctive	Dose	&	Cance	er Risk	Co	mparison
							A

				•
Exam	Eff. Dose [mSv]	Additional* LAR of Cancer Incidence %	Equivalent no. of chest x-rays	Approx. period of background radiation
Chest PA & LAT	0.1	0.001	1	12 days
Pelvis	0.6	0.006	6	73 days
Abdomen	0.7	0.007	7	90 days
CT Chest	7	0.07	70	2.3 years
CT Abd or Pelvis	8	0.08	80	2.7 years

Typical Background Radiation ~ 3 mSv per year

*These risks are in addition to the female baseline lifetime risk (in the absence of exposure) of cancer incidence of 36.9% and of death from cancer of 17.5%


Kalpana M. Kanal, Ph.D., DABF

Radiation Dose and the Pregnant Patient?

- Gestational period divided into 3 stages:
 - * Relatively short preimplantation stage (day 0-9)
 - * Extended period of major organogenesis (day 9-56)
 - * Fetal growth stage (day 45 to term)
- Preimplantation: conceptus extremely sensitive and radiation damage can result in prenatal death: "All-or-nothing response"

Radiation Dose and the Pregnant Patient?

 Fetal doses generally are much less than 100 mGy in most diagnostic and nuclear medicine procedures and thought to carry negligible risk compared with the spontaneous incidence of congenital abnormalities (4%-6%)



Radiation Dose and the Pregnant Patient?

TABLE 25-13. PROBABILITY OF BIRTHING HEALTHY CHILDREN

Dose ^a to Conceptus (mSv [mrem])	Child with No Malformation (Percentage)	Child Will Not Develop Cancer (Percentage)	Child Will Not Develop Cancel or Have a Malformation (Percentage)
0 (0)	96	99.93	95.93
0.5 (50)	95.999	99.927	95.928
1.0 (100)	95.998	99.921	95.922
2.5 (250)	95.995	99.908	95.91
5.0 (500)	95.99	99.89	95.88
10.00 (1,000)	95.98	99.84	95.83

[®]Refers to absorbed dose above natural background. This table assumes conservative risk estimates, and it is possible that there is no added risk.

Source: From Wagner LK, Hayman LA. Pregnancy in women radiologists. Radiology 1982;145:559–562.

c.f. Bushberg, et al. The Essential Physics of Medical Imaging, 2nd ed., p. 860.

Kalpana M. Kanal, Ph.D., DABR