# Ling 566 Oct 16, 2012 

Review

## Overview

- Reading questions
- Homework tips
- SPR and COMPS
- Common mistakes
- Analogies to other systems you might know
- Problems 4.7, 4.9


## Reading Questions

- In what way does the actual meaning of the two structures assigned to this sentence differ?
- We sent two letters to Lee.
- Are they really both grammatical?
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## Reading Questions

- How do we know what features to put into a predication?
- Would letters as in letters of the alphabet have the same lexical entry as letters like what's usually sent in the mail?
- How do we represent the difference in meaning between send and sent?


## Reading Questions

- How do we get enough different INDEX values for a whole dictionary?
- Why sometimes $s$ and sometimes $s_{n}$, and not $t, u, v$ ?
- How can to be semantically empty and still have a meaningful INDEX value?
- How can the head of a phrase be semantically empty?
- Why does letter share its INDEX with its SPR?



## Reading Questions

- Does set of well-formed structures correspond exactly to the set of well-formed English sentences?
- Do we have to understand the squiggly bits?
- Why bother formalizing?
- Don't these feature structures get ridiculously large?


## Reading Questions

- Does English have dative case?
- Is it redundant to have a feature CASE for English given that we mostly use prepositions to mark 'case'?
- English nouns (other than pronouns) are underspecified for CASE. How do we figure out their particular CASE values when they are used in a tree?


## Reading Questions

- Is position alone enough to tell whether something is SPR or COMPS?
- Will this approach work for morphologically complex languages as well?
- What ever happened to NOM?
- Is it worth memorizing the rules now?
- Why aren't we using NumP?


## Reading Questions

- Is top-down or bottom-up more efficient in actual processing?
- How can we possibly do "simultaneous satisfaction" of all constraints?
- What are the best practices for writing trees going bottom-up (order of things to put in)?


## Reading Questions

- Does not having to realize semantic roles mean we can license semantically weird sentences?
- Can we build a grammar that works with more than one sentence at a time? (I.e., paragraphs)


## Homework tips/requests

- Type whenever possible
- Answer each part of each question separately
- Be sure to answer each part of each question, and follow the directions!
- Look over the problems early and ask questions
- Check your work
- Monitor GoPost
- WORK TOGETHER


## SPR value on AP/PP?

- Kim grew fond of baseball.
- Kim and Sandy ate lunch in the park.
- Kim and Sandy are in the park.


## Which grammar does this tree go with?



## What's wrong with this?

$\left\langle\right.$ out, $\left.\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { word } & & \\ \text { HEAD } & \text { prep } & \\ \text { VAL } & {\left[\begin{array}{ll}\operatorname{SPR} & \langle\mathrm{VP}\rangle \\ \mathrm{COMPS} & \langle(\mathrm{PP} \mid \mathrm{NP})\rangle\end{array}\right]}\end{array}\right]\right\rangle$

## What's wrong with this?

$\left\langle\right.$ out, $\left.\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { word } & & \\ \text { HEAD } & \text { prep } & \\ \text { VAL } & {\left[\begin{array}{ll}\operatorname{SPR} & \rangle \\ \operatorname{COMPS} & \langle(\mathrm{NP})(\mathrm{PP})\rangle\end{array}\right]}\end{array}\right]\right\rangle$

## What's wrong with this?



## What's wrong with this?



## What's wrong with this?



## What's wrong with this?



## Tags \& lists

- What's the difference between these two?

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
{[\mathrm{SPR}} & \boxed{1}\langle\mathrm{NP}\rangle
\end{array}\right]
$$

- When does it matter?


## What's wrong with this tree?


$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HEAD } & \text { verb } \\ \text { SPR } & \rangle \\ \text { COMPS } & \rangle\end{array}\right]$

## What's wrong with this tree?

(1) $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HEAD } & \text { noun } \\ \text { SPR } & \rangle \\ \text { COMPS } & \rangle\end{array}\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HEAD } & \text { verb } \\ \text { SPR } & \langle\text { 目 }\rangle \\ \text { COMPS } & \rangle\end{array}\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HEAD } & \text { noun } \\ \text { SPR } & \rangle \\ \text { COMPS } & \rangle\end{array}\right]$

I

rely
$2\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HEAD } & \text { prep } \\ \text { SPR } & \rangle \\ \text { COMPS } & \rangle\end{array}\right]$


Kim

$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HEAD } & \text { verb } 4 \\ \text { SPR } & \rangle \\ \text { COMPS } & \rangle\end{array}\right]$

What's wrong with this
tree?
(1) $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HEAD } & \text { noun } \\ \mathrm{SPR} & \rangle \\ \mathrm{COMPS} & \rangle\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{HEAD} & \text { verb } 4 \\ \mathrm{SPR} & \langle\square\rangle \\ \mathrm{COMPS} & \rangle\end{array}\right]$




$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HEAD } & 4 \text { verb } \\ \text { SPR } & \rangle \\ \text { COMPS } & \rangle\end{array}\right]$

What's wrong with this
tree?

凹 $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HEAD } & \text { noun } \\ \text { SPR } & \rangle \\ \text { COMPS } & \rangle\end{array}\right]$




$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HEAD } & \text { noun } \\ \text { SPR } & \rangle \\ \text { COMPS } & \rangle\end{array}\right]$

Kim

## What's wrong with this?



## And this?



## How about this?



## Better version



## Type hierarchy analogies

- How is this formalism like OOP?
- How is it different?
- How is the type hierarchy like an ontology?
- How is it different?
- How is this formalism like the MP's formalism?
- How is it different?


## Overview

- Reading questions
- Homework tips
- Common mistakes
- Analogies to other systems you might know
- Problems 4.7, 4.9


### 4.7 Icelandic Case

(i) Drengurinn kyssti stúlkuna. the-boy.NOM kissed the-girl.ACC 'The boy kissed the girl.'

- How do the following support the analysis of (iii) Verkjanna gaetir ekki. case marking as a lexical phenomenon? (iv) Barninu batnathi veikin. 'The child recovered from the disease.'


### 4.9 Agreement in NP coord

- What is the NUM value of NPs coordinated with $a n d$ ?
- How does the PER value of coordinated NPs get computed from the PER value of the coordinands? Use examples like the following:

You and she distinguished yourselves/ *themselves/*ourselves.

