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## Overview

- How lexical rules fit in
- Three types of lexical rules, constraints
- Example: Plural noun lexical rule
- Advice on writing lexical rules
- Constant lexemes
- ARG-ST \& ARP
- The feature FORM


## Lexical Types \& Lexical Rules

- Lexemes capture the similarities among run, runs, running, and ran
- The lexical type hierarchy captures the similarities among run, sleep, and laugh, among those and other verbs like devour and hand, and among those and other words like book.
- Lexical rules capture the similarities among runs, sleeps, devours, hands, ...


## Parsimony \& Plausibility

- Lexical rules capture productive generalizations.
- There may be some 'precompiling' going on as well.


## Three Kinds of Lexical Rules

- Inflectional: lexeme to word

Examples?

- Derivational: lexeme to lexeme

Examples?

- Post-Inflectional: word to word (Chapters 11, 13, 14)


## Three Subtypes of l-rule



$$
l \text {-rule }:\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { INPUT } & l \text {-sequence }\left\langle\mathrm{X},\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { SEM } & / 2
\end{array}\right]\right\rangle \\
\text { OUTPUT } & l \text {-sequence }\left\langle\mathrm{Y},\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { SEM } & / 2]\rangle
\end{array}\right]\right.
\end{array}\right]
$$



## Plural Noun LR



## Plural Noun LR with Inherited Constraints



## Plural Noun LR with Inherited Constraints



## Plural Noun LR with Inherited Constraints



## Plural Noun LR with Inherited Constraints
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## Plural Noun LR with Inherited Constraints



## Practicalities - Applying Lexical Rules

- INPUT is a family of lexical sequences.
- OUTPUT is another family of lexical sequences.
- ...usually a smaller family
- ...usually a disjoint one
- The only differences between the families are those stipulated in the rule (or the rule's type).
- Similarities are handled by the constraints on l-rule and its subtypes.
- If we've written the LRs correctly, nothing is left underconstrained.


## Example: Lexical Entry for cat



## Example: cat, with inheritance
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## Example: cat, with inheritance

|  | cntn-lxm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\langle\mathrm{cat},$ |  | [HEAD | $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { noun } & & \\ \text { AGR } & \text { 7 }\end{array}\right.$ PER 3 3rd $\left.\left.] ~\right] ~\right] ~$ |
|  | SYN | VAL |  |
|  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { MODE } \\ \text { INDEX }\end{array}\right.$ | ref $k$ |
|  | SEM | RESTR | $\left.\left\langle\begin{array}{lll}\text { RELN } & \text { cat } \\ \text { INST } & k\end{array}\right]\right\rangle$ |
|  | ARG-ST |  |  |

## Plural Noun LR



## Licensing cats



## cats: The Lexical Sequence



## Practicalities -- Writing Lexical Rules

- Determine the type of the LR.
- Determine the class of possible inputs.
- Determine what should change.
- If INPUT and OUTPUT values are identified (by default or otherwise) and only OUTPUT value is mentioned, then...
information is added.
(Lexical sequences incompatible with that value are not possible inputs)
- If INPUT and OUTPUT values are identified by default, but different values are given on the INPUT and OUTPUT of the rule, then... information is changed.
- If INPUT and OUTPUT values are identified by an inviolable constraint, but different values are given on the INPUT and OUTPUT of the rule, then... there is no well-formed output


## Constant lexemes

- What kinds of words are constant lexemes in our grammar?
- Why do we need a rule for these words?
- What would be an alternative analysis?


## Constant Lexeme LR

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i \text {-rule } & \\
\text { INPUT } & \langle\boxed{1}, \text { const-lxm }\rangle \\
\text { OUTPUT } & {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { FIRST } & 1
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- What keeps this from applying to, say, verb lexemes?
- Why is this an i-rule?


## ARG-ST \& ARP

- Given the ARP, what do we need to specify about the valence properties of words?
- Why isn't the ARP a constraint on the type lexeme?


## The Feature FORM

- Different inflected forms of verbs show up in different syntactic environments. Examples?
- These different forms are syntactically distinguished by the feature FORM, as assigned by lexical rules.
- FORM is also useful in our analyses of coordination and PP selection.


## What rules these out?

- *Kim eat pizza.
- *Kim seems to eats pizza.
- *Dana helped Leslie [pack and moved].
- *Kim relies for Sandy.
- *Dana walked and Kim.


## Overview

- How lexical rules fit in
- Three types of lexical rules, constraints
- Example: Plural noun lexical rule
- Advice on writing lexical rules
- Constant lexemes
- ARG-ST \& ARP
- The feature FORM
- Reading Questions


## Reading Questions

- Why doesn't this lexical sequence give rise to any words?



## Reading Questions

- How does the l-rule subhierarchy fit into the larger hierarchy?
- Any harm in looking at INPUT/OUTPUT as procedural? (changing rather than relating)
- In i-rules what's actually enforcing the identity of SYN values between INPUT and OUTPUT?


## Reading Questions

- Are morphological functions "functions" in the mathematical sense?
- Why don't we give the details of them?
- What do they look like formally? Can they be conceptualized as constraints?
- How are they handled in the ERG?
- What does "morphological change" mean when we say that zero derivation doesn't involve any?


## Reading Questions

- Can we say that syntactic structure dictates which l-rules are used?
- When do we apply lexical rules?
- What is the 'family of lexical sequences' for dog? For eat?
- How do we group lexical sequences into families?


## Reading Questions

- Could we use FORM for CASE?
- Are nouns/adjectives ever going to have values other than nform/aform?
- What does it mean for a verb to be finite? In I may have read the book, which verb is finite? Which verb is the head?
- How do we handle plurals of mass nouns? (I'll take three waters.)


## Reading Questions

- Where do lexical exceptions like the one below come from, and how do we model them?

Jan gave Dale a book<br>Jan gave a book to Dale<br>*Kris donated the library a book<br>Kris donated a book to the library

- How does the agent nominalization LR change the MODE without mentioning it?


## Reading Questions

- How do we decide what to model with a lexical rule $v$. what to model with a grammar rule? What about N-N compounds?
- How do lexical rules relate to transformations?
- Will we use feature structures the way we're using them for lexical rules elsewhere, too?


## Reading Questions

- Is it only in the use of [FORM fin] to add FORM feature to initial symbol S? The HFP also hold for other cases where [FORM prplpsplpass], therefore, isn't it true for those cases?
- Why do we want FORM to be a HEAD feature?
- Does our coordination rule handle Kim likes singing and dancing.?


## Reading Questions

- If the initial symbol is now [FORM fin], how do we handle imperatives?
- What about Sandy walks? It consists of an NP and a VP. How does it form something that matches the initial symbol?
- Often different forms of a verb can have the same orthography (past participle and passive are often the same for example). Given a sentence we want to parse, how can we tell which form is correct?

