# Roadmap

- Gene trees versus species trees
  - *Drosophila* case study
  - Possible solutions
- Bizarre species boundaries
- Co-speciation

# A case study

- Pollard et al. (2006) PLOS Genet
- Whole-genome sequencing of *Drosophila* species
- Previous studies gave all 3 possible trees for *D. melanogaster*, *D. erecta*, and *D. yakuba*
- *D. ananassae* is known to be an outgroup to these
- Data: 9000 genes present in all 3 species



# Whole-genome support for the three trees



# Discussion

#### • Brainstorm:

- What causes the discordant trees?
- What could we do about it?



## Incomplete linage sorting AKA ancestral polymorphism

• Can be analyzed using the coalescent:

- Chance higher if ancestral population is large
- Chance higher if speciations were close together
- Often said to be a problem with young species
  - If both daughter species survive, they will be like this forever
  - Probably rarer at longer time distances due to extinction
  - May be examples in mammalian radiation



#### **Other tested explanations**

- Didn't make much difference:
  - Phylogeny method (parsimony vs. likelihood)
  - Mutational model
    - \* More complex models fit the data better but generated *more* disagreement on the tree!
  - Inclusion of other species
- Limit the analysis to genes on which all models and species combos agreed on the tree:
  - Incongruity reduced but not eliminated
- Bootstrap estimates of the reliability of single-gene trees
  - Many genes that supported trees 2 and 3 did so strongly
  - Discordant trees are apparently not just noisy

### **Spatial structure of data supporting the different trees**

- Blocks of sites that agreed on a single tree:
  - Around 8 kb for trees 1 and 2
  - Around 2 kb for tree 3
  - Similar to Drosophila LD extent of "a few kb"
- Three significantly long blocks of tree 3 support (250-700 kb)
- Weak negative correlation between block size and *D. melanogaster* recombination rate

# Still more ideas?

#### • Long-branch attraction?

- High-mutation regions no more discordant than low-mutation ones
- Tree 1 is not the long-branches preference tree anyway....

#### • Genomic GC content?

- D. erectus and D. yakusa have lower GC than the others
- This would *increase* support for tree 1
- What about hybridization? Maybe 2 or 3 of these trees are really correct?

- "[M]ethods that can infer the most likely species tree using an entire genome in a single calculation, considering lineage sorting explicitly."
- StarBEAST (\*BEAST) is the closest approach I am currently aware of
  - Heled and Drummond 2010, Ogilvie and Drummond 2016
  - Co-infer individual gene genealogies and species tree
  - At the edge of feasibility with modern computers
- Assumptions of StarBEAST and relatives:
  - Individual genes have trees
  - Incongruence is caused by lineage sorting, so depends on time between splits and  ${\cal N}_e$
  - No hybridization (species tree is a tree)

### A few more comments on genealogy samplers

- Basic idea similar to Monte Carlo integration
- By making small steps, improve acceptance (at cost of perhaps missing whole regions of the distribution)
- Getting them to "mix" (search efficiently) is a black art



Slide and metaphor due to Paul Lewis

### Various species tree issues and ideas

- No bright line between species
- REALLY no bright line between some species
- Coordinated speciation in host and parasite

# **Ring species**

- *Ensatina* salamanders
- Each adjacent pair of populations in this ring can interbreed
- Populations from the far ends of the ring cannot
- Black-winged gulls have a circumpolar ring





(these species are now in genus *Pelophylax*)

## Hybridogenic species

• An adaptation in *Pelophylax lessonae* will be present in *P. esculenta* but not transmitted from there

- An adaptation in *P. esculenta* will be inherited only by *P. esculenta*
- *P. esculenta* has distinctive morphology and behavior

# A haploid mammalian half-genome

- The *P. ridabunda* genome copy:
  - No longer being replenished from *P. ridabunda*
  - No meaningful recombination (never sees another copy)
- Can you predict the outcome of forcing two *P. esculenta* to reproduce?

## Ambystoma platineum

- All-female species; sperm needed only to activate the egg
- Usually triploid (3N) but sometimes 4N, 5N
- In preparation for meiosis they double their chromosomes one time more than usual
- Is this self-fertilization or cloning?



- They may carry chromosomes from the sexual species A. *jeffersonianum*, A. laterale, A. tigrinum or A. texanum
- Many have chromosomes from multiple sexual species
- Often the mtDNA is from a different species than the nuclear chromosomes
- Probably a few sperm sneak through to increase ploidy-they are not 100% asexual

- Genome-wide, *A. platineum* animals are not particularly related to each other
- The only thing they share is (hypothetically) a group of genes which lead to the all-female phenotype
- Does the existance of *A*. *platineum* cast doubt on the validity of the sexual species?
- Can A. platineum itself be considered a species at all?

# Cospeciation

- Host species and parasite species often speciate together
- Species trees of the two groups will look very similar
- Example: gophers and gopher lice
- Reproductive isolation of hosts may isolate parasites
- Adaptation of hosts may spur adaptation of parasites (or vice versa)



#### Idealized schematic



Real data

# Linguistic trees?

- A relationship tree among languages might mirror relationships among populations
- Problems:
  - Population "tree" not necessarily a tree
  - Language "tree" not necessarily a tree either
  - Establishing homology in words is difficult and subjective: may be biased by preconceptions of the tree
- Next slide from Hunley et al. 2008, "Genetic and linguistic coevolution in Northern Island Melanesia"



Blue=coastal Green=intermediate Red=inland

# Wednesday

- Kin selection:
  - Relationship coefficient (reprise)
  - Altruism
- Group selection
  - "Greenbeard genes"